Hello Chechar,

First, thank you for your website, it is one of the most informative WN sites that I read on a daily basis.

Second, I wanted to share this link `[admin's note of 2016: link no longer working]` with you concerning the recent debate about homosexual marriage.

The point that Greg Johnson misses (purposely or not) is that the homosexual / jewish activists' stated purpose through gay marriage is to *destroy* marriage. Johnson is either incredibly naive or being deceptive.

Best regards,

R. Rud

PUBLISHED IN: GREG JOHNSON HOMOSEXUALITY JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) WEST'S DARKEST HOUR ON JULY 5, 2013 AT 9:45 AM COMMENTS (4)

Johnson's tactic

(This pic of a transvestite appears in one of the "About me" pages of James O'Meara's blogs)

Greg Johnson responds to these threads [that criticize his stance on "gay marriage"] as he's done before, by placing James O'Meara front and center today. In your face. It says to readers and contributors at Counter-Currents, if they want me, you have to take my foul-smelling monkey, too. The



This site in a nutshell: here. See also "The 14 words" and "New tablets of stone".

The Fair Race's Darkest Hour is a compilation of texts by seventeen authors that changed my world-view. A softcover edition of the book is available: here.



second I saw the name, I clicked out of the site.

It's because Greg is such a strong thinker and writer that he does more damage than his weird monkey whose brain is steeped in 60's purple haze. Greg speaks and writes eloquently about White values, while at the same time he *adamantly* promotes a person who, in interviews and writings, pushes an agenda that is completely contrary to White values.

The blogger "MOB" wrote the above in this thread on Occidental Dissent. Just compare the transvestite with the face of Botticelli's Venus on the sidebar's top: my inspiration to fight for the survival of the fair race...

PUBLISHED IN: ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM DEGENERACY GREG JOHNSON HOMOSEXUALITY ON JULY 4, 2013 AT 5:59 PM COMMENTS (2)

Censorship at Counter-Currents

Moved into a single entry:

https://chechar.wordpress.com/2013/07/06/is-greg-johnson-one-of-us/

PUBLISHED IN: FREE SPEECH / FREE PRESS ON JULY 4, 2013 AT 5:28 PM COMMENTS (4)

Transformation in search of the true self

A snake which cannot slough its skin is doomed to perish. So likewise, a mind which is prevented from changing its opinion ceases to be a mind.

A translation of the work of Karlheinz Deschner on the criminal history of Christianity is available: here.

Thomas Goodrich's *Hellstorm* is the most important book of the 21st century.



Its subject-matter: the Holocaust perpetrated by the Allied forces on the Germans, civilians included (here).

Here: an SS pamphlet explaining National Socialism.

Goethe's life expanded around a fixed point, just as year by year a circle invisible to the outer world is added to the trunk of a tree. Patiently, thanks to an active though stubborn concentration of his energies, Goethe attained his maturity; he resolutely guarded his ego while defending his proper growth.

Nietzsche, the changeable, was perpetually obliged to destroy himself that he might reconstruct himself wholly.

Each of Nietzsche's spiritual earthquakes destroyed the whole edifice of his convictions, and the philosopher was obliged to start building anew from the foundations. Nothing even grew quietly and imperceptibly and naturally with him; his inner being was never given a chance to develop and extend by a process of stealthy labor. Invariably he is struck "as if by lightning"; always his universe must be annihilated in order that the new cosmos may emerge.

"My books tell the story of the victories I have gained over myself." They relate his manifold transformation, his spiritual pregnancies and lyings-in, his deaths and resurrections; they are tales of the merciless warfare he carried on against himself, the punishments and summary executions he inflicted upon his own being; they are the biographies of all the creatures Nietzsche impersonated during the twenty years of his mental existence.

What makes Nietzsche's transformations so peculiar is that they seem retrogressive. If we take Goethe as the prototype of an organic nature in harmony with the forward march of the universe, we perceive that this development is symbolical of the various ages of life. In youth he was fiery and enthusiastic; as a man in his prime he was actively reflective; age brought him the utmost lucidity of mind. His mental rhythm corresponded in every point with the temperature of his blood. As with most young men, he began in chaos and ended his career in orderly fashion, as is seemly with the old. After going through a revolutionary period he turned conservative, after a phase of lyricism he became a man of science, after being prodigal of himself he learned how to be reserved.

Nietzsche took the opposite course. Instead of aspiring to an even more complete integration of his ego, he desired complete disintegration. As he advanced in years he became increasingly impatient, vehement, revolutionary, and chaotic. His outward aspect was in strident opposition to the customary evolution of a man.

How we are light-years away from the secular, Neo-Christian ethics of the Alt-Right can be surmised in "Darwin's exterminationism".



Presently *Siege* is only available as a PDF.

"1945 was the year of the total inversion of Aryan values into Christian values." —Joseph Walsh

"With the death of Adolf Hitler in the close of the 2nd World War in 1945 Western civilization, as it had existed and is still perceived DIED once and for all. The only thing that was left now was a gene pool." —**James Mason**

"The fall of Stalingrad is the finish of Europe. There was a cataclysm. The core of it all was Stalingrad. There you can say it was finished and well finished, the white civilisation." —**L.F. Céline**

While his university companions were still delighting in the usual horseplay of undergraduates, Nietzsche, though but twenty-four years old, was already a professor, aspirant to the chair of philology at Basel, that famous seat of learning. At twenty-four, Nietzsche's intimates were men of fifty and sixty years of age, sages such as Jakob Burckhardt and Ritschl, while his closest friend was the most celebrated artist of the day—Richard Wagner. He deliberately put the brake upon his poetical aspirations and upon his love of music. Like any other pedant, he sat over his Greek texts, revising pandects, and compiling erudite indexes. From the outset, Nietzsche's eyes were turned towards the dead past. Old before his time, a confirmed bachelor, he had no true joy in life. Professorial dignity swamped his cheerfulness, dimming what should have been his natural exuberance. He was wholly immersed in printed texts and in dryasdust problems.

His first book, *The Birth of Tragedy*, was completed when he was twenty-seven. Herein he breached into the present, though his face was still wearing the mask of a philologist.

At the age of thirty, when most men are starting life, when Goethe became a minister of the State, and when Kant and Schiller were full-fledged professors, Nietzsche had kicked over the traces of his official duties and, with a sigh of relief, had quitted the chair of philology at Basel University. Now at last he came to grips with himself, seeking to penetrate into his personal universe, undergoing an initial transformation, rupturing old ties, and making his début as an artist. This initial step into the realm of the present was the moment when the real Nietzsche was born.

By the time he had reached his thirty-six year, Nietzsche had become an outlaw, an amoralist, a sceptic, a poet, a musician. He had regained "a better youth." Such a course of rejuvenation is almost unprecedented. Having reached his fourth decade, Nietzsche's language and his thoughts, his whole being, indeed, possess a freshness, a colour, a fearlessness, a passion, and a music he had never known as a lad of seventeen. The recluse of Sils-Maria had a lighter touch, his words soared on freer opinions, his feet danced more joyously through his works than had those of the prematurely old professor of twenty-four summers.

He could find no halting-place for his restless mind. Hardly had he settled down somewhere when he felt his "skin chapped and rent." He himself felt as if he were confronting a ghost when someone referred to "Professor Friedrich Nietzsche of Basel"; it was hard enough even to remember that he had been such a person twenty years before. Has any human being, before him, made so trenchant a cleavage between past and present? Does not this severance account for the terrible solitude of his latter days?

To unplug yourself from the Matrix you really need to undemonize Adolf Hitler, Heinrich Himmler, National Socialism and the Third Reich. Click **here** to hit ten articles on vital info about the Second World War that the controlled media concealed from you.

Gens alba conservanda est
("The white race must be preserved")

He had broken all the links which attached him to the past, and the furious rhythm of his life and of his ultimate transformations was too ardent for him to create new ties.

His ruthless amputation of the Wagner complex proved to be an extremely perilous surgical intervention, one that was almost fatal, because it came so very close to the heart. For, precisely at the moment when the form of his being was stretched to the utmost, his mental tensions culminated in disruption. The primitive and daimonic power exploded, annihilating the superb series of incorporations which he had created form his own blood and out of his own life while storming the hidden battlements of the infinite.

PUBLISHED IN: BIOGRAPHY FRIEDRICH NIETZSCHE STEFAN ZWEIG STRUGGLE WITH THE DAIMON (BOOK) ON JULY 4, 2013 AT 1:02 PM COMMENTS (2)

Greg Johnson's lunacies

This pic of a transsexual appears in one of the "About me" pages of James O'Meara's blogs. Just compare this grotesque fellow with the nymph at the sidebar with the background of blue sky and sea: my absolute inspiration to fight for the survival of the fair race…

In this thread on *Occidental Dissent* the blogger "MOB" wrote:

> Greg Johnson responds to these ["homophobic"] threads, as he's done before, by placing James O'Meara front and center today. In your face. It says to readers and contributors at *Counter-Currents*, if they want me, you have to take my foul-smelling monkey, too. The second I saw the name, I clicked out of the site.
>
> It's because Greg is such a strong thinker and writer that he does more damage than his weird monkey whose brain is steeped in 60's purple haze. Greg speaks and writes eloquently about White values, while at the same time he *adamantly* promotes a person who, in interviews and writings, pushes an agenda that is completely contrary to White values.
>
> Good White nationalists who want to be able to believe in Greg massage their instinctive resistance until they can do that.

Worldly gain at the expense of the *Volk* is the main cause of the ongoing destruction of the white race.

See "Revaluation of values": a paraphrase from Francis Parker's Yockey's *The Enemy of Europe*.

Fortunately, the collapse of the dollar that is coming will mark the beginning of the end of America's economic and cultural hegemony over Europe.

"The sign of the times is degeneracy. This term—degeneracy—sums up all that is happening to the West."

CONTACT:

cesartort (at) yahoo

On another racialist site, *Majority Rights*, Captainchaos said:

> According to Greg Johnson racial preservation is "decadent". Johnson favors expanding the franchise of Whiteness to include Turks so presumably he does not oppose the mixing of Turks with Northern Europeans. This is nothing short of a recipe for racial nihilism. Now, as far as I am concerned, *that* is infinitely better grounds for condemning Johnson's work as essentially frivolous, if not maliciously misleading rather than his alleged enrichment by Jews that can only be "proven" to exist in the imagination of Johnson's accuser [paranoid monocausalist J. Richards].

On the same thread another commenter added:

> So nobody else finds it strange that Greg Johnson trashes Christianity on the web, but gives sermons about Jesus in real life? Is he lying to the Christians he preaches to, or to us? And doesn't it say something about him either way?

I find it quite revealing that the *Counter-Currents*' readership is sending money to Johnson instead of sending it to other racialists that potentially could have webzines as stunning as Johnson's—without degeneracy, lunacies or dishonesties involved.

PUBLISHED IN: DEGENERACY GREG JOHNSON HOMOSEXUALITY ON JULY 3, 2013 AT 7:49 PM COMMENTS (2)

JVLIAN excerpts – XI

> "Why were you so ungrateful to our gods as to desert them for the Jews?"
>
> —Julian, addressing the Christians





"RACISM":

On the origin of the word "racist"

The word "racism" from the Nietzschean viewpoint

Two texts by Wm. Pierce:

1. Best article on the Jewish question

2. The West's darkest hour



The Memoir of Julian Augustus

I arrived at Piraeus, the port of Athens, shortly after sunrise 5 August 355. I remember every one of the forty-seven days I spent in Athens. They were the happiest days of my life, so far.

I engaged a cart and driver after considerable haggling (I was able to bring the driver's cost down to half what he asked: good but not marvelous). Then I climbed into the little cart. Half standing, half sitting on the cart rail, I was borne over the rutted road to Athens.

The sun rose in a cloudless sky. Attic clarity is not just a metaphor; it is a fact. The sky's blue was painful.

My first reaction was delight at anonymity. No one stared at me. No one knew who I was. I looked a typical student with my beard and plain cloak. There were dozens like me. Some were in carts, most were on foot; all of them moving toward the same goal: Athens and the knowledge of the true.

On every side of me carts rattled and creaked, their drivers cursing and their contents, human or animal, complaining. The Athenian Greek is a lively fellow, though one looks in vain from face to face for a glimpse of Pericles or Alcibiades. As a race, they are much changed. They are no longer noble. They have been too often enslaved, and their blood mixed with that of barbarians.

[Chechar's note: See Pierce's take on this subject]

The Dipylon Gate was as busy in the early morning as any other great city's gate might have been at noon. It is a double gate, as its name indicates, with two tall towers on the outside. Guards lolled in front, paying no attention to the carts and pedestrians who came and went. As we passed through the outer gate, our cart was suddenly surrounded by whores. Twenty or thirty women and girls of all ages rushed out of the shadows of the wall. They fought with one another to get close to the cart. They tugged at my cloak. They called me "Billy Goat," "Pan," "Satyr," and other less endearing terms.

See how using non-white labor in the Ancient World or capitalism in the Modern World is the main factor for white decline:

Who We Are (abridged)

For a couple of articles on Richard Wagner and LOTR click on pics below:



The Jewish Problem (Jewish authors):

Larry Auster's unpublished chapter

Excerpts of *Esau's Tears*

The Jewish Problem (non-Jewish authors):

Definition of anti-

With the skill of an acrobat one pretty child of fourteen vaulted the railing of my cart and firmly grasped my beard in her fist. The soldiers laughed at my discomfort. With some effort I pried my beard from her fingers, but not before her other hand had reached between my legs, to the delight of those watching. But the driver was expert at handling these girls. With a delicate flick of his whip, he snapped at the hand. It was withdrawn with a cry. She leapt to the ground. The other women jeered us. Their curses were splendid, Homeric!

I arranged my tunic. The sharp tug of the girl's hand had had its effect upon me, and against my will I thought of lovemaking and wondered where the best girls in Athens might be found. I was not then, as I am now, celibate. Yet even in those days I believed that it was virtuous to mortify the flesh, for it is a fact that conscience increases intellectual clarity. But I was also twenty-three years old and the flesh made demands on me in a way that the mind could not control.

Youth is the body's time. Not a day passed in those days that I did not experience lust. Not a week passed that I did not assuage that lust. But I do not agree with those Dionysians who maintain that the sexual act draws men closer to the One God. If anything, it takes a man away from God, for in the act he is blind and thoughtless, no more than an animal engaged in the ceremony of creation. Yet to each stage of one's life certain things are suitable and for a few weeks, eight years ago, I was young, and knew many girls. Even now in this hot Asiatic night, I recall with unease that brilliant time, and think of lovemaking. I notice that my secretary is blushing. Yet *he* is Greek!

♣

The driver indicated a large ruin to the right. "Hadrian," he said. "Hadrian Augustus." Like all travelers, I am used to hearing guides refer to my famous predecessor. Even after two centuries he is the only emperor *every* man has heard of—because of his constant traveling, his continuous building and, sad to say, his ridiculous passion for the boy Antinoüs. I suppose that it is natural enough to like boys but it is not natural or seemly to love *anyone* with the excessive and undignified passion that Hadrian showed for Antinoüs. Fortunately, the boy was murdered before Hadrian could make him his heir. But in his grief Hadrian made himself and the Genius of Rome look absurd. He set up thousands of statues and dedicated innumerable temples to the dead boy.

Semitism

The Culture of Critique's Preface

History of Jewry:

The saga of the European Jews

Jew vs. White: More than 3,000 years of conflict



He even declared the pretty catamite a god! It was a shocking display and permanently shadows Hadrian's fame. For the first time in history, a Roman emperor was mocked and thought ridiculous. Yet except for this one lapse, I find Hadrian a sympathetic figure.

PUBLISHED IN: ART GORE VIDAL HADRIAN HOMOSEXUALITY JULIAN (NOVEL) ON JULY 3, 2013 AT 4:42 PM
COMMENTS (1)

Linder on Johnson: a retort

Excerpted from VNN Forum. Alex Linder is responding to Greg Johnson's "The gay marriage controversy." Indented paragraphs come from Johnson's June 28, 2013 essay:

> Both the promoters and opponents of homosexual marriage share a common false premise: that the legalization of homosexual marriage overthrows "heteronormativity," i.e., the idea that heterosexuality is normal and other forms of sexuality are not. But the idea that changing marriage laws can change heteronormativity is simply false.

Actually, no one says that. Leftists see queer marriage as one important campaign in a giant ongoing war.

> What do I mean when I say that homosexual behavior is abnormal? I don't mean that it is unnatural, since its exists in nature. It is even found in many species besides man.

Most of the so-called examples of homosexual behavior disappear on closer examination.

> I don't mean that it is a sin, i.e., something that displeases God. The idea of sin pretty much paralyzes the ability to think rationally about morals.

"Racism" is just an expression of evolution. All species go through racial separation on their path to speciation. No exceptions.

In humans racist is just a person who loves his race, for example the nymphs on this sidebar. But in today's mad West the term "racist" de facto means *someone who loves the white race* to the point of wanting to preserve it.

Women from our viewpoint:

The eternal feminine

On racial IQ studies:

The new enemies of science

The roots of civilisation

> For me, the issue of abnormality all boils down to homosexuality being a non-reproductive, recreational form of sex. And if everyone had non-reproductive, recreational sex all the time, the human race would perish. Heterosexual behavior is normal, because only heterosexual sex can perpetuate our species, provided conception is not blocked by birth control.
>
> So the real issue is not even homosexual versus heterosexual, but reproductive versus non-reproductive sex. That's all there is to it.

Um, no. Homosexual behavior is inherently morbid, heterosexual behavior is not. Queer behavior is on a par along with drug / alcohol abuse and other anti-social behavior. Whether a taste is inborn or developed, it's socially destructive and should be looked down on, and certainly never given any kind of legal status. What Johnson doesn't observe that's most significant today is that (1) homos today, unlike in all prior history, can find one other easily; (2) queers have a global support network thanks to political backing and media / communications technology.

This results in queers being able to form what the left calls communities—basically, pockets of morbidity. In these death cultures new and quite dangerous diseases are *created* and existing diseases are *exacerbated*. Thanks to jewish political clout, these diseases are untied, in the public mind, from the homosexual behavior that spawned and spread them, and actually, *such chutzpah!*, blamed on the surrounding squares. It's not Gaetan Dugas, an extremely promiscuous queer, who's responsible for spreading Queer-Related Immune Deficiency (Q-RID), it's Ronald Reagan, the ninety-year-old president, who's responsible for spreading AIDS ("Acquired" [LOL] Immune Deficiency Syndrome). How was it acquired? Well, doc, I sucked 500 dicks in 400 days.

Heterosexuals engaged in normal activity don't know whether their sex will result in offspring, so the division between productive and non-reproductive sex is not so simply made. We do know that every act of anal sex between homosexuals is inherently morbid—diseased. Big, big difference.

> Homosexual behaviors and tastes are older than the human race, but the idea of homosexuality as an identity is a rather recent phenomenon. People with exclusively homosexual tastes are a tiny minority in any society, no matter how permissive and decadent. Thus it stands to reason that no society has ever ceased to exist because the tiny homosexual minority doesn't reproduce. Societies decline demographically when the heterosexual majority doesn't reproduce, primarily due to birth control.



Who am I?:

See an excerpted translation from the German Metapedia article about me.

HUMAN SKIN COLORS:



ARCHIVES

Select Month

CATEGORIES

14 words

Birth control is not the reason societies decline. Birth control is merely something people use to avoid pregnancy, not the cause of the desire to avoid pregnancy.

> Thus if non-reproductive sex is a problem because it does not perpetuate the human race, the bulk of the blame falls on selfish, hedonistic straight people.

I mean, this is like arguing with a fundamentalist instead of a human because it's easier. That's one step above a strawman, I suppose, but there's little else to commend it. Yes. You're correct. The human race never is, has been, or will be in danger of dying off because of fag activity. No serious man ever so contended. 1% of the population can't have that effect—unless it be through spreading lethal disease, which is not entirely out of the question, considering Q-RID and the various drug-resistant strains homo behavior has created or exacerbated.

The basic problem with Johnson's article is there's no acknowledgement of the Frankfurt School. We know that jews aim to destroy the white race. We know that their top experts see the best way to do this is by using the official vectors (government, schools, media) to promote a General Loosening. The creation and the glorification of the homosexual identity are part of this. But only a part. Deviant sex, drug use, self-worship (self-esteem)—whatever it is, the jewish goal is to get the goy focused with himself, his stupid, worthless feelings and opinions, thereby taking his eyes off the world and its unbending factual reality.

If you do what the jews advise, soon enough you will have so many personal problems you're unfit to participate in politics. Which is the intent. The promotion of homosexuality is simply part of this. In Aryan society, queer behavior is the proclivity of a tiny, weird minority. A minority that is generally laughed about privately but left alone. Even those engaged in it hide the fact, since it never occurs to them, any more than to the normals, that their tastes are healthy or deserving of some kind of public acknowledgement, let alone respect or legal stature.

In a jew-controlled society, the queers are encouraged to think of their deviance as healthy, normal and natural. Even more than that—as a positive good. Something to take pride in. Something to celebrate. Something to hold parades for. A term is coined to disparage anyone who shakes his head at the world turned upside down. He's now a "homophobe." If he dares laugh or make objection to the new scheme of things, he finds himself publicly ridiculed, without a job, and very likely cut off from his scared friends and family.

The political use of homosexual behavior is what matters. Queer marriage is simply another milestone in the promotion and

2001: A Space Odyssey (movie)

Abortion

Abraham (patriarch)

Abraham Lincoln

Achilles

Adam Smith

Adolf Hitler

Adversus Christianos (book)

Africa

Against the Fall of Night (novel)

Agamemnon

Alaric

Albert Lindemann

Albert Schweitzer

Albert Speer

Albrecht Dürer

Alcibiades

Alcman

Aldous Huxley

Alex Linder

Alexander Alekhine

Alexander the Great

Alexandr Solzhenitsyn

Alexandria

Alexis de Tocqueville

Alfred Rosenberg

Alice Miller

Alt-Right / white nationalism

American civil war

normalization of deviance in order to facilitate destruction. By itself it doesn't mean all that much, except that a few more resources are shifted away from normal people to diseased / deranged people. But from the resource-shifting point of view, queer "marriage" is trivial, given our open borders and anti-white tax and welfare policies. The main thing is that the concept of marriage and family are further degraded, since the law is on the side of the degradation. This produces confusion in people, as is the intent. Confusion leads people to make bad choices.

> Proponents of marriage for homosexuals think that heteronormativity is simply a social construct, a convention that can be changed through legislation, education, and relentless media brainwashing. But heteronormativity is based in nature, not in convention. Sexual reproduction has existed before human beings formed languages and conventions. Indeed, sexual reproduction existed before mankind evolved. The birds and the bees do it too. So heteronormativity is not a social construct and cannot be changed by society. It can only be covered up, lied about, and ignored—at society's peril.

The queers believe, some of them honestly, that they *have* changed the public's mind. They believe they have, through their gritty marches and public activism since Stonewall, converted people to thinking their side is morally right. Just as the negroes did. The truth is that, just as with the so-called civil rights movement, the public was simply browbeaten by a hateful media into accepting a new order accomplished anti-democratically by judicial edict. People's minds haven't been changed. They've just seen a thousand times there's a price to be paid for speaking up. Disagree with The Cult on race, you're a*racist*. Disagree with The Cult on sexual behavior, you're a *homophobe*.

Both these, and other, labels can get you sued, fired, ostracized—even murdered. Who wants that? So the people keep their heads down, and content themselves with expressing any doubt in private, or not at all. Meanwhile, the 1% minority, along with the 2% minority that owns the mass media, preens and chortles over its great victory. The community, they say, supports "gay" rights. The community has changed its mind. It had a moral awakening. It decided to get on the right side of history. But homosexual behavior will never be anything but ludicrous and disgusting to the majority of the population. The public has been successfully intimidated out of expressing open criticism of deviant sexual behavior, but its basic views have not changed.

> It is easy to understand why homosexual marriage proponents believe they are overturning heteronormativity. It is harder to understand why the opponents of homosexual marriage make the same claims, since presumably they think that heteronormativity is based on nature or divine will, neither of

Americanism

Amerinds

Ammianus Marcellinus

An Eye for an Eye (book)

Ancient Greece

Ancient Rome

Anders Breivik

Andrew Anglin

Andrew Hamilton

Andrew Joyce

Angela Merkel

Anti-German exterminationism

Anti-white exterminationism

Antichrist (book)

Antiochus IV Epiphanes

Aphrodite

Apollo

Apollonius of Rhodes

Arcadia

Arcadius

Archeology

Archimedes

Architecture

Argentina

Arianism

Aristocracy

Aristophanes

Aristotle

Art

> which can be altered by man, even by the US Supreme Court. Yet the opponents of heterosexual marriage claim that legally defining marriage as the union of a man and a woman is the key to preserving the institutions of marriage and family life.

The point isn't what they can build, it's what they can destroy. And we have the who and the why. They say it themselves. Yet you refuse to acknowledge this. Your essay could have been written by James A. Dobson (Focus on the Family) or any other conservative fundraising hack.

> This makes no sense for two reasons.
>
> First, if heteronormativity is based in nature or divine commandment, not in law, then it cannot be changed by changing laws. (Human laws can, of course, strengthen natural laws by adding additional punishments and incentives to follow nature.)

Leftists are cultists. They are not interested in reality, since reality shows them to be liars and weirdoes. Their solution to nature disagreeing with them is speech codes and laws. This won't change anything fundamental, but it will keep the air- and mind-waves free of anything that would make them cry. And that is good enough. See Paula Deen.

> Second, the institutions of marriage and family life have been pretty much destroyed already. But during the whole period that marriage and family life have been decaying, homosexuals have not been allowed to marry, and marriage has been defined as a union of a man and a woman. In other words, marriage and family life have declined with their heteronormativity entirely intact. Therefore, heterosexuals bear the primary blame for the decline of marriage and the family.

No, heterosexuals have nothing to do with it. The elite setting the agenda bear all the responsibility. They have passed the easy divorce laws. They copied the Soviet family laws in their guidelines for settling child disputes. The agenda is anti-white. Deliberately so. This is not a matter of debate. You can't have stable families in a nation with a gigantic government touching every area of life and extracting huge taxes to pay for it all. No one has any time or energy left to fuck, let alone fuck productively. Throw in a popular culture that is nothing but 24/7 streaming garbage about hotness, masturbation, homosexuality, getting drunk / wasted / high and mass sports—nothing's left. You're either working, sleeping, or thinking about fucking. Well, the legal / media communist jews know exactly what they are doing. Destroying families. Destroying men.

Destroying the very *ideas* of manliness, womanliness, or families. The very use of family without an article is subversive, and

Artemis

Arthur C. Clarke

Arthur de Gobineau

Arthur Kemp

Arthur Schopenhauer

Artificial Intelligence (movie)

Aryan beauty

Aryan problem / Deranged altruism

Asia

Athanaric

Atheism and secularism

Athena

Athens

Attila

Audios

Augustus

Australia

Austria

Autobiography

Axiology

Aztec people

¿Me Ayudarás? (book)

Barack Obama

Bartolomé de las Casas

Baruch Spinoza

Battle of Poitiers

Battle of Thermopylae

Bayreuth Festival

Beauty

Beethoven

deliberately so, even though many who use it that way—i.e., "the importance of family"—don't grasp the fact. Barbara Bush, for example, or I could cite other conservatives, do this. A family is anything, who are we to say? It's certainly not a man, his wife, and his children. They're all independent agents, who might temporarily combine, if it suits their interests. Well, that's true for the Strong Women and children. Not for the men. They're only a group if they're queers. As family men, they have no rights. They have duties only. To pay their deadbeat dad bills when the court orders. To worship the chictator. And humbly to admit how goofy, doofy and clumsy they are. Just watch any sitcom or commercial if you need an example.

> Since homosexuals are a tiny minority, and only a tiny minority of that minority wish to marry in any case, I think that homosexual marriage opponents owe us an explanation as to how, exactly, such a small group of people could mess up marriage any more than straight people already have.

Johnson doesn't grasp what's going on. The point of the queer marriage drive is to destroy the family. Destroy enough families, you've destroyed society; not to give queers the right to marriage. Something most queers don't want, since anonymous, promiscuous sex is the heart of their *culture*, if you want to call it that.

The point is to disempower any legal or social structure that defends anything "patriarchal," as the feminists and jews call it. These are people at war, or pretending to be at war (jews), with the biological nature of men and women. They denounce the observation that men and women do differ sexually and biologically as "essentialism," and it is one of their high crimes. Funnily enough, they're all about this essentialism when it comes to queers. It's not homosexual behavior, not a choice, it's an identity. It's who they are. They are essentialists when it comes to queers, but not when it comes to men and women, or races.

> If one really wanted to defend marriage and strengthen the family, one should do the following.
>
> 1. End no-fault divorce
>
> 2. Criminalize adultery
>
> 3. Criminalize alienation of affections
>
> 4. End child support for unwed mothers
>
> 5. Establish a legal presumption that unwed mothers are unfit mothers, so that giving up illegitimate children for adoption is the norm
>
> 6. End adoption by unmarried individuals

Ben Klassen

Ben-Hur

Benito Mussolini

Benjamin Disraeli

Benjamin Franklin

Beowulf

Berlin

Bernal Díaz del Castillo

Bernardino de Sahagún

Bible

Bill Clinton

Biography

Blacks

Bob Whitaker

Book of Revelation

Brazil

Brenton Tarrant

Brigade (novel)

Brutus

Buddhism

Caligula

Camp of the Saints (novel)

Canada

Cannibalism

Carl Gustav Jung

Carl Sagan

Carl Schmitt

Carlo Collodi

Carolyn Yeager

Carthaginians

Cassius Dio

> 7. Institute positive incentives for high-quality individuals to marry and have families
>
> 8. Institute tax incentives for people to marry/disincentives to stay single
>
> These policies would significantly strengthen the bonds of marriage and family life. And the burdens and benefits of these measures would fall on the heterosexual population, where they belong.

Mostly good things, but the point is to find out who is behind the pushing of homosexuality and why, and to what end. Homosexuals did not persuade the majority they were right. The people running the media did that. And it wasn't persuasion of anything beyond "you'd better shut up or we'll mock and ridicule you and get you fired."

> But none of our pro-family politicians and moral crusaders shows any interest in such measures. And that, to me, is the sign that the whole anti-homosexual marriage campaign is just another phony Right-wing con job: (1) scapegoating homosexuals for the mess that heterosexuals have made of marriage and the family, (2) and channeling the discontent, energy, idealism, and money of a certain segment of the Right (albeit a pretty hopeless segment, from my point of view) into just another dead end, a battle that, even if it were won, would do nothing to halt the demographic decline of our race.

Much like the Jared Taylor he verbally fellates, Johnson's main concern here is to see that *homosexuals aren't blamed*. I repeat—that is main concern. You can figure out why.

With Taylor, of course, it's jews. As the public face of a White NAACP, funded and directed by jews, Taylor's job—*above all else*—is to see that the awakening / burgeoning white identity movement does *not* blame the jews who put us in the position we're now in. Instead, we must ever and always blame our own grandparents! You know how they directed the nation's politics in between slaughtering hogs and growing muskmelons.

Johnson's engaged in "blame whitey" by another means, which is particularly ironic in light of his "right-wing con job." The Mormon church in Utah was behind most of the California campaign, as the left gleefully and hatefully exposed, and there is no reason to think they were kidding. Hell, their side *won*. How often do right-wing con jobs actually win? It was the left-wing court that reversed the popular vote. Which is par for the course. Exactly what we see on race. And illegal aliens. See California's,

Catholic Church

Catholic religious orders

Cato

Celsus

Celts

Charlemagne

Charles Darwin

Charles Dickens

Charles Martel

Charles V

Chess

Child abuse

Childhood's End (novel)

China

Christian art

Christian question (CQ)

Christian views on Hell

Christianity

Christopher Columbus

Cicero

City of God (book)

Civil war

Civilisation (TV series)

Claudius

Clement of Alexandria

Colin Ross

Color of crime

Committee for Skeptical Inquiry again, Prop. 187. It's a tiny elite setting the agenda. Let's not blame generic heterosexuals for the imposition of a tiny-elite agenda. It isn't far. It isn't accurate.

> I used to think that these mainstream Right-wingers were merely stupid and / or deluded. A lot of the rank and file are. But they are generally far better than their leadership. The ones on top are so consistently wrong-headed and ineffectual that it is hard to resist the conclusion that they are agents of the enemy, working to misdirect and dissipate Right-wing dissent lest it give rise to a genuine populism that would threaten the hegemony of our ruling coalition of Jews and raceless, rootless plutocrats. I think that the purpose of their campaigns may be to run out the clock until whites are a minority and there is no hope of change within the present system.

Who is he kidding? Everyone has known this for 100 years. I've quoted Joe Sobran a thousand times, and Greg Johnson has read it. "It was all a game; a way of making a living"—Joe Sobran on professional conservatism. They're raising money from the rural hinds and bourgeois Fox watchers. The real agenda is set by jews. The superficial stuff, there's a degree of freedom. The serious stuff, the racial stuff—the conservatives are exactly the same as the liberals. *Racism is evil, squawk. Racism is the worst thing in the world. Hitler is the worst man ever. The Nazis were the ultimate bad guys. Churchill is the best. man. ever.*

> The only political issue that matters is whether the white race will continue to exist on this planet in 200 years. White Americans are increasingly aware of, and alarmed by, our demographic decline. But frank appeals to white racial interests are still taboo on the American Right. Instead, the mainstream Right at best offers us race-neutral proxies for racial interests (opposition to "illegal" immigration, libertarian individualism, etc.) and at worst promotes distractions (opposing gay marriage and flag burning, or promoting school prayer) or outright demographic suicide (opposing abortion). Thus I think that White Nationalism will never move forward until the mainstream Right is thoroughly defeated and discredited. I just hope that, by that time, it is not too late to save our race.

Good to see Greggy has finally come around to my position. Before, and remember he was bragging about defeating me in argument over this point, he was all about *influencing* existing elites. Now he's all Linder-squawking "we must defeat the conservatives and Republicans." Maybe he offered Pat Buchanan a blowjob and was rebuffed in a way he felt unmannerly. It's really hard to say. Although it's easy—and fun!—to speculate.

Like I said, and you can read it in Strategy forum, *attack the conservatives*. Quit appeasing them. Quit pretending they're on our side. Our side is basically everyone who's *not* a feminist, sex

Commodus

Communism / Bolshevism

Conservatism

Conspiracy theories

Constans

Constantine

Constantine II

Constantinople

Constantius II

Corneliu Zelea Codreanu

Counter-Reformation

Crusades

Currency crash

Daniel (biblical figure)

Dante Alighieri

Darkening Age (book)

David (king of Israel)

David Duke

David Friedrich Strauss

David Irving

David Lane

Day of Wrath (book)

Daybreak Publishing

Death in Venice (movie)

Decius

Degeneracy

Degenerate art

Demeter

Democracy

Democritus

deviant, non-white—anyone who is normal. Any normal white man or woman.

That is who White nationalism represents, potentially. *We fight* for white normals with the other groups—the jew-left, and the jew-right. The jew-left relies on its sheer power, rather than its persuasive ability. Its ideas are, after all, directly opposed to the ideas and interests of the average white man. But it can use public schools and mass media and political authority to mislead him as to this fact. The right is more attractive to this average man because its ideas are either right or less obviously wrong. What's not obvious is what you have to listen to me to learn, or take decades discovering—even where the professional right is right it doesn't mean it. It won't fight over anything essential. Starting with race. And pretty much ending there too. Because if you don't believe that racial difference exist and matter then you're too dumb to figure in politics beyond serving as someone's fodder. And if you are smart enough to see that they do, yet you still won't lead or fight, you're likewise irrelevant.

So the right has written itself out of the equation, from the *Realpolitik* perspective. But it still exists as powerful media and political machine. It's just that its agenda is not what it seems. Rather than protecting and advancing certain principles, even if imperfectly, it has instead changed into a simple money-making scheme. What's advanced and defended are individual careers, not peoples (races) or positions. Republicans and conservatives are mouthers. They don't mean. White nationalism is the only school that can mean it. But most people aren't even aware that it exists. Except in Greece!

Glad to see you joining the fight, Greggy. The next step in your intellectual maturation is to quit pretending the "alternative" or "radical traditionalist" or non-respectable conservatives are any different from the regular ones. I'll check back in 2018.

> I have argued that homosexual marriage is an unimportant issue from the point of view of white demographics. The most important thing to do to increase white fertility and improve white parenting is to strengthen marriage and decrease non-reproductive sex among heterosexuals. I have also argued that the gay marriage issue is being promoted by the phony Right as a distraction from far more important issues. But I am not going to deal with the merits of demerits of homosexual marriage as a policy, because I need to devote more reading and thought to the matter. I do, however, want to end this piece by at least raising the possibility of a society that combines "heteronormativity" with tolerance.

The right didn't pick that fight, the left did. The professional right accurately saw it as a way to raise money. It's the leftist media setting the agenda, after all. I love how you continue to think you

Demography

Denmark

Der Ring des Nibelungen (opera)

Destruction of Greco-Roman world

Diocletian

Dionysus

Dominique Venner

Don Quixote (book)

Donald Trump

Dorians

Dresden

Dwight D. Eisenhower

Dylann Roof

Eastern Orthodox Church

Economy

Edmund Burke

Edward Gibbon

Edward I of England

Egalitarianism

Egypt

Eleusis

Elizabeth I

Emperor Julian

Energy / peak oil

England

Enlightenment

Enoch Powell

Erasmus

Esau's Tears (book)

Eschatology

can just pick and choose your fights rather than fighting on all fronts at all times. And if you disagree, remember it's your ilk who doesn't want to force the enemy into a head and call that head jews. Which, after all, fits. Is accurate. There is no term, certainly, *more* accurate than jews, and only Englishmen who will be thrown in prison if they say otherwise say otherwise.

> The only real way to maintain high standards is to recognize that people will fall short of them in some ways. That means a certain amount of latitude and tolerance. A society that cannot tolerate deviation from its norms will inevitably lower its standards to make it easier for more people to comply. And the end of that process is complete nihilism, for if integrity to one's values is the highest value, in the end, it will be one's only value. For the easiest way to insure perfect integrity and to make hypocrisy impossible is to value nothing but being oneself at the present moment, i.e., to collapse any difference between the real and the ideal, to affirm that whatever happens to be real at any given moment is the ideal. In short, the only way to always practice what one preaches is to preach nothing but one practices. And that boils down to doing whatever one feels like from moment to moment, a kind of groundless self-affirmation which is pretty much the moral and cultural dead end toward which liberalism is leading.

This wouldn't be a problem in a society without a gigantic government involved in every detail of personal life. Who do you think is promoting queerness? Government and media. It's not coming from the grassroots. It's a top-down phenomenon. People support homosexuality and talk like it's a good thing out of conformity or fear. Not because they actually like and support.

Most of them honestly don't even know what faggotry truly is, since, after all, they aren't fags. Where are they going to learn the truth about faggotry? From sex education? From fag depictions on prime-time tv? From the newspapers? From politicians? The whole thing is a giant charade, proof only of the power of the tiny minority setting the national agenda. Put the nation on a stable racial basis, reduce the role of central government to collective racial defense, watch the homosexual issue (*issue* is jewspeak for *problem*) disappear.

> Why can't we have a society in which parents of homosexual children say, "We're sorry that you are not going to give us grandchildren. It is a misfortune. But we still love you as our flesh and blood, and we know you will still be a good son to us, a good brother to your siblings, and a good uncle to your nieces and nephews"? Why can't we have a society in which homosexuals accept that they fall short of the norm, rather than tearing down norms merely to feel good about themselves? Why can't we have a society in which homosexuals are grateful to the

Essay on the Inequality of Human Races (book)

Ethnic cleansing

Eugenics

Euripides

Europe

European Union

Eusebius

Evil

Evropa Soberana (webzine)

Ezekiel

Fair Race's Darkest Hour (book)

Fascism

Feminism

Feminized western males

Film

Final solution

First World War

France

Francis Galton

Francis Parker Yockey

Francisco Franco

Franco Zeffirelli

Frankfurt School

Franklin D. Roosevelt

Franks

Franz Boas

Frederick the Great

Free speech / Free press

> heterosexuals who gave them life and glad that others are carrying on their families and their race as a whole? I believe that there are already quite a few people who think this way. But their voices are not being heard.

It's more subtle than that: whatever good homosexuals can do, and there is much, can be done best *if* they are objects of average-man hatred and ridicule. Homosexuals flourish, in their various talents, when their actors are locked in the closet. Keep it on the down low, as the niggers say. That's how you do it. Have your bars. Have your places. But not publicly acknowledged. Accept some cop busts. Accept executions where you show any interest in those under eighteen. If you want to go public, then you ought to be charged for the diseases you *create* and spread with your behavior. And once those are acknowledged, it's a very tiny step to the case that anyone with these proclivities is so dangerous that he ought simply to be executed as a botch that potentially threatens public health.

PUBLISHED IN: ALEX LINDER ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM CONSERVATISM DEGENERACY GREG JOHNSON HOMOSEXUALITY JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) MAINSTREAM MEDIA SEXUAL "LIBERATION" ON JULY 3, 2013 AT 4:38 PM COMMENTS (9)

Liaucius vs. Johnson

Moved into a single entry:

https://chechar.wordpress.com/2013/07/06/is-greg-johnson-one-of-us/

PUBLISHED IN: GREG JOHNSON HOMOSEXUALITY ON JULY 3, 2013 AT 2:00 PM COMMENTS (1)

Greg Johnson on homosexuality

by Hunter Wallace

In a new article he will probably later regret writing, Greg Johnson has waded into the gay marriage thicket at Counter-Currents.

Here's my response:

1.) It is natural and normal to marry and reproduce with someone

French Revolution
Friedrich Nietzsche
Friedrich Schiller
Fritigern
From Jesus to Hitler (book)
G.W.F. Hegel
Galerius
Galileo Galilee
Game of Thrones
Gaul
Genetics
Genghis Khan
Genrikh Yagoda
Genuine spirituality
Geography
George Lincoln Rockwell
George Orwell
George Washington
Germanic People
Germany
Giorgio de Chirico
Giselher Wirsing
God
Goethe
Gone with the Wind (movie)
Gore Vidal
Goths
Gratian
Greg Johnson

of the same race, but the American elite promotes miscegenation because it hates White Christian America, and celebrating miscegenation is a way to normalize race-mixing, promote perversion, and undermine the culture of the despised White Christian majority.

2.) The American elite actively promotes "gay marriage" (as opposed to "tolerates") for the same reason it promotes interracial marriage. It is a means to the end of leveling and destroying hierarchical institutions like the White nuclear family that are seen as "reactionary" and standing in the way of "equality" and "progress."

3.) Just as homosexuality exists in nature, the same is true of miscegenation, adultery, sexual promiscuity, polygamy, and pedophilia, but we once had social conventions like anti-miscegenation laws and anti-sodomy laws that stigmatized and criminalized this behavior and promoted and privileged White heterosexual monogamy as America's normative cultural ideal.

4.) We already know from bitter experience that the American elite's promotion of miscegenation, homosexuality, adultery, and sexual promiscuity has *successfully undermined* America's traditional sexual mores and the White nuclear family even within White Nationalist circles.

5.) While it may not be possible to dismantle "heteronormativity" and "patriarchy" as an innate biological tendency within the human species, the American elite has *already succeeded*—as the recent Supreme Court rulings have shown—in undermining "heteronormativity" and "patriarchy" as America's privileged cultural ideal.

The American elite has succeeded in moving America from "Leave It To Beaver" and the "Andy Griffith Show" to "Sex In The City" and "Will and Grace" and "Girls" and "Keeping Up With The Kardashians." They have *succeeded* in promoting bisexuality and homosexuality and miscegenation among impressionable teenage girls.

6.) It is normal and natural for human beings to love their own children, but we live in a society where there have been almost 50 million abortions since 1970. It is normal and natural to dislike outsiders and love your own ethnic group, but we live in a society that systematically redistributes wealth from Whites to blacks and where it is taboo for Whites alone to express pride in their race and culture.

7.) Many a White American husband knows from America's divorce laws that changing the law has already changed the stability of social conventions like marriage. Since the anti-

Guillaume Faye

Gulag Archipelago (book)

Gustave Doré

Hadrian

Hamlet (1948 film)

Hannibal

Hans F. K. Günther

Harold Covington

Harry S. Truman

Hate

Heinrich Himmler

Hellstorm (book)

Helmut Stellrecht

Henry VIII

Heracles

Hermann (Arminius)

Hermann Göring

Hermann Samuel Reimarus

Hernán Cortés

Herod the Great

Herodotus

Hesiod

Hieronymus Bosch

Hippocrates

History

History of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire (book)

Hitler Youth

Hitler's table talk (book)

miscegenation laws were changed, interracial marriage has skyrocketed.

8.) The same people who have been pushing feminism and miscegenation and abortion for decades are now behind the push for "marriage equality." The push for "gay marriage" (like the push for the legalization of miscegenation) in no way implies that particular form of attack is the only or even the most successful way in which the White nuclear family has been undermined.

9.) If we really want to defend marriage and strengthen the family, we could do all the things that Greg Johnson suggests, and we could also stigmatize homosexuality and ban "gay marriage" and restore heterosexual marriage to its traditional privileged role in our culture like the Russians are already doing.

10.) Greg Johnson attacks a straw man argument that a homosexual cabal is behind the push for gay marriage. Just like interracial marriage and feminism, the push for "gay marriage" is driven by leftwing ideology and animus toward America's White Christian majority; the welfare and "civil rights" of the blacks and homosexuals is an afterthought to the people who are behind this.

My 2¢: Read it all at *Occidental Dissent*, but skip the trolling in the comments section if you like (Wallace's tone is exactly right, but the tone of some of the commenters is not).

PUBLISHED IN: ABORTION DEGENERACY FEMINISM HOMOSEXUALITY LIBERALISM MISCEGENATION PATRIARCHY SEXUAL "LIBERATION" WEST'S DARKEST HOUR ON JULY 2, 2013 AT 5:53 PM COMMENTS (7)

March of the Titans

The following paragraphs of *March of the Titans: The Complete History of the White Race* by Arthur Kemp caught my attention:

The age of the Caesars: pre-Christian Rome

The Italian peninsula had originally been settled by a Proto-Nordic/Alpine Mediterranean White racial mix during the Neolithic age, with the Alpine and Mediterranean elements being in the majority.

From around 2000 BC, Indo-European migrants from central Europe (and originally from southern Russia) settled in northern

Hojas Susurrantes (book)
Holocaust
Holodomor
Homer
Homosexuality
Honorius (emperor)
Horace
Human sacrifice
Huns
Hunter (novel)
Hypatia of Alexandria
Ibycus
Iceland
Iliad (epic book)
Immanuel Kant
Immigration laws
Impeachment of Man (book)
India
Individualism
Indo-European heritage
Industrial Revolution
Infanticide
Inquisition
Intelligence quotient (IQ)
Ireland
Isaac Newton
Isabella I of Castile
Isaiah (prophet)
Islam

Italy, crossing the Alps from present day Austria and Hungary. Amongst these people were Celtic tribesmen known as the Latini. Racially speaking, these tribesmen were predominantly Nordic in nature. Another group of Whites, known as the Etruscans, also settled in Italy by the year 800 BC.

[Of this chapter I will omit what we have read in the common histories of Rome and stick to racial matters and how attempts to increase the white population failed, and how Rome's fate was sealed with Caracalla and the edict of 212 AD.]

As early as 131 BC, the Roman censor, Melletus, had called for a law compelling Roman citizens to marry—Caesar, Augustus, Nero and Trajan all offered prizes for Roman citizens having more than four children.

It is interesting to note that the original Indo-European descended Romans viewed anyone who was dark with suspicion. The Roman proverb "*hic niger es, hunc tu, Romane, caveato*" (He is black, beware of him, Roman) is recorded by Horace as being a common saying amongst Romans of the time. (*Sat*., i. 4, 85).

This is not to say that the Romans of the Late Republic or of the Pax Romana resisted the physical integration process. On the contrary, they seemed to have welcomed it as an essential part of Empire building and as a means to keep subdued populations under control.

It is unlikely though that they could have foreseen the long term consequences it would create. When the last of the true Romans were bred out in the vast reaches of the Empire, so did the original spark which had created the Empire in the first place. Hence there are today only Roman ruins in Africa, the Near and Middle East, and indeed even in Rome today—silent monuments to a people long gone.

Blond Romans in southern Italy:
Primavera, wall painting from Stabiae,

Islamization of Europe

Israel

Italy

James Mason

James Watson

Jane Austen

Japan

Jared Taylor

Jean-Jacques Rousseau

Jefferson Davis

Jeffrey Masson

Jeremy Bentham

Jerusalem

Jesus

Jewish hate groups

Jewish question (JQ)

Jewish–Roman wars

Jez Turner

Johannes Gutenberg

Johannes Kepler

John Calvin

John F. Kennedy

John Locke

John Milton

John Modrow

John of Patmos

John Stuart Mill

John the Evangelist

John Tyndall

José María Morelos

José Vasconcelos

1st Century AD, National Museum, Naples

In 212 AD, in an apparent attempt to broaden the Roman tax base, Caracalla passed an edict giving all free males within the Empire citizenship of Rome.

This proclamation, which effectively turned centuries of Roman law on its head (previously Roman law had always sought to prevent Roman citizenship passing to those outside of Rome), had effects far greater than just broadening the tax base.

Early Roman law had made provisions for the maintenance of racial homogeneity amongst its citizens, by stipulating that persons could only be citizens of Rome if both their parents were Roman citizens themselves.

While the early Romans placed great emphasis on maintaining their racial homogeneity, by the first century AD, the idea of universality had become an undercurrent: it was to become the main train of thought by the second century AD, and is directly linked to the rise of Christianity, which has the world-view of the universality of man as its underlying creed.

By the time of Caracalla's edict, the sheer size of the empire and the fact that it had already included so many racially alien elements within its borders, had made a large amount of racial mixing inevitable—Caracalla's edict gave legal support to this process. Interracial marriages and mixed race children became more and more common after this, and slowly but surely, Rome and the Roman Empire in the Mediterranean lost its majority White leadership core.

Thus the fate which had befallen all the other great civilizations, namely the disappearance of the people who created those civilizations through physical integration, crept up on Rome itself.

Although this change in racial demographics was not as marked in Rome itself as in the easternmost outreaches of the Empire, it was however dramatic enough to change the very nature of the civilization.

Foreigners from all over the already mixed race Middle East poured into Rome, attracted by its wealth and status. Being granted citizenship, these foreigners were steadily absorbed into the Roman population, to the point where today only a very few Italians can still today claim pure Roman descent.

Huge swathes of the southern part of Italy and Sicily are today clearly non-White, being mainly a mixture of Arabic and White, while in scattered places there are flashes of the original population, light skins, light eyes or light hair—as there are right across the Mediterranean and as far afield as Iran or India.

Joseph Goebbels

Joseph Stalin

Josephus

Joshua

Jovian

Judaism

Julian (novel)

Julius Caesar

Julius Firmicus Maternus

Justice

Justinian I

Juvenal

Karl Marx

Karl Popper

Karlheinz Deschner

Kenneth Clark

Kevin MacDonald

Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums (books)

Ku Klux Klan

Lactantius

Latin America

Lawrence Auster

Leon Trotsky

Leonardo da Vinci

Leonidas

Libanius

Liberalism

Library of Alexandria

Literature

London

The path followed by Rome mirrored that followed by Sumeria, the Near East, Egypt and Greece. All these civilizations remained intact as long as the society which created them remained homogeneous.

As soon as these societies lost their homogeneity and became multiracial, the very nature of the societies changed and the original civilizations disappeared. Rome would prove to be no exception to this rule.

PUBLISHED IN: ANCIENT ROME ARTHUR KEMP DEGENERACY HISTORY HORACE MARCH OF THE TITANS (BOOK) MISCEGENATION RACIAL STUDIES ON JULY 1, 2013 AT 8:02 PM COMMENTS (22)

« Older Entries

Newer Entries »

Lord of the Rings (novel / film)

Lothrop Stoddard

Louis XIV of France

Louis-Ferdinand Céline

Luke the Evangelist

Lycurgus

Maccabees

Madison Grant

Mainstream media

Manosphere

Manu Rodríguez (blogger)

March of the Titans (book)

Marcus Aurelius

Marcus Eli Ravage

Mark the Evangelist

Mark Twain

Mark Weber

Marriage

Martin Bormann

Martin Luther

Marxism

Materialism / capitalism

Maternus Cynegius

Matt Koehl

Matthew the Evangelist

Matthias Grünewald

Maxfield Parrish

Maya civilization

Mein Kampf (book)

Men

Metaphysics of race / sex

Mexico

Michael O'Meara

Michelangelo

Middle Ages

Middle East

Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla

Militarism

Miscegenation

Miscellany

Moctezuma II

Monarchy

Mongols

Monocausalism

Montaigne

Montesquieu

Morgenthau Plan

Moscow

Moses (Hebrew lawgiver)

Music

My pinacoteca

Name of the Rose (novel)

Napoleon

National Socialism

Neanderthalism

Nero

New Spain

New Testament

New York

Newspeak

Niccolò Machiavelli

Nicolaus Copernicus

Non-white immigration

Nordicism

Norman Rockwell

North America

Norway

Nuremberg

Obituaries

Occam's razor

Occidental Observer (webzine)

Odysseus / Ulysses

Old Testament

Oliver Cromwell

On the Genealogy of Morality (book)

On The Historicity of Jesus (book)

Opera

Oracle of Delphi

Oratory

Origen

Oswald Mosley

Otto von Bismarck

Ottoman Empire

Ovid

Painting

Parapsychology

Paris

Parsifal (opera)

Parthenon

Passing of the Great Race (book)

Patriarchy

Pedagogy

Pederasty

Percy Bysshe Shelley

Pericles

Persephone

Persia

Peter Schiff

Petronius

Philippe Rushton

Philo

Philosophy

Philosophy of history

Pindar

Plato

Pliny the Elder

Plutarch

Poetry

Poland

Polybius

Pompey

Pope Francis (Francisco I)

Pope Gregory I

Pope Theophilus of Alexandria

Porphyry of Tyre

Portugal

Pre-Columbian America

Prehistory

Pride & Prejudice (2005 movie)

Pro-white exterminationism

Protestantism

Pseudoscience

Psychiatry

Psychoanalysis

Psychohistory

Psychology

Puritans

Quotable quotes

Racial studies

Rape of the Sabine Women

Raphael

Real men

Recceswinth

Reconquista

Red terror

Reformation

Reinhard Heydrich

Religion

Rembrandt

Renaissance

René Descartes

Republic (Plato's book)

Revilo Oliver

Rhodesia

Richard Carrier

Richard Wagner

Richard Walther Darré

Rising Tide of Color (book)

Robert Jay Mathews

Roger Devlin

Romanticism

Rome vs. Judea (book)

Romulus

Ronald Reagan

Russia

Russian Revolution

Sappho

Satyricon (novel)

Savitri Devi

Schizophrenia

Schutzstaffel (SS)

Science

Second World War

Seneca

Sense and Sensibility (movie)

Sexual "liberation"

Siege (book)

Sigmund Freud

Silvano Arieti

Skepticism

Sleeping Beauty (1959 film)

Socrates

Solomon

Solon

South Africa

Soviet Union

Spain

Sparta (Lacedaemon)

Sponsor

St Ambrose

St Athanasius

St Augustine

St Cyril of Alexandria

St Francis

St Ignatius of Antioch

St Irenaeus

St Jerome

St John Chrysostom

St Paul

St Peter

St Thomas Aquinas

Stefan Zweig

Stilicho

Strabo

Struggle with the Daimon (book)

Sturmabteilung (SA)

Suetonius

Summer, 1945 (book)

Sweden

Switzerland

Sword

Tacitus

Temple of Artemis

Temple of Jerusalem

Temple of Serapis

Tenochtitlan

Tertullian

Thebes

Theoderic the Great

Theodore Lidz

Theodoret

Theodosius I

Theodosius II

Theology

Third Reich

Thomas Cole

Thomas Goodrich

Thomas Hobbes

Thomas Jefferson

Thomas Szasz

Thucydides

Thus spoke Zarathustra (book)

Tiberius

Titus

Tom Sunic

Trajan

Transvaluation of all values

Trauma model of mental disorders

Turin Shroud

Turner Diaries (novel)

Twilight of the idols (book)

Two Hundred Years Together (book)

Ukraine

Ulfilas

Uncategorized

Uncle Tom's Cabin (novel)

United Kingdom

United States

Universalism

Valens
Valentinian I
Valentinian II
Valentinian III
Valerian
Vercingetorix
Vespasian
Videos
Vienna
Vikings
Vincent van Gogh
Vladimir Lenin
Vladimir Putin
Vlassis Rassias
Voltaire
W.B. Yeats
Ward Kendall
WDH radio show
Welfare of animals
West's darkest hour
White-slave trade
Who We Are (book)
Wikipedia
Wilhelm Sieglin
Will Durant
William Blake
William James
William Pierce
William Shakespeare
Winston Churchill
Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart

Women

Wuthering Heights (novel)

Xenophon

Yearling (novel)

Zeus

Zosimus

Zweites Buch



The greatest of the “conservative” thinkers, Joseph de Maistre, pointed out long ago that the French Revolution led the revolutionaries rather than was led by them. For he believed that certain Providential forces rule our lives. These forces he saw in Christian terms, but others, like Heidegger, for instance, saw them in terms of Being, over which humans have no control.

In either case, the force of Providence or Being or Destiny has a power that has often made itself felt in our history. For this reason, I have little doubt that Europeans will eventually throw off the Judeo-liberal system programming their destruction. I'm less confident about we Americans, given the greater weakness of our collective identity and destiny. But nevertheless even we might be saved from ourselves by this force—as long as we do what is still in our power to do.

—Michael O'Meara

BLOGROLL

The Occidental Observer (scholarly site about the Jewish Question).

Nazi Propaganda Guide Page (not exactly a National Socialist friendly site but very informative of primary NS sources).

The legacy of Wm. Pierce

David Irving's Website

Jake F.'s NS Archive

IN SPANISH

La hora más oscura

From Guillaume Faye's "Mars & Hephaestus":

The twenty-first century will be a century of iron and storms. It will not resemble those harmonious futures predicted up to the 1970s. It will not be the global village prophesied by Marshall MacLuhan in 1966, or Bill Gates' planetary network, or Francis Fukuyama's end of history: a liberal global civilization directed by a universal state.

The Third Age of European Civilization commences, in a tragic acceleration of the historical process, with the Treaty of Versailles and end of the civil war of 1914-18: the catastrophic twentieth century. Four generations were enough to undo the labor of more than forty. Europe fell victim to its own tragic Prometheanism, its own opening to the world and universalism, oblivious of all ethnic solidarity.

The Fourth Age of European civilization begins today. It will be the Age of rebirth or perdition. The twenty-first century will be for this civilization, the fateful century, the century of life or death.

Let us cultivate the pessimistic optimism of Nietzsche. "There is no more order to conserve; it is necessary to create a new one." Will the beginning of the twenty-first century be difficult? Are all the indicators in the red? So much the better. They predicted the end of history after the collapse of the USSR? We wish to speed its return: thunderous, bellicose, and archaic. Islam resumes its wars of conquest. China and India wish to become superpowers. And so forth. The twenty-first century will be placed under the double sign of Mars, the god of war, and of Hephaestus, the god who forges swords, the master of technology and the chthonic fires. This century will be that of the metamorphic rebirth of Europe, like the Phoenix, or of its disappearance as a historical civilization and its transformation into a cosmopolitan and sterile Luna Park.

The beginning of twenty-first century will be the despairing midnight of the world of which Hölderlin spoke. But it is always darkest before the dawn. Let us prepare our children for war. Let us educate our youth, be it only a minority, as a new aristocracy.

Today we need more than morality. We need hypermorality, the Nietzschean ethics of difficult times. When one defends one's people, i.e., one's own children, one defends the essential. Then one follows the rule of Agamemnon and Leonidas but also of Charles Martel: what prevails is the law of the sword, whose bronze or steel reflects the glare of the sun.

T A G S:

4 words



For Spanish-speakers: an autobiography of the editor of this site in two volumes is available: here and here.



He who has not read *Day of Wrath* has not looked at the admin of this site in the eyes. A hard copy is available: here. For an introduction see: here.



_____ 卐 _____

“Hate is not some useless organ like the appendix. It’s there for a reason.

Why does Christianity do all it can to talk us out of necessary and functional drives?

Well, the answer is that it’s a bit of software meant to disable our enemy recognition module. Christianity preaches blind love, and that love is murdering the West”. – Alex Linder

"Why were you so ungrateful to our gods as to desert them for the Jews?" —Emperor Julian to the Christians

Regarding the sticky post see esp. post #37. For the context of the Christian problem see: here.



Donate

VISA

Search

When you forbid your enemy to hate, you've disarmed him.

Close and accept Privacy & Cookies: This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this website, you agree to their use.
To find out more, including how to control cookies, see here:

Follow