Internalize Yahweh, not Jesus

Yesterday, a good German took issue with my philosophy of hate with these words:

> Please bear in mind, however, that hate blinds one to the laws of the divine… [It] will most likely cause the downfall of everything (that is why no noble Greek or German has ever advocated it)…

I responded that demonising hatred is a Judeo-Christian trick to prevent whites from fighting. In our Christian era, only the god of the Jews is allowed to hate to the point of exterminating non-Hebrews (the books attributed to Moses).

On the other hand, Christians and non-Christian whites have internalised the ethic to love our enemies. Even white nationalists such as David Duke have internalised it, as we saw in our Wednesday post. In order to beat Yahweh's children, shouldn't it be wise to transvalue our Christian values?

In another thread another commenter quoted yesterday some words from Shakespeare's *The Merchant of Venice*: 'If a Jew wrong a Christian, what is his humility? Revenge. If a Christian wrong a Jew, what should his sufferance be by Christian example? Why, revenge. The villainy you teach me I will execute, and it shall go hard but I will better the instruction'.

Better the villainy instruction! Internalising their Yahweh in the Aryan psyche will certainly be handy in the Day of the Rope… When will white nationalists stop obeying the god of the Jews, that commands Jews to hate and Christians to love?

Remember, love is murdering the white race.

PUBLISHED IN: AXIOLOGY CHRISTIAN QUESTION (CQ) TRANSVALUATION OF ALL VALUES WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE ON NOVEMBER 9, 2018 AT 10:49 AM COMMENTS (27)



This site in a nutshell: here. See also "The 14 words" and "New tablets of stone".

The Fair Race's Darkest Hour is a compilation of texts by seventeen authors that changed my world-view. A softcover edition of the book is available: here.



A 'disease' whose lesion no one can see

To contextualise this series about psychiatry, see: here. I wrote most of the below text in the last century:

In his *Occidental Dissent* article about yesterday's California bar shooting, the author wrote:

> Take a young man, send him to fight in some God-forsaken Third-World pit inhabited by primitive Brown people, let him watch his buddies get their arms and legs blown off, dump him back in a homeland devoid of true healing religion, a unified culture, and basic healthcare, and you've essentially created a ticking time bomb.
>
> Add in experimental drugs that certain (((doctors))) like to prescribe without knowing or caring about side effects, and the situation only grows worse.

So true.

With the Helfgott case I have outlined the model of trauma. Now, I will say something about the so-called medical model of mental disorders.

It is elemental that there can be no medical treatment without a biomedical disease. However, in contrast with true brain diseases such as tumors, multiple sclerosis, meningitis, epilepsy or neurosyphilis, after more than a century of bio-reductionist psychiatry no one has been able to demonstrate that the 'diseases' the psychiatrists diagnose are related to brain lesions. Thus by an act of faith and a diametrically opposed logic to jurisprudence, the psychiatrists supposed that the people under their charge were ill ('guilty') until proven healthy. Just as the pseudoscience of parapsychology that started about the same time as modern psychiatry, and which after more than a hundred years has not been able to demonstrate the paranormal, the psychiatrists believed it was simply a matter of time for the cellular pathology of the mysterious disease 'schizophrenia' to be discovered. (Likewise, the parapsychologists have been running after the mirage that sooner or later they will demonstrate the reality of ESP and psychokinesis.) [1] Thomas Szasz's words are decisive on this point:

> The gist of my argument is that men like Kraepelin, Bleuler and Freud [who defined modern psychiatry and psychotherapy] were not what they claimed or seem to be—namely, physicians or medical investigators; they were, in fact, religious-political

A translation of the work of Karlheinz Deschner on the criminal history of Christianity is available: here.

Thomas Goodrich's *Hellstorm* is the most important book of the 21st century.



Its subject-matter: the Holocaust perpetrated by the Allied forces on the Germans, civilians included (here).



Here: an SS pamphlet explaining National Socialism.

How we are light-years away from the secular, Neo-Christian ethics of the Alt-Right can be surmised in "Darwin's exterminationism".

> leaders and conquerors. Instead of discovering new diseases, they extended, through psychiatry, the imagery, vocabulary, jurisdiction, and hence the territory of medicine to what they were not, and are not, diseases in the original Virchowian sense.
>
> Actually, given the Virchowian criteria of disease [cell pathology], I do not believe that Kraepelin, Bleuler, or the other psychiatrists of that period could have assumed such a role, and gotten away with it. The reason is simple. They would have had to conclude that most of the 'patients' in their hospitals were not sick; at least, they could not have found anything demonstrably wrong with the anatomical structure or physiological functioning of their bodies. [2]

On these premises Szasz's verdict is that:

> No one is so blind as the person who does not want to see. Many people did not want to see in the past, and do not want to see now, the naked facts of psychiatry—namely, that psychiatrists diagnose diseases without lesions, and treat patients without rights.
>
> This, then, was the fateful point of departure in the origin of modern psychiatry: the invention of the alleged disease 'schizophrenia'—a disease whose lesion no one could see, and which 'afflicted' persons in such a way that often they wanted nothing more than not to be patients. [3]

Despite the misinforming publicity in the media promoted by the pharmaceutical companies, no one has seen anomalies in the brains of those labeled with that word, so much so that the psychiatric concept 'schizophrenia' has a bad reputation among some neurologists (the renowned journals of neurology do not publish bio-reductionist papers about 'schizophrenia'). [4] Furthermore, it is fascinating to notice that, for many years, in the DSM the very American Psychiatric Association excluded the organic conditions as responsible for what they call schizophrenia. For instance, in the published revision of 1987, *DSM-IIIR*, the manual says that such diagnosis 'is made only when it cannot be established that an organic factor initiated and maintained the disturbance'. [5] If they recognise that organic causes have not been found, how do these shrinks dare to tell their clients that the condition is due to chemical imbalances in the brain? What kind of *schizophrenia* do these professionals suffer from?

Perhaps the explanation of their divided mind can be found in the



Presently *Siege* is only available as a PDF.

"1945 was the year of the total inversion of Aryan values into Christian values." —Joseph Walsh

"With the death of Adolf Hitler in the close of the 2nd World War in 1945 Western civilization, as it had existed and is still perceived DIED once and for all. The only thing that was left now was a gene pool." —**James Mason**

"The fall of Stalingrad is the finish of Europe. There was a cataclysm. The core of it all was Stalingrad. There you can say it was finished and well finished, the white civilisation." —**L.F. Céline**



following fact. It was not until the *DSM-IV* edition of 1994 that the honest passage ('it cannot be established that an organic factor…') was censured from the former version. Psychiatrist Fuller Torrey recognises that the censorship could have been due to 'the prevailing psychoanalytic and family interaction theories of schizophrenia'. [6] Another explanation is that if psychiatrists did not take bio-reductionism dogmatically and made common cause with the victims they listen in their offices, their drug prescribing enterprise in just a ten-minute consultation could go out of business—and that is something they cannot afford. As Laing said, economics controls politics.

It controls science too, or rather the political pseudoscience in the universities. If the medical model persists it is because it provides an unending field of pseudoscientific research for psychiatric drugs that generate billions of dollars. It is that simple. This 'research' has persisted since psychiatrists decided that the people under their charge were ill, and it will proceed because the biological causes of madness do not exist. It is exactly what is happening in parapsychology: both parapsychology and biological psychiatry unceasingly run after a mirage. (It is worth saying that Eugen Bleuler, who coined the word 'schizophrenia', was a staunch advocate of spiritualist phenomena in his time.) [7]

It seems incredible that the so-called professionals in mental disorders are capable of self-deception of this magnitude, but just to show that besides Szasz there is a new generation of psychiatrists that have realised how medical students are being deceived, I will quote Colin Ross again:

> When I entered my psychiatry residency, I believed that research had demonstrated the genetic foundation of schizophrenia and had shown that schizophrenia is primarily a biomedical brain disease. This view was almost universally accepted at my medical school, and I never heard serious criticism of it while in training. It was by a gradual process that I began to become more and more aware of the cognitive errors pervading clinical psychiatry […]. I also saw how badly biological psychiatrists want to be regarded as doctors, and accepted by the rest of the medical profession. In their desire to be accepted as real clinical scientists, these psychiatrists were building far too dogmatic an edifice on a very meager scientific foundation […].
>
> One of the most disturbing effects of the errors of logic in biological psychiatry I witnessed in ten years as a resident and academic psychiatrist, from 1981 to 1991, was their influence in

To unplug yourself from the Matrix you really need to undemonize Adolf Hitler, Heinrich Himmler, National Socialism and the Third Reich. Click **here** to hit ten articles on vital info about the Second World War that the controlled media concealed from you.

Gens alba conservanda est
("The white race must be preserved")

> medical students. Already intensively socialized into biomedical reductionism by the time they arrived on the psychiatry wards, many medical students accepted the folklore and logical errors of biological psychiatry as a scientific fact. I would hear them parroting the teaching that psychiatry has become more scientific recently, has many effective drugs, has demonstrated the genetic foundation of schizophrenia, and is moving ever forward into more specific psycho-pharmacology. The problem was not that all these propositions were completely false; rather, it was the uncritical acceptance of the dogma that alarmed me. [8]

This passage is from *Pseudoscience in Biological Psychiatry*. In another chapter of this book Ross criticises one by one several bio-reductionist articles of the *AJP (American Journal of Psychiatry),* the official organ of information of American psychiatry. It is unnecessary to quote the rebuttals to the theories of the medical model of 'schizophrenia': studies on monozygotic twins, the dopamine hypothesis, the subjects' response to psycho-pharmacology, etc. Those interested in the rebuttals can review the writings of Ross and especially Peter Breggin's journal. [9] Suffice it to quote Ross' final words about the *AJP:*

> This completes a detailed analysis of pseudoscience in the *American Journal of Psychiatry* from 1990 to 1993. The January 1994 issue of the *Journal* indicates that logical errors and bio-reductionist ideology will continue to dominate psychiatry for some time. A similar analysis could not be made of a leading journal in a truly scientific field. [10]

In the market world, the advertising that drug companies sell to the media is taken as real science. This advertising, which ignores the biographies of persons like those of the California shooter, is precisely the same of the medical students who parrot that psychiatry has demonstrated the biologic foundation of schizophrenia, depression and other mental disorders. The impression on the public of these supposed medical advances has been created by the incessant repetition of these psychiatric slogans in the media.

---

[1] A splendid book of how for more than a century parapsychologists have been chasing a mirage is *Leaps of faith: science, miracles, and the search for supernatural consolation* by Nicholas Humphrey (Basic Books, 1996).

Worldly gain at the expense of the *Volk* is the main cause of the ongoing destruction of the white race.

See "Revaluation of values": a paraphrase from Francis Parker's Yockey's *The Enemy of Europe*.

Fortunately, the collapse of the dollar that is coming will mark the beginning of the end of America's economic and cultural hegemony over Europe.

"The sign of the times is degeneracy. This term—degeneracy—sums up all that is happening to the West."

CONTACT:

cesartort (at) yahoo

[2] Thomas Szasz, *Schizophrenia: the sacred symbol of psychiatry* (Oxford University Press, 1979), pp. 35 & 21.

[3] Ibid., pp. 42f.

[4] *Neurology* (the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology), *Journal of Neurology* (European Neurological Society), *Journal of Neurological Sciences* (International Federation of Neurology), *Journal of Neuroradiology* and *Archives of Neurology*.

[5] Quoted in Lawrence Stevens, *Schizophrenia: a nonexistent disease* (www.antipsychiatry.org). The page of the *DSM-IIIR* is 187.

[6] E. Fuller Torrey, *Surviving schizophrenia: a family manual* (Harper & Row, 1988), p. 149.

[7] George Windholz, 'Bleuler's view on the inheritance of acquired characteristics and on psi phenomena' in *Skeptical Inquirer* (Spring, 1994), pp. 273-279.

[8] Colin Ross, 'Errors of logic in biological psychiatry' in Colin Ross and Alvin Pam (eds.), *Pseudoscience in biological psychiatry: blaming the body* (Wiley & Sons, 1995), pp. 85-87.

[9] Pam and Ross convincingly refute the biological theories of schizophrenia in chapters 1 and 2 of the book cited in the previous note; Peter Breggin in chapter 5 of *Toxic psychiatry* (op. cit.) and more academically in his scholarly journal. In addition to the mental institution with his name, Ross has been a contractor of psychopharmaceutical companies; he has been called to participate in neuroleptic trials, and continues to publish in the *AJP:* his credentials as a psychiatrist are impeccable. The books of veteran critic, Tom Szasz, who already has forty years fighting against psychiatric barbarities, are also useful to approach the subject of this inquisitorial pseudoscience.

[10] Colin Ross, 'Pseudoscience in the American Journal of Psychiatry' in *Pseudoscience in biological psychiatry*, p. 191.

_____ 卐 _____

Liked it? Take a second to support this site.

PUBLISHED IN: COLIN ROSS PARAPSYCHOLOGY PSEUDOSCIENCE PSYCHIATRY THOMAS SZASZ ON NOVEMBER 9, 2018 AT 12:01 AM COMMENTS (4)



"RACISM":

On the origin of the word "racist"

The word "racism" from the Nietzschean viewpoint

Two texts by Wm. Pierce:

1. Best article on the Jewish question

2. The West's darkest hour

Hamelin's lemmings

Last month I wrote 'William James' principle', that the deepest principle in human nature is the absolute need to be appreciated:

> The phenomenon of groupthink has always intrigued me…
>
> In groupthink what counts is how we place ourselves internally before the opinion of others…
>
> Behind the groupthink is the great finding of William James, 'The deepest principle in human nature is the craving to be appreciated'. It is this principle that moves whites to the phenomenon of virtue signalling: if I join the *ethos* of the masses, I will be appreciated, even if it is a suicidal ethos…
>
> If we begin to know ourselves, as the oracle of Delphi advised, it is possible to start the exit from this *folie en masse* that whites currently suffer. We should not mind 'being appreciated' but speak out like the child in the crowd, too young to understand the desirability of keeping up the pretence.

The last phrase was an allusion to Hans Christian Andersen's *The Emperor's New Clothes*. When I wrote the article quoting James, I ignored the genetic whys of the above phenomenon. Recently, I discovered that, for the old white psyche in winter, if you were not appreciated, it meant certain death. Just picture yourself as living in one of the prehistoric Ice Ages when Nordic whites were struggling for elemental survival. Not falling from the grace of the tribe must have been engraved with incandescent neon letters in the Nordic mind.

Now everything makes sense! Nutty whites are following the Judeo-liberal Pied Piper of Hamelin, even toward the precipice, because they are afraid of losing the love of their tribe. Of course: only the ignoble, the lemmings, follow the piper, which brings me to Andrew Hamilton's most important piece of writing ever published, 'Flawed Racism', excerpted below:

> The mass media and state-controlled education *[the Piper of Hamelin]* have displaced the family in the formation and

See how using non-white labor in the Ancient World or capitalism in the Modern World is the main factor for white decline:

Who We Are (abridged)

For a couple of articles on Richard Wagner and LOTR click on pics below:



The Jewish Problem (Jewish authors):

Larry Auster's unpublished chapter

Excerpts of *Esau's Tears*

The Jewish Problem (non-Jewish authors):

Definition of anti-Semitism transmission of attitudes, beliefs, behavior, and culture. In addition, the mass media winnows candidates for public office at every level, thereby exerting effective control over the (formerly) democratic political process.

There are many unexplored reasons why TV, movies, video games, pop music, and other forms of media exercise such tremendous influence over our ideas and behavior. A "simple" one, I believe, is the (literal) hypnotic effect they have on us.

The Jews, as William Pierce recognized, control the mass media of news and entertainment (which he invariably denominated the "controlled media"). There is perhaps no other truism of modern life that he emphasized so repeatedly. It is somewhat surprising, therefore, that he never developed, or at least never publicly articulated, a theory of media control, or analyzed the nexus between media messages and human psychology and behavior. Instead, he stated his case axiomatically:

> By permitting the Jews to control our news and entertainment media we are doing more than merely giving them a decisive influence on our political system and virtual control of our government; we also are giving them control of the minds and souls of our children, whose attitudes and ideas are shaped more by Jewish television and Jewish films than by parents, schools, or any other influence... To permit the Jews, with their 3000-year history of nation-wrecking, from ancient Egypt to Russia, to hold such power over us is tantamount to race suicide...

William Pierce also taught that the vast majority of whites are neither good nor evil; they will think and behave in whatever manner the powers that be direct them to. Most people that is, will conform and obey, no matter what. (Pierce called them "lemmings.")

Only a tiny handful, he said, are truly good or evil—he estimated 1 to 3 percent in either direction. For some reason he believed the number of "good" people, though exceedingly small, was roughly double the number of intrinsically bad people.

My own inclination is perhaps closer to the Christian belief that humans are afflicted with original sin, and can only be saved (become good) through a process of change and redemption.

What I failed to realize for many years was the depth of the evil and the resistance to individual redemption. Obviously, if people are evil when evil people rule, and good only when good people rule, they are not really good.

Nevertheless, people's beliefs and behaviors can change radically. Change (for the worse) during my lifetime has been

The Culture of Critique's Preface

History of Jewry:

The saga of the European Jews

Jew vs. White: More than 3,000 years of conflict



"Racism" is just an expression of evolution. All species go through racial separation on their path to speciation. No exceptions.

> massive. Of course, it is easier to destroy than to build.
>
> Unfortunately, if Pierce's assumptions are correct—and, apart from his optimistic overestimation of the number of good to evil people, they appear to be—then it is comparatively easy with modern technology and dedicated ruthlessness for a small, domineering elite to continuously identify and destroy the tiny handful of good people on the margin, as they did under Communism and have continued to do in the post-WWII era.
>
> As a result, whites opposed to genocide or totalitarianism have failed to gain any traction.

'What I failed to realize for many years was the depth of the evil and the resistance to individual redemption. Obviously, if people are evil when evil people rule, and good only when good people rule, they are not really good', wrote Hamilton.

Right after he published his piece in November of 2012 I wrote that it could be summarized with the thought that humans, including the overwhelming majority of whites who behave like lemmings, are not really good.

PUBLISHED IN: ANDREW HAMILTON PSYCHOLOGY ON NOVEMBER 8, 2018 AT 12:57 PM COMMENTS (10)

Check, Stefan – your move

A JFG review of contradictory statements made by Stefan Molyneux about his Jewish heritage:

PUBLISHED IN: JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) VIDEOS ON NOVEMBER 8, 2018 AT 12:01 AM COMMENTS (12)

In humans racist is just a person who loves his race, for example the nymphs on this sidebar. But in today's mad West the term "racist" de facto means *someone who loves the white race* to the point of wanting to preserve it.

Women from our viewpoint:

The eternal feminine

On racial IQ studies:

The new enemies of science

The roots of civilisation

Christianity's Criminal History, 105



Editors' note: To contextualise these translations of Karlheinz Deschner's encyclopaedic history of the Church in 10-volumes, *Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums*, read the **abridged translation** of Volume I.

The hostility to the classic culture of the first Greco-Christian writers

We already showed above how decidedly, with what resolutely rude expressions, Tatian, the 'philosopher of the barbarians', the self-proclaimed Herald of Truth, about the year 172 and against everything that had rank and renown in Greco-Roman culture vilified philosophy, poetry, rhetoric and the school.

The writer Hermas inserts at the very beginning of his jibe of the non-Christian philosophers the words of Paul, 'The wisdom of this world is foolishness in the eyes of God' without allowing another truth to prevail than that of the Gospel. In a rather coarse way, ignorant of any deep and superficial sense, Hermas describes philosophy as 'lacking in foundation and utility', of 'pure adventurous, absurd, chimerical and abstruse speculation', even though he only knows his victims through mere readings of compendiums.

The same with the majority of Christian authors. Ignatius of Antioch, a fanatical adversary of Christians from other orientations to his ('beasts with human figure') and first in using the term 'catholic', repudiates almost the entire teaching school, and any contact with Greco-Roman literature, which he apostrophises as 'ignorance', 'foolishness', its representatives being 'rather lawyers of death than of truth'. And while he affirms that 'the end of time has come', 'nothing of what is visible here is good' and sarcastically asks, 'where is the boasting of those who are called wise?', he affirms that Christianity has overcome all this and has 'eradicated ignorance'. He is considered 'one of the great peaks of early Christian literature' (Bardenhewer).

Towards 180, Bishop Theophilus of Antioch decrees in his three books *Apologia ad Autolycum* (*Apology to Autolycus*) that all the philosophy and art, mythology and historiography of the Greeks are despicable, contradictory and immoral. Moreover, he rejects in principle all worldly knowledge and refers to the Old Testament praiseworthy, 'lacking in science, shepherds and uneducated people'. Incidentally, Theophilus, who did not become a Christian and a bishop until he was an adult, owed his education to the classical world. That world whose representatives, of course, 'have raised and continue to falsely pose the questions when, instead of

Who am I?:

See an excerpted translation from the German Metapedia article about me.

HUMAN SKIN COLORS:



ARCHIVES

Select Month

CATEGORIES

- 14 words
- 2001: A Space Odyssey (movie)
- Abortion
- Abraham (patriarch)
- Abraham Lincoln
- Achilles
- Adam Smith
- Adolf Hitler
- Adversus Christianos (book)
- Africa

speaking of God, they do it about vain and useless things'; authors who, not possessing 'an iota of truth' are all of them possessed by evil spirits. It is evident, then, that 'all others are in error and that only Christians possess the truth, having been indoctrinated by the Holy Spirit, who spoke through the prophets and announced everything in advance'.

Apart from Tatian, Ignatius and Theophilus of Antioch, also Polycarp and the *Didache* radically repudiate ancient literature. The *Didache*, the *Shepherd* of Hermas, the Letter of Barnabas and the Letters to Diognetus do not mention it. The Syrian *Didascalia* (complete title: *Catholic Doctrine of the Twelve Apostles and Holy Disciples of our Redeemer*), falsified by a bishop, says: 'Get away from all the writings of the pagans, for what have you to do with foreign words and laws?... What do you miss in the word of God, that you throw yourself to devour those stories by pagans?'

Only the Father of the Church Irenaeus and the 'heretic' Origen, among Christians who write in Greek during the first centuries, lend almost full recognition to all branches of knowledge. However, Irenaeus disapproves almost the totality of Greek philosophy, to which he does not grant a single true knowledge. And Origen, who precisely makes very wide use of it, rejects the rhetoric as useless. All the Greco-Christian writers agree, however, on one point: all place the New Testament far above all the literature of Antiquity.

PUBLISHED IN: CHRISTIAN QUESTION (CQ) CHRISTIANITY KARLHEINZ DESCHNER KRIMINALGESCHICHTE DES CHRISTENTUMS (BOOKS) ORIGEN ST IGNATIUS OF ANTIOCH ST IRENAEUS ON NOVEMBER 7, 2018 AT 6:38 PM COMMENTS OFF

David Duke's impassioned speech yesterday

In my yesterday's post I embedded this YouTube clip featuring notable pro-whites discussing the Midterms. I watched most of it and it was fun, especially when James Edwards proposed that Richard Spencer ran for president with him, and Spencer agreed.

Against the Fall of Night (novel)
Agamemnon
Alaric
Albert Lindemann
Albert Schweitzer
Albert Speer
Albrecht Dürer
Alcibiades
Alcman
Aldous Huxley
Alex Linder
Alexander Alekhine
Alexander the Great
Alexandr Solzhenitsyn
Alexandria
Alexis de Tocqueville
Alfred Rosenberg
Alice Miller
Alt-Right / white nationalism
American civil war
Americanism
Amerinds
Ammianus Marcellinus
An Eye for an Eye (book)
Ancient Greece
Ancient Rome
Anders Breivik
Andrew Anglin
Andrew Hamilton

Those who have not heard about the Jewish Question should listen to David Duke's impassioned speech during the show (it is a 6-hour show so you can skip the rest).

Unlike the others, Duke is right that we must *constantly* name the enemy (toxic Jewish influence in the West). He said 'This is the fundamental issue why we are in this position'. He also said 'I am Christian'. But as a Christian, he completely omits a fact that non-Christians like Tom Sunic can see: that the sort of Puritanical Christianity that conquered the northeastern part of the American continent, together with their Mammon worship, was sympathetic to Jewish takeover.

Duke also said, 'Only when (((they))) are defeated are we able to make the gains that we have to save our people'. But perhaps having in mind the recent Pittsburgh massacre he added that this sort of action 'is evil or wrong'. As a good Christian, he also said on this topic, '...hurt all Jews—I don't believe we have to hurt anybody'. Later he said, 'All [races and cultures] have the rights to create their own society' and by the end of the show Duke recommended pro-whites to do something inside the Republican Party.

Although I liked Duke's interventions the most compared with the other voices on yesterday's show, the difference between he and Hitler cannot be more conspicuous. I recommend those who want to see how should a real white advocate think to become familiar with Hitler's table talk on Christianity.

PUBLISHED IN: ADOLF HITLER CHRISTIAN QUESTION (CQ) DAVID DUKE JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) ON NOVEMBER 7, 2018 AT 11:11 AM COMMENTS (5)

Mere reactionaries

The fact that white nationalists and southern nationalists are voting (and that former neo-Nazi website *The Daily Stormer* recommends voting) demonstrates that they merely are reactionaries, not revolutionaries. In a few minutes the #212 show of *The Public Space* will start. Election night will be the subject of that show featuring Duke, Spencer, Collett, Edwards, Striker, RamZPaul and others.

Andrew Joyce

Angela Merkel

Anti-German exterminationism

Anti-white exterminationism

Antichrist (book)

Antiochus IV Epiphanes

Aphrodite

Apollo

Apollonius of Rhodes

Arcadia

Arcadius

Archeology

Archimedes

Architecture

Argentina

Arianism

Aristocracy

Aristophanes

Aristotle

Art

Artemis

Arthur C. Clarke

Arthur de Gobineau

Arthur Kemp

Arthur Schopenhauer

Artificial Intelligence (movie)

Aryan beauty

Aryan problem / Deranged altruism

Asia

I doubt that any of them will start talking like a true revolutionary, which makes me think, Who beside me has included these folks within the NPC category? Or do you honestly believe that non-violent democracy will save the white race from the current extermination program?

PUBLISHED IN: ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM DEMOCRACY FEMINIZED WESTERN MALES VIDEOS ON NOVEMBER 6, 2018 AT 6:21 PM COMMENTS (28)

Writing is not for me

These days I've been fantasizing what I would do if I had the means to do it. I would reduce the entries of this blog to a minimum and hire a secretary to continue with the translations of Deschner.

Since as a child I wanted to be a film director, the most natural thing for me would be to have a Crossfire program inspired in that TV program of the 1980s, when Pat Buchanan argued with a liberal and I saw them in my job in Novato, California.

It is natural that the massive hits are on YouTube of the Alt-Lite (for example, Molyneux) and the Alt-Right (Gariépy) more than on websites and blogs. We can imagine the number of hits that a daily crossfire encounter, from Monday to Saturday, would get featuring two of the most radical voices of *The West's Darkest Hour*.

In addition to that audiovisual program, I would like to visit all the Western countries that are receiving massive migration. In a white area I would have a picket on the street, 'I am a Tourist, Speak to me in English'.

I confess that I have the obsession to answer a question that I am unable to answer in this blog. In Europe and North America, Australia and New Zealand, I would interview only Aryan males. After the usual courtesies I would ask the direct question, 'Do you hate the culture that is exterminating your race?'

Recently in the comments section of this blog a British nationalist declared that it was difficult to hate. As it happened to me when I met the people of the London Forum, all the English people left

Athanaric
Atheism and secularism
Athena
Athens
Attila
Audios
Augustus
Australia
Austria
Autobiography
Axiology
Aztec people
¿Me Ayudarás? (book)
Barack Obama
Bartolomé de las Casas
Baruch Spinoza
Battle of Poitiers
Battle of Thermopylae
Bayreuth Festival
Beauty
Beethoven
Ben Klassen
Ben-Hur
Benito Mussolini
Benjamin Disraeli
Benjamin Franklin
Beowulf
Berlin
Bernal Díaz del Castillo
Bernardino de Sahagún
Bible

me perplexed. The most natural thing is hatred when there is an explicit extermination program, to the extent of putting images of mixed couples everywhere in the largest city of Europe. And the nationalists don't hate? Why…?

Sometimes I wonder if the people I know are not NPCs and I am alone in a virtual world to which I have been punished, a kind of solipsism.

Why white men do not hate with their entire mind, with all their body, with all their soul and all their heart (the reversal of Jesus' commandments)? Who don't they see the sin against the Holy Spirit of life everywhere (Aryan women with blacks)?

Let's put it bluntly: *As a mudblood myself I don't get white people*. I do not know what they have in their heads.

If I had power, you know what I would do: you only have to see the central chapter of my book *Day of Wrath* to find out. But apparently, not even white nationalists hate. They look like NPCs in a virtual game that only torments me.

But as a therapy, if I had the means, I would make that trip to interview as many Aryan men as I could and transmit my elemental hatred (and my state of absolute astonishment and perplexity that my interlocutor did not feel exactly the same).

What are their reasons? A whole race is being exterminated and goes to the streets as if nothing? And why don't even white nationalists hate like me? It would be great to interview them too, at least the best known.

I do not want to reach a premature conclusion. But my working hypothesis is that they do not hate because the Jew told them not to hate, and the white nationalists obey the Jew, even the atheists. Maybe there is another explanation and that would be the goal of my hundreds of interviews. I wonder if in Germany or Austria it would be illegal to ask this type of question to the Aryans who pass by the street and see my picket 'I am a Tourist, Speak to me in English'.

On the other side of the Atlantic I cannot imagine what would happen when I interviewed the white nationalists who send money, say, to a Greg Johnson. 'Do you really believe that Batman's essays will save the race?', I would ask. 'Why, instead of reading Johnson's webzine, you have not become an idolater of *The Turner Diaries*, to the extent of spreading the novel everywhere?', I would ask.

How interesting it would be, in my program, to be able to interview Richard Spencer, who recently expressed himself

Bill Clinton

Biography

Blacks

Bob Whitaker

Book of Revelation

Brazil

Brenton Tarrant

Brigade (novel)

Brutus

Buddhism

Caligula

Camp of the Saints (novel)

Canada

Cannibalism

Carl Gustav Jung

Carl Sagan

Carl Schmitt

Carlo Collodi

Carolyn Yeager

Carthaginians

Cassius Dio

Catholic Church

Catholic religious orders

Cato

Celsus

Celts

Charlemagne

Charles Darwin

Charles Dickens

Charles Martel

Charles V

against the *Diaries*. I would let him know that throughout Latin America the mestizos and the few remaining Creoles are like little Jews who do not give a damn about the fact that Nordish whites are dying out. (Interestingly, the pure Indians I know do not hate the gringos.) I would ask Spencer why he hates the *Diaries* when the feeling of unconditional love for the Other is not reciprocal. Non-reciprocity is a phenomenon that can be observed not only in Latin America but also in North America. What would the atheist Spencer say? That we must love them even though they don't give a damn about white extinction, and many even celebrate it?

I am a creature of pure hatred. I doubt very much that any of the interviewees could dissuade me from my exterminationist ideology. But at least the torment of solipsism, of feeling that they are all NPCs in a virtual game that only torments me, would begin to dissipate throughout the interviews.

PUBLISHED IN: ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM AXIOLOGY HATE SPONSOR ON NOVEMBER 6, 2018 AT 11:31 AM COMMENTS (30)

On banning feminists

Last Wednesday I explained my reasons why those who cry 'Jew!' while losing an argument should be banned on this site. Now I would say the same about ethno-suicidal feminists. Simon Elliot (pic: here) for one did not cry 'Jew!' certainly, but he told me:

> Men usually like it when women are attractive, and since you don't, and you *claim* not to be an old testament fanatic, the only conclusion I'm left with is that you must be a homosexual. I see no other explanation.

All false. I do like attractive women and I'm not a homo. But I am not banning Simon for that comment, who by the way uses the avatar of a girl in his Twitter account (this one). I am banning him because his feminist ideology is destroying in his country what I care the most: Lane's 14 words. This for example was his very last comment on this site:

> You are clearly in agreement with Anglin, that repulsive little gremlin, when it comes to women. The consensus here is clear. Unless they're pumping out babies to be used as cannon fodder in your race war, they're worthless whores who may as well drop dead. So much for your love of English roses. You will destroy them if you arrange for them to reproduce with hyper-masculine males. I warned you of that, and you can resent it all you want, but it remains true. Genetics is a bitch.

Chess

Child abuse

Childhood's End (novel)

China

Christian art

Christian question (CQ)

Christian views on Hell

Christianity

Christopher Columbus

Cicero

City of God (book)

Civil war

Civilisation (TV series)

Claudius

Clement of Alexandria

Colin Ross

Color of crime

Committee for Skeptical Inquiry

Commodus

Communism / Bolshevism

Conservatism

Conspiracy theories

Constans

Constantine

Constantine II

Constantinople

Constantius II

Corneliu Zelea Codreanu

I will leave others to respond to that.

Tonight I am watching how nasty British feminists are ('Jordan Peterson *destroyed* by feminist NPC | Facts and logic not even needed, *TPS* #209'). Simon is a typical feminist Briton. Ever since our initial interactions he confessed he hates Roger Devlin. (Unlike the troll Anglin whose style I happen to like, Dr. Devlin uses an academic prose in his scholarly papers against feminism.)

I am now closing the thread where Simon made his last comment. Contra him and other ethno-suicidal Britons, I would say that if our civilization is under the grip of liberal mores, especially the belief that non-discrimination on race *and gender* is the highest moral value, when values are transvalued back to Austen mores our women will be having six or more kids.

If whites are to survive as a people the *vagina gentium* must be reopened, whether our spoiled women, or feminized Britons, like it or not.

PUBLISHED IN: ANDREW ANGLIN FEMINISM FEMINIZED WESTERN MALES JANE AUSTEN ROGER DEVLIN TRANSVALUATION OF ALL VALUES WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 5, 2018 AT 12:58 AM COMMENTS (24)

Yoga shooter was an incel revolutionary

by Andrew Anglin

Editor's note:

On Friday, a 40-year-old man shot and killed two people and wounded five others at a Tallahassee yoga studio before turning his handgun on himself.

Incels [involuntary celibates] are deserving of pity, and no one is giving it to them. Instead, they are called losers and creeps, and told that their oppression is their own fault.

Historically, every man other than the very bottom of the gene pool got laid, because we enforced strict rules against sluts. In the modern age, we have no rules enforced against sluts—instead we have the opposite: we have rules enforced to protect and comfort sluts, to enable them to maximize their whoring beyond levels you can even begin to imagine.

And yet somehow, we are supposed to be shocked that some guys

Counter-Reformation
Crusades
Currency crash
Daniel (biblical figure)
Dante Alighieri
Darkening Age (book)
David (king of Israel)
David Duke
David Friedrich Strauss
David Irving
David Lane
Day of Wrath (book)
Daybreak Publishing
Death in Venice (movie)
Decius
Degeneracy
Degenerate art
Demeter
Democracy
Democritus
Demography
Denmark
Der Ring des Nibelungen (opera)
Destruction of Greco-Roman world
Diocletian
Dionysus
Dominique Venner
Don Quixote (book)
Donald Trump
Dorians

lose their nerve and can't take it anymore and shoot-up a yoga studio?

Well, apparently, the chickens have come home to roost. The incel rebellion is in full-swing.

The way to stop this is easy: you bring back traditional sexual standards. But there is no will to do that, because the Jews want white women to be whores fucking niggers.

I obviously do not support or encourage anyone to shoot up yoga studios, and in fact think this is very ungood. But you cannot expect it to not happen. It is the direct consequence of the actions of the Jews in "liberating" women to be completely godless whores.

It's all very sad.

PUBLISHED IN: ANDREW ANGLIN MANOSPHERE SEXUAL "LIBERATION" WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 4, 2018 AT 10:10 AM COMMENTS (58)

« Older Entries

Newer Entries »

Dresden

Dwight D. Eisenhower

Dylann Roof

Eastern Orthodox Church

Economy

Edmund Burke

Edward Gibbon

Edward I of England

Egalitarianism

Egypt

Eleusis

Elizabeth I

Emperor Julian

Energy / peak oil

England

Enlightenment

Enoch Powell

Erasmus

Esau's Tears (book)

Eschatology

Essay on the Inequality of Human Races (book)

Ethnic cleansing

Eugenics

Euripides

Europe

European Union

Eusebius

Evil

Evropa Soberana (webzine)

Ezekiel

Fair Race's Darkest Hour (book)

Fascism

Feminism

Feminized western males

Film

Final solution

First World War

France

Francis Galton

Francis Parker Yockey

Francisco Franco

Franco Zeffirelli

Frankfurt School

Franklin D. Roosevelt

Franks

Franz Boas

Frederick the Great

Free speech / Free press

French Revolution

Friedrich Nietzsche

Friedrich Schiller

Fritigern

From Jesus to Hitler (book)

G.W.F. Hegel

Galerius

Galileo Galilee

Game of Thrones

Gaul

Genetics

Genghis Khan

Genrikh Yagoda

Genuine spirituality

Geography

George Lincoln Rockwell

George Orwell

George Washington

Germanic People

Germany

Giorgio de Chirico

Giselher Wirsing

God

Goethe

Gone with the Wind (movie)

Gore Vidal

Goths

Gratian

Greg Johnson

Guillaume Faye

Gulag Archipelago (book)

Gustave Doré

Hadrian

Hamlet (1948 film)

Hannibal

Hans F. K. Günther

Harold Covington

Harry S. Truman

Hate

Heinrich Himmler

Hellstorm (book)

Helmut Stellrecht

Henry VIII

Heracles

Hermann (Arminius)

Hermann Göring

Hermann Samuel Reimarus

Hernán Cortés

Herod the Great

Herodotus

Hesiod

Hieronymus Bosch

Hippocrates

History

History of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire (book)

Hitler Youth

Hitler's table talk (book)

Hojas Susurrantes (book)

Holocaust

Holodomor

Homer

Homosexuality

Honorius (emperor)

Horace

Human sacrifice

Huns

Hunter (novel)

Hypatia of Alexandria

Ibycus

Iceland

Iliad (epic book)

Immanuel Kant

Immigration laws

Impeachment of Man (book)

India

Individualism

Indo-European heritage

Industrial Revolution

Infanticide

Inquisition

Intelligence quotient (IQ)

Ireland

Isaac Newton

Isabella I of Castile

Isaiah (prophet)

Islam

Islamization of Europe

Israel

Italy

James Mason

James Watson

Jane Austen

Japan

Jared Taylor

Jean-Jacques Rousseau

Jefferson Davis

Jeffrey Masson

Jeremy Bentham

Jerusalem

Jesus

Jewish hate groups
Jewish question (JQ)
Jewish–Roman wars
Jez Turner
Johannes Gutenberg
Johannes Kepler
John Calvin
John F. Kennedy
John Locke
John Milton
John Modrow
John of Patmos
John Stuart Mill
John the Evangelist
John Tyndall
José María Morelos
José Vasconcelos
Joseph Goebbels
Joseph Stalin
Josephus
Joshua
Jovian
Judaism
Julian (novel)
Julius Caesar
Julius Firmicus Maternus
Justice
Justinian I
Juvenal
Karl Marx
Karl Popper

Karlheinz Deschner

Kenneth Clark

Kevin MacDonald

Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums (books)

Ku Klux Klan

Lactantius

Latin America

Lawrence Auster

Leon Trotsky

Leonardo da Vinci

Leonidas

Libanius

Liberalism

Library of Alexandria

Literature

London

Lord of the Rings (novel / film)

Lothrop Stoddard

Louis XIV of France

Louis-Ferdinand Céline

Luke the Evangelist

Lycurgus

Maccabees

Madison Grant

Mainstream media

Manosphere

Manu Rodríguez (blogger)

March of the Titans (book)

Marcus Aurelius

Marcus Eli Ravage

Mark the Evangelist

Mark Twain

Mark Weber

Marriage

Martin Bormann

Martin Luther

Marxism

Materialism / capitalism

Maternus Cynegius

Matt Koehl

Matthew the Evangelist

Matthias Grünewald

Maxfield Parrish

Maya civilization

Mein Kampf (book)

Men

Metaphysics of race / sex

Mexico

Michael O'Meara

Michelangelo

Middle Ages

Middle East

Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla

Militarism

Miscegenation

Miscellany

Moctezuma II

Monarchy

Mongols

Monocausalism

Montaigne

Montesquieu

Morgenthau Plan

Moscow

Moses (Hebrew lawgiver)

Music

My pinacoteca

Name of the Rose (novel)

Napoleon

National Socialism

Neanderthalism

Nero

New Spain

New Testament

New York

Newspeak

Niccolò Machiavelli

Nicolaus Copernicus

Non-white immigration

Nordicism

Norman Rockwell

North America

Norway

Nuremberg

Obituaries

Occam's razor

Occidental Observer (webzine)

Odysseus / Ulysses

Old Testament

Oliver Cromwell

On the Genealogy of Morality (book)

On The Historicity of Jesus (book)

Opera

Oracle of Delphi

Oratory

Origen

Oswald Mosley

Otto von Bismarck

Ottoman Empire

Ovid

Painting

Parapsychology

Paris

Parsifal (opera)

Parthenon

Passing of the Great Race (book)

Patriarchy

Pedagogy

Pederasty

Percy Bysshe Shelley

Pericles

Persephone

Persia

Peter Schiff

Petronius

Philippe Rushton

Philo

Philosophy

Philosophy of history

Pindar

Plato

Pliny the Elder

Plutarch

Poetry

Poland

Polybius

Pompey

Pope Francis (Francisco I)

Pope Gregory I

Pope Theophilus of Alexandria

Porphyry of Tyre

Portugal

Pre-Columbian America

Prehistory

Pride & Prejudice (2005 movie)

Pro-white exterminationism

Protestantism

Pseudoscience

Psychiatry

Psychoanalysis

Psychohistory

Psychology

Puritans

Quotable quotes

Racial studies

Rape of the Sabine Women

Raphael

Real men

Recceswinth

Reconquista

Red terror

Reformation

Reinhard Heydrich

Religion

Rembrandt

Renaissance

René Descartes

Republic (Plato's book)

Revilo Oliver

Rhodesia

Richard Carrier

Richard Wagner

Richard Walther Darré

Rising Tide of Color (book)

Robert Jay Mathews

Roger Devlin

Romanticism

Rome vs. Judea (book)

Romulus

Ronald Reagan

Russia

Russian Revolution

Sappho

Satyricon (novel)

Savitri Devi

Schizophrenia

Schutzstaffel (SS)

Science

Second World War

Seneca

Sense and Sensibility (movie)

Sexual "liberation"

Siege (book)

Sigmund Freud

Silvano Arieti

Skepticism

Sleeping Beauty (1959 film)

Socrates

Solomon

Solon

South Africa

Soviet Union

Spain

Sparta (Lacedaemon)

Sponsor

St Ambrose

St Athanasius

St Augustine

St Cyril of Alexandria

St Francis

St Ignatius of Antioch

St Irenaeus

St Jerome

St John Chrysostom

St Paul

St Peter

St Thomas Aquinas

Stefan Zweig

Stilicho

Strabo

Struggle with the Daimon (book)

Sturmabteilung (SA)

Suetonius

Summer, 1945 (book)

Sweden

Switzerland

Sword

Tacitus

Temple of Artemis

Temple of Jerusalem

Temple of Serapis

Tenochtitlan

Tertullian

Thebes

Theoderic the Great

Theodore Lidz

Theodoret

Theodosius I

Theodosius II

Theology

Third Reich

Thomas Cole

Thomas Goodrich

Thomas Hobbes

Thomas Jefferson

Thomas Szasz

Thucydides

Thus spoke Zarathustra (book)

Tiberius

Titus

Tom Sunic

Trajan

Transvaluation of all values

Trauma model of mental disorders

Turin Shroud

Turner Diaries (novel)

Twilight of the idols (book)

Two Hundred Years Together (book)

Ukraine

Ulfilas

Uncategorized

Uncle Tom's Cabin (novel)

United Kingdom

United States

Universalism

Valens

Valentinian I

Valentinian II

Valentinian III

Valerian

Vercingetorix

Vespasian

Videos

Vienna

Vikings

Vincent van Gogh

Vladimir Lenin

Vladimir Putin

Vlassis Rassias

Voltaire

W.B. Yeats

Ward Kendall

WDH radio show

Welfare of animals

West's darkest hour

White-slave trade

Who We Are (book)

Wikipedia

Wilhelm Sieglin

Will Durant

William Blake

William James

William Pierce

William Shakespeare

Winston Churchill

Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart

Women

Wuthering Heights (novel)

Xenophon

Yearling (novel)

Zeus

Zosimus

Zweites Buch



The greatest of the "conservative" thinkers, Joseph de Maistre, pointed out long ago that the French Revolution led the revolutionaries rather than was led by them. For he believed that certain Providential forces rule our lives. These forces he saw in Christian terms, but others, like Heidegger, for instance, saw them in terms of Being, over which humans have no control.

In either case, the force of Providence or Being or Destiny has a power that has often made itself felt in our history. For this reason, I have little doubt that Europeans will eventually throw off the Judeo-liberal system programming their destruction. I'm less confident about we Americans, given the greater weakness of our collective identity and destiny. But nevertheless even we might be saved from ourselves by this force—as long as we do what is still in our power to do.

—Michael O'Meara

BLOGROLL

The Occidental Observer (scholarly site about the Jewish Question).

Nazi Propaganda Guide Page (not exactly a National Socialist friendly site but very informative of primary NS sources).

The legacy of Wm. Pierce

David Irving's Website

Jake F.'s NS Archive

IN SPANISH

La hora más oscura

From Guillaume Faye's "Mars & Hephaestus":

The twenty-first century will be a century of iron and storms. It will not resemble those harmonious futures predicted up to the 1970s. It will not be the global village prophesied by Marshall MacLuhan in 1966, or Bill Gates' planetary network, or Francis Fukuyama's end of history: a liberal global civilization directed by a universal state.

The Third Age of European Civilization commences, in a tragic acceleration of the historical process, with the Treaty of Versailles and end of the civil war of 1914-18: the catastrophic twentieth century. Four generations were enough to undo the labor of more than forty. Europe fell victim to its own tragic Prometheanism, its own opening to the world and universalism, oblivious of all ethnic solidarity.

The Fourth Age of European civilization begins today. It will be the Age of rebirth or perdition. The twenty-first century will be for this civilization, the fateful century, the century of life or death.

Let us cultivate the pessimistic optimism of Nietzsche. “There is no more order to conserve; it is necessary to create a new one.” Will the beginning of the twenty-first century be difficult? Are all the indicators in the red? So much the better. They predicted the end of history after the collapse of the USSR? We wish to speed its return: thunderous, bellicose, and archaic. Islam resumes its wars of conquest. China and India wish to become superpowers. And so forth. The twenty-first century will be placed under the double sign of Mars, the god of war, and of Hephaestus, the god who forges swords, the master of technology and the chthonic fires. This century will be that of the metamorphic rebirth of Europe, like the Phoenix, or of its disappearance as a historical civilization and its transformation into a cosmopolitan and sterile Luna Park.

The beginning of twenty-first century will be the despairing midnight of the world of which Hölderlin spoke. But it is always darkest before the dawn. Let us prepare our children for war. Let us educate our youth, be it only a minority, as a new aristocracy.

Today we need more than morality. We need hypermorality, the Nietzschean ethics of difficult times. When one defends one's people, i.e., one's own children, one defends the essential. Then one follows the rule of Agamemnon and Leonidas but also of Charles Martel: what prevails is the law of the sword, whose bronze or steel reflects the glare of the sun.

T A G S:

4 words



For Spanish-speakers: an autobiography of the

editor of this site in two volumes is available: here and here.



He who has not read *Day of Wrath* has not looked at the admin of this site in the eyes. A hard copy is available: here. For an introduction see: here.



_____ 卐 _____

“Hate is not some useless organ like the appendix. It’s there for a reason.

Why does Christianity do all it can to talk us out of necessary and functional drives?

Well, the answer is that it's a bit of software meant to disable our enemy recognition module. Christianity preaches blind love, and that love is murdering the West". – Alex Linder

"Why were you so ungrateful to our gods as to desert them for the Jews?" —Emperor Julian to the Christians

Regarding the sticky post see esp. post #37. For the context of the Christian problem see: here.

Donate

VISA

Search

When you forbid your enemy to hate, you've disarmed him.

Close and accept Privacy & Cookies: This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this website, you agree to their use.
To find out more, including how to control cookies, see here:

Follow