## *A message to my donors*

This day is exactly the right time to donate. Thanks to Trump's victory the Mexican peso is crashing before the dollar, and as you might know presently I'm living in Mexico.

Due to the current devaluation of the peso/dollar exchange it will be miraculously amplified once it reaches my PayPal account.

PUBLISHED IN: SPONSOR ON NOVEMBER 9, 2016 AT 6:17 PM COMMENTS (6)

## *Trump!*

"November 9, 2016" – by Greg Johnson

PUBLISHED IN: DONALD TRUMP GREG JOHNSON HISTORY KEVIN MACDONALD UNITED STATES ON NOVEMBER 9, 2016 AT 2:50 AM COMMENTS (12)




This site in a nutshell: here. See also "The 14 words" and "New tablets of stone".

*The Fair Race's Darkest Hour* is a compilation of texts by seventeen authors that changed my world-view. A softcover edition of the book is available: here.



# *War of the sexes, 21*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

*The biological origins of patriarchy and feminism*

In many sexually reproducing species, says the blogger, for males their reproductive success is limited by the access to females, while females are limited by the access to resources. Resources usually include nest sites, food and protection. In some cases, the males provide all of them. The females dwell in their chosen males' territories through male competition. (If you want to argue that these animal behaviors are human social constructs you are an idiot.)

In his video "The biological origins of patriarchy and feminism" the blogger introduces the paradigm of our closest simian cousins to illustrate his point: the bonobos and the chimpanzees.

The chimpanzees make wars and are violent with the females. The blogger inserts clips of Sean Connery playing a James Bond slapping women in several films. The bonobos on the other hand are pacifists. Like the hippies they make love, not war. Studying the species closest to us humans will prove to be illuminating.



The liberal Briton Richard Wraugham, who studies the chimps *in situ*, says: "Chimpanzee society is horridly patriarchal, horridly brutal in many ways from the females' point of view." In order that an adolescent chimp is promoted to the adult category he has to subdue all the females. "They get beaten up in horrid ways."

A translation of the work of Karlheinz Deschner on the criminal history of Christianity is available: here.

Thomas Goodrich's *Hellstorm* is the most important book of the 21st century.



Its subject-matter: the Holocaust perpetrated by the Allied forces on the Germans, civilians included (here).



**Here**: an SS pamphlet explaining National Socialism.

How we are light-years away from the secular, Neo-Christian ethics of the Alt-Right can be surmised in "Darwin's exterminationism".

In another geographical place that we can watch in the blogger's video, a blonde zoologist observes the bonobo behavior. She says that it is almost a paradise of sex. They do it in every conceivable way, even among the males and even pedophilia. The blonde asks what happened to produce such a pacific relationship between the sexes. She argues that the solidarity among female bonobos makes them capable to dominate the males. Then the liberal Wraugham says in the blogger's video: "It was impossible for early humans to travel in groups around together as bonobos do, and therefore for females to form alliances and dominate the males in the way that happened in bonobos. A little bit of difference in climate history, a little bit of difference in food history and we might have evolved to be a totally different, less violent, more sexual species."

In "Guide to human society and egalitarianism" the blogger reproduces the pic of a huge male gorilla and says that they fight among themselves to see who among them will conquer access to all the females (tournament mating). In this social system the females are practically the property of the males. "In patriarchal society women are expected to be obedient and submissive at all times." The blogger makes a point with the hyenas: the polar opposite of the chimpanzee. Even the lowest ranked female hyena dominates the highest ranked male!

Between those extremes of matriarchy and patriarchy there is a third group of animals with almost no sexual dimorphism: the extremely elegant swans for example. "Humans," says the blogger, are somewhere in-between a tournament and a pair-bonding species."

The chimps have a more pronounced physical dimorphism than the bonobos, even though both have a common ancestor. The key to understand the bonobos is abundant resources and the lack of environmental threats. The blogger says that there is little sexual dimorphism in birds because they can easily escape the predators. Being able to fly means, additionally, that it is relatively easier to obtain fruits or insects while the other animals have to work harder to obtain them. The chimpanzees, unlike the bonobos, share the forest with the gorillas. The latter control all food on the ground, forcing the chimps to gather on the trees. The chimps avoid the gorillas as far as they can. This competence for limited resources in a hostile environment has moved chimp society




Presently *Siege* is only available as a PDF.

"1945 was the year of the total inversion of Aryan values into Christian values." —Joseph Walsh

"With the death of Adolf Hitler in the close of the 2nd World War in 1945 Western civilization, as it had existed and is still perceived DIED once and for all. The only thing that was left now was a gene pool." —**James Mason**

"The fall of Stalingrad is the finish of Europe. There was a cataclysm. The core of it all was Stalingrad. There you can say it was finished and well finished, the white civilisation." —**L.F. Céline**

towards patriarchy.

In bonobo society such competence does not exist. Bonobos are egalitarian and gynocentric. It is untrue what the blonde zoologist said above because among the bonobo violence comes from the females. They join forces and attack a male by biting his fingers and penis. The chimps may beat and rape the females, but don't dismember them. In the supposedly egalitarian bonobo society bonobo males are dismembered if they get out of line.

In the bonobo society the females even mate with the weakest males because it is easier to control them, and bite the penises of those who resist their Diktat. Due to this sexual selection, with time the male bonobos shrank anatomically in generations. The blogger says that if chimps faced male bonobos the former would kill them all, and the females' trick of trying to bite off the penises wouldn't work. (The blogger adds a drawing clearly showing how the male chimp is anatomically more robust than the male bonobo.) Having the bonobo paradigm in mind, the blogger tells us: "That my friends is the central flaw in egalitarianism and gynocentrism. It literally and consciously breeds weakness." In other words, if the chimps failed to behave the way they do they would die.

> Egalitarianism is essentially gynocentric. Women are the limiting factor in reproduction. If a man wants to reproduce, he has to acquire women one way or another. He can beat and rape a woman into submission… or engage in courtship like bonobos do. The inequality of sexual reproduction makes true gender equality impossible.

Speaking of feminist laws in the US, William Pierce said that pursuing the equality dream is destructive for the white peoples. The blogger again:

> Whether you call it feminism, egalitarianism or gynocentrism, it is unsustainable and will eventually destroy society.

To understand the West's darkest hour we must keep in mind that to reach a gynocentric society two things are required: abundance of resources and absence of external threats. Both will be inverted in the aftermaths of the crashed dollar, and the subsequent black chimp-out in America's big cities.

To unplug yourself from the Matrix you really need to undemonize Adolf Hitler, Heinrich Himmler, National Socialism and the Third Reich. Click **here** to hit ten articles on vital info about the Second World War that the controlled media concealed from you.

*Gens alba conservanda est*
("The white race must be preserved")

Worldly gain at the expense of the *Volk* is the main

The flaw of the anti-white system is that the welfare state has produced a milieu of false abundance. After the end of the World Wars and the Cold War, "with all the threats neutralized the West could safely purge itself from masculinity" said the blogger, just as in the bonobo society. The flaw with the social engineering of bonobo-izing humans is that this "solution" drives the West toward weakness: gynocentrism undermines a society's defenses which will guarantee its collapse sooner or later. To boot, unlike the bonobo Congo paradise Western economy is founded on a bubble that soon will pop, according to Austrian economics.

> When you purge and attack masculinity from a culture you may eliminate the rappers and the violent murderers but you also eliminate the leaders, the inventors, the geniuses.

Chimps can create new tools, but not the bonobos. The blogger also says that gynocentric societies are more primitive than the patriarchal: there is no invention. There are only a hundred thousand bonobos in the world and, in a natural state, only in a specific area of the Congo. There are 300 percent more chimps than bonobos, and they live in five African countries. They evolved to the able to do it because they can triumph in hostile environments. In their garden of Eden the bonobos have survived by sheer luck.

Back to the white race. There are two ways that a gynocentric society can collapse. The good one is by entering again a patriarchal state. The bad one is being conquered by a more masculine culture. I have already quoted Will Durant in other article but it merits re-quoting:

> The third biological lesson of history is that life must breed. Nature has no use of organisms, variations, or groups that cannot reproduce abundantly. She has a passion for quantity as prerequisite to selection of quality. *She does not care that a high rate has usually accompanied a culturally low civilization, and a low birth rate a civilization culturally high* [emphasis added] and she sees that a nation with low birth rate shall be periodically chastened by some more virile and fertile group.

Writing about Muslims vs. Europeans Durant then said that there is no humorist like history. Presently the Muslims are gradually

cause of the ongoing destruction of the white race.

See "Revaluation of values": a paraphrase from Francis Parker's Yockey's *The Enemy of Europe*.

Fortunately, the collapse of the dollar that is coming will mark the beginning of the end of America's economic and cultural hegemony over Europe.

"The sign of the times is degeneracy. This term—degeneracy—sums up all that is happening to the West."

CONTACT:

cesartort (at) yahoo

outbreeding whites in a Europe that will soon become Eurabia. In order that the human bonobos of today go back to their chimp ways of yore we must be expelled from the false Eden that presently we inhabit. The good news is that Winter is coming…

PUBLISHED IN: EGALITARIANISM FEMINISM FEMINIZED WESTERN MALES ISLAMIZATION OF EUROPE MANOSPHERE PATRIARCHY PSYCHOLOGY WELFARE OF ANIMALS WILL DURANT ON NOVEMBER 8, 2016 AT 7:13 PM COMMENTS (2)

## *War of the sexes, 20*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

### *The case for patriarchy*

We have seen that the patriarchal society is the only kind of society that, in the long run, can be functional. Society degenerates in proportion of abandoning the patriarchal model. To no avail, I have told white nationalists many times that they must widen their optics from a perspective to a meta-perspective of white decline. For example, the fact that the Iberians polluted their blood in the peninsula and in the Americas when their Inquisition targeted crypto-Jews proves that there is an Aryan problem in addition to the Jewish problem. No monocausal nationalist has answered this challenge honestly.

We could say something analogous disregarding the old story Spain and Portugal: present-day Japan. With no Jewish press they are committing demographic suicide. While they are not importing masses of coloreds into their island, the blogger says that Japan will also face collapse. Like the deranged West, the Japanese government is pandering the women through welfare programs. In his video "Women will not save Japan" the blogger says that the Japanese women won't ever consent any reform, even facing a demographic winter.

The West is in far worse shape. Ant-racism, anti-whitism and feminism have reached maddening proportions. Women have become so toxic that white men are pretending to be eunuchs. In "She will never love you too" the blogger responds to a common objection: "Men are just as bad as women. They just want a




"RACISM":

On the origin of the word "racist"

The word "racism" from the Nietzschean viewpoint

Two texts by Wm. Pierce:

1. Best article on the Jewish question

2. The West's darkest hour

woman for her body, which means they don't love women either." He responds: "No: *You* are your body" in the sense that we love women directly, not indirectly (economic resources and protection). I have observed how in the lives of cousins of my age the wives are the ones who have applied for divorces taking away their houses and children, while my cousins continue to love them.

In another video, "The case for patriarchy," the blogger addresses two common objections:

*Objection 1. The moral or fairness argument: Patriarchy oppresses women; it is unfair.*

Before answering this argument we have to ask a question: Are the sexes equal? The blogger recapitulates what we have seen before. In his video he inserts diagrams of human skulls showing the dimorphism between men and women. The male skull is taller and stronger and its brain larger and denser. This is reflected in his higher IQ.

This is so because in barbarous times the alpha male had his harem. Civilization tamed him through the institution of marriage. In tournament species the strong has the power on reproduction and controls the society of that species. If the dimorphic species is controlled by the female, and here the blogger reproduces a photo of a queen bee, we have a matriarchal society: the queen controls reproduction. If the dimorphic species is controlled by the male, the king of the tribe controls it. In our species sexual dimorphism shows that Aryans were biologically predestined to form a patriarchal society (see also William Pierce's *Who We Are*).

Brainwashed normies usually reply to our rhetorical question *Are the sexes equal?* with platitudes like "Everyone should be treated equally" or "Everyone should have equal rights." The blogger replies that treating people equally doesn't mean that they are equal and that "rights" is a legal concept, not one observed in Nature. If men are wired biologically to be the protectors and the providers of the family that means that we do the primordial thing. The blogger comments that the sexes are drastically unequal in their contributions to society. Giving birth? He mentions the extreme example that a woman in a comma state gave birth to a baby. Like the Spartans, we do the really hard work.

Since the 1970s the patriarchal authority of the man has been destroyed and handed over women, even the custody of children. The result is the collapse of fertility of the white peoples (and the Japanese). "Whichever sex controls reproduction controls the family and thus controls society." In sharp contrast to thousands

See how using non-white labor in the Ancient World or capitalism in the Modern World is the main factor for white decline:

*Who We Are* (abridged)

For a couple of articles on Richard Wagner and LOTR click on pics below:

**The Jewish Problem (Jewish authors):**

Larry Auster's unpublished chapter

Excerpts of *Esau's Tears*

**The Jewish Problem (non-Jewish authors):**

Definition of anti-Semitism

*The Culture of Critique*'s Preface

of years of history and prehistory, presently a matriarchy is imposed throughout the West.

*Objection 2. The economic or practical argument.*

France was the cradle of modern egalitarianism. But the spear-head of feminist movements initiated in the 19th century in the United States, according to the blogger. Then the US 1963 "Equal pay" Act was copied by the English in 1970. But women will never be equal to males. Sexual dimorphism favors the male in humans. This is why, when men are allowed to compete physically or intellectually with women, like in sports or chess, they usually win. "Since equal opportunity favors men, the only way to achieve gender equality is to tear them down." Eventually men are going to be tired of this perverse game. "This is the inevitable result of the feminist matriarchy. By attacking men and tear them down to the level of women, the society is attacking its own foundation."

Like me, the blogger hopes that a new society will be born after the ashes of the present one.

---

PUBLISHED IN: FEMINISM INTELLIGENCE QUOTIENT (IQ) MANOSPHERE MEN MONOCAUSALISM PATRIARCHY SEXUAL "LIBERATION" WEST'S DARKEST HOUR WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 7, 2016 AT 11:34 PM COMMENTS (1)

---

## *War of the sexes, 19*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

*Phony anti-feminists*

In his video "League of the shadows" the blogger says that traditional conservatives are like Batman: they want to save a corrupted Gotham City and its people. They believe that the government can be reformed, or that it is possible to reason with women. I would say that even the priests of the 14 words who want to save Aryan female beauty only receive hatred from these very women whose physique they want to save. It is

**History of Jewry:**

The saga of the European Jews

Jew vs. White: More than 3,000 years of conflict



"Racism" is just an expression of evolution. All species go through racial separation on their path to speciation. No exceptions.

impossible to reason with them. And in the same way that I scold white nationalists for not wanting to study the work of those economists who say that the dollar will crash and civilization crumble, the blogger quotes Batman's enemy while he scolds the non-radicals of his Men's Rights movement: "When a forest grows too wild, a purging fire is inevitable and natural."

So-called families without the male figure are a liberal aberration. In his video "The government can't replace fathers" the blogger says that it is not the nuclear family what provides the structure and authority for children, especially the boys. *It is the man itself.* I love that video because the blogger confesses he was raised by a single mother. He adds that 95 percent of single mothers are on welfare. You can imagine what will happen with single mother "families" after the dollar collapses (poetic justice...).

The blogger also cites tax statistics showing that the government is sucking men's salaries to deliver them to women (even nigger women I would add), and that the women's role is to rear the child until his fifth birthday (seventh birthday for the Spartans I would add). Once they reach the seventh year all pedagogues must be males. "Mothers can raise babies into children, but only fathers can raise children into adults." This is something that feminists won't ever understand, not even the feminized males of the white nationalist community.

In the video "Where have all women against feminism been?" the blogger explains that MGTOW has been around since 2004. That's barely more than a decade. It explains my initiative of placing this series "War of the sexes" in this site. Unlike the racial literature that started with Gobineau's seminal book in the nineteenth century, with the exception of that chapter of Schopenhauer on women the comprehension of human sexuality is fairly recent. There is no book that I know that maps MGTOW under a single cover, so I felt obliged to pass the microphone to one of its most radical voices. This for example is MGTOW manifesto. The ultimate goal is to instill:

- Masculinity in men
- Femininity in women and
- Promote traditional gender roles.

The blogger explains that MGTOW shifted between 2009 and 2012 and it expanded its focus from merely an anti-feminist conservative movement into one that examines female nature as the underlying basis of feminism. The result was a change from a movement that sought to reform society by fighting feminism to one that rejected today's spoiled women as a whole and walked away from relationships and marriage. The blogger then claims that MGTOW has grown in popularity and relevance since the shift. (Incidentally, my opinion of what men should do today with our sexual urges appears in Jake's interview to me).

In humans racist is just a person who loves his race, for example the nymphs on this sidebar. But in today's mad West the term "racist" de facto means *someone who loves the white race* to the point of wanting to preserve it.

**Women from our viewpoint:**

The eternal feminine

**On racial IQ studies:**

The new enemies of science

The roots of civilisation



The blogger then talks about phony anti-feminist movements. I would say again that, with the exception of Andrew Anglin, white nationalists are in his group. The blogger notes that the "anti-feminists" don't complain about the original feminism. Remember: in the modern era feminism has already 168 years. It started in the 19th century, specifically in 1848 in the United States. "Anti-feminists" never complain of the laws from the first and the second feminist waves. A true anti-feminist, the blogger says, would repel feminism *in toto* from its very origins:

- Women's suffrage
- Affirmative action
- Abortion on demand
- No-fault divorce and
- Men arrested for domestic violence (including marital rape).

So according to the blogger the chronology of anti-feminist "conservatives" is extremely myopic and ultimately traitorous for men. They believe that the mess started in 1973 with Roe v. Wade. "The Men's Rights Movement wants to return to the 1950s," says the blogger. But the so-called anti-feminist women are even worse. They "want a return to the 1980s" that is, they merely reject the third feminist wave.

Personally, in addition to the bulleted points above, I would go as far as Cato the Elder. Let us revaluate the values back to the Spartan/Roman mores! *Lex Oppia* must be restored—and implemented in the ethnostate(s). This was—and will be again—a law that forbids any woman to possess more than half an ounce of gold and also her display of wealth. I must quote a passage from the chapter on women of the mini-book that I translated:

> Spartan women did not even know the extravagant hairstyles from the East and they wore, as a sign of their discipline, their hair up with simplicity: probably the most practical for a life of intense sports and activity. Also, all kinds of makeup, decorations, jewelry and perfumes were unknown and unnecessary for Spartan women, which proudly banished all that southern paraphernalia.

And let's remember what Seneca said: "Virtue does not need ornaments; it has in itself its highest ornaments."

---

**Who am I?:**

See an excerpted translation from the German Metapedia article about me.

HUMAN SKIN COLORS:




ARCHIVES

Select Month

CATEGORIES

14 words

2001: A Space Odyssey (movie)

Abortion

Abraham (patriarch)



PUBLISHED IN: ABORTION ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM ANDREW ANGLIN CATO CONSERVATISM FEMINISM FEMINIZED WESTERN MALES MANOSPHERE SENECA SEXUAL "LIBERATION" TRANSVALUATION OF ALL VALUES WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 7, 2016 AT 1:30 PM COMMENTS (2)

# *War of the sexes, 18*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

*Why civilized society hates men*

Back in 2009, before my full racial awakening, the following comment by a Swede in a Counter-Jihad site attracted my attention:

> Well, what sort of men does it take to put things right in a lawless Wild West town? There is a very good movie that I recommend, *The Man Who Shot Liberty Valance*. Lawrence Auster (just to mention his positive sides) pointed out the important analysis of that movie: "It's about the idea that civilization depends on men who use violence, but that civilization, once it is founded and secure and no longer needs violent men, ignores and derides the very men without whom it wouldn't exist." The bumbling city slicker (James Stewart) wasn't up to the job, the town had to be saved by the violent, marginal brute (John Wayne).
>
> Auster again: "The image is not of restrained, upright men who just use force when absolutely required and within the rules, but of tough men, violent men, men who have something primal about them, men who can subdue Indians, men who can blow


Abraham Lincoln
Achilles
Adam Smith
Adolf Hitler
Adversus Christianos (book)
Africa
Against the Fall of Night (novel)
Agamemnon
Alaric
Albert Lindemann
Albert Schweitzer
Albert Speer
Albrecht Dürer
Alcibiades
Alcman
Aldous Huxley
Alex Linder
Alexander Alekhine
Alexander the Great
Alexandr Solzhenitsyn
Alexandria
Alexis de Tocqueville
Alfred Rosenberg
Alice Miller
Alt-Right / white nationalism
American civil war
Americanism
Amerinds
Ammianus Marcellinus
An Eye for an Eye (book)

> away the Liberty Valance types and rescue the softer, civilized Jimmy Stewart types, men who are ready, able and willing to kill barbarians and save society, men like Nelson, who used apocalyptic levels of violence to kill thousands of men and destroy Napoleon's navy and prevent the invasion of England."
>
> Whenever you find such men, hold on to them. You cannot afford to be picky when it comes to choosing here. Only princesses in fairy tales can afford that… So in *The Man Who Shot Liberty Valance* James Stewart is the civilized city-dweller that can build civilization. But John Wayne is the brute who makes civilization possible in the first place!
>
> Without the men like John Wayne, the James Stewarts are therefore useless. It's pretty pointless then to lament the John Waynes's lack of Jimmy Stewart properties, isn't it?

In his video "Why civilized society hates men" the blogger says: "Society is not gynocentric because of the Jews or a conspiracy. Society is gynocentric. Period." Remember what I said about gynocentric Sparta in my previous post, or even better read the whole mini-book about Sparta that I translated to English.

The blogger adds that gynocentrism only perishes during war. Perhaps the most extreme example of this was the burning of the Gaul villages by the Gaul Vercingetorix to prevent the Romans from gathering food supplies. Vercingetorix also expelled Gaul women and children from his fortress during the Roman siege. The lives of soldiers, and soldiers alone, had value in the war!

Back to our times. Presently only the Jimmy Stewart types are allowed to thrive. The blogger does not mention *The Man Who Shot Liberty Valance* but he says that because the West only wants feminized white males "this is why marriage laws punish men but don't punish women." Those are laws designed to castrate the alpha male. The blogger maintains it is no coincidence that right after the Cold War ended in the 1990s together with the threat of nuclear annihilation came the third feminist wave. America did not need the Waynes anymore, or speaking plainly: "Respect of masculinity is directly proportional to the threats that a society faces." And also: "As soon as the threat passes, masculinity is demonized."

Giving women or feminized males positions of authority shoots us on the foot. In another video the blogger said: "Ethical leadership is a male characteristic. Women make terrible leaders because they are inherently selfish and so incapable of ethical leadership."

If Clinton wins in a couple of days Americans will learn it the hard way. Of course: a woman is running for president because virtually all westerners believe in equality. Even most white nationalists


Ancient Greece
Ancient Rome
Anders Breivik
Andrew Anglin
Andrew Hamilton
Andrew Joyce
Angela Merkel
Anti-German exterminationism
Anti-white exterminationism
Antichrist (book)
Antiochus IV Epiphanes
Aphrodite
Apollo
Apollonius of Rhodes
Arcadia
Arcadius
Archeology
Archimedes
Architecture
Argentina
Arianism
Aristocracy
Aristophanes
Aristotle
Art
Artemis
Arthur C. Clarke
Arthur de Gobineau
Arthur Kemp
Arthur Schopenhauer

don't rebel against feminism. Who among them forbids women in their conferences? The grim truth is that nationalists are only partially awakened. In another video the blogger said: "Equality is a lie: a myth to appease the masses" and still in another one he claimed that he has seen more men destroyed by women than by bullets, even after he served in the Iraq war.

PUBLISHED IN: ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM FEMINISM FEMINIZED WESTERN MALES FILM LAWRENCE AUSTER MANOSPHERE REAL MEN VERCINGETORIX ON NOVEMBER 6, 2016 AT 12:16 PM COMMENTS (2)

## *War of the sexes, 17*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

*Solutions*

Apropos the traditionalism cycle, in his fourth video about "solutions" the blogger says that the current feminist stage simply cannot get back to the stage of humane patriarchy, that he calls *soft patriarchy*. The pendulum has swung so far to the left that it will come swinging violently to the far right, towards brutal patriarchy. This is exactly what we have said in one of the most popular posts of this blog, "Lycanthropy," and you can see it visually if you pay attention to the arrow at the bottom of the blogger's triangle. He also predicts what I've been saying in my books about the rape of the Sabine women.

But brutal patriarchy is not the solution. It is a harsh stage not only for women but for most men. In polygamous societies women are monopolized by a few alpha males (matriarchy is bad for every single male). It is the Aristotelian golden mean what whites must strive for, the humane patriarchy of the Jane Austen world. It may still be a gynocentric society but males are in charge. It is the world that I knew as a small child: and is the same world that my parents, grandparents and great-grandfathers lived in.

In his video the blogger says that in this society there must be marriage because this institution avoids tournament mating by the alphas. The Austen world is a pair-bonding society. Soft patriarchy is the lesser of the three evils of the cycle as illustrated


Artificial Intelligence (movie)
Aryan beauty
Aryan problem / Deranged altruism
Asia
Athanaric
Atheism and secularism
Athena
Athens
Attila
Audios
Augustus
Australia
Austria
Autobiography
Axiology
Aztec people
¿Me Ayudarás? (book)
Barack Obama
Bartolomé de las Casas
Baruch Spinoza
Battle of Poitiers
Battle of Thermopylae
Bayreuth Festival
Beauty
Beethoven
Ben Klassen
Ben-Hur
Benito Mussolini
Benjamin Disraeli
Benjamin Franklin

in the triangle. Women obey. The blogger disagrees with those vindictive fantasies in the manosphere to remain in the brutal stage so that women may be "sold like cattle." This is a passage from the poem *Goetterdaemmerung*:

For England or Iceland,
Byzantium, Vinland,
Far land or ancient
And ripe for the plunder,
The burning of roof-trees,
The seizing of women,
The tooting of treasure,
The flowing of red blood,
And wine for the victors.

Presently, in our Empire of the *yin*, the mores are exactly the polar opposite from those times when white women were sold like cattle. In our times, the blogger says, the problem is not the unchanging female nature but the government, the laws and the liberal zeitgeist. I would add the influence of the Jews in the media, Hollywood and the universities.

In the Aryan ethnostate women won't be treated as slaves but like a father treats his child. Never empower children to the point of enacting laws against toothbrushes or having free candy! "Feminism at its very core" says the blogger "is exactly the same as having a spoiled child." Every time the child makes a tantrum we buy him or her a toy. "And the kid turns into a spoiled brat. That is what feminism is. Society has given women everything they wanted, and now they're spoiled old brats."

The blogger comments that he has seen videos in the manosphere claiming that women are evil. He counters that that is only true if we consider that spoiled children are evil. When women are under our control they behave reasonably well. By empowering them they become bad but neither they nor the children are intrinsically evil: they should simply be controlled. It's only when women are left to their own devices that they do become bad. Our goal should be to treat our spouses as we treat children. However, it must be


Beowulf
Berlin
Bernal Díaz del Castillo
Bernardino de Sahagún
Bible
Bill Clinton
Biography
Blacks
Bob Whitaker
Book of Revelation
Brazil
Brenton Tarrant
Brigade (novel)
Brutus
Buddhism
Caligula
Camp of the Saints (novel)
Canada
Cannibalism
Carl Gustav Jung
Carl Sagan
Carl Schmitt
Carlo Collodi
Carolyn Yeager
Carthaginians
Cassius Dio
Catholic Church
Catholic religious orders
Cato
Celsus

pointed out that even these patriarchal societies are gynocentric—even the super-*Yang* Sparta was gynocentric!

Gynocentrism has reigned but presently women are not only out of control. Many are indeed evil. Just see those pictures of spoiled European women with pickets welcoming migrants with skin of the color of shit saying, "Better rapists than racists!"

The blogger is concerned that a soft form of patriarchy could last only a hundred years. He fears that even with protections and education feminism will come back (again, see the arrows of the triangle). The new generations can fall again to the original sin, *superbia*. They will think they know better and will throw all accumulated wisdom out of the window, as it has happened before. (Remember the imposition of Christianity on all white peoples that destroyed the pagan temples, the statues of Aryan beauty and burnt the Greco-Roman libraries.) The blogger says that when this is about to happen again—when our wives start whining and complaining (e.g., in the ethnostate) that the storm is over and they want the right to vote, we must tell them angrily: "Fuck you! Go on your knees and suck my dick!"

We must convey a most emphatic "No!" as if they were brats making a tantrum. "Children and women are just incapable to understand these abstract concepts". They don't know what is good for them in the long run. The key for a functional ethnostate is to keep authority outside the reach not only of Jews, but of women alike.

---

PUBLISHED IN: EVIL FEMINISM MANOSPHERE MEN PATRIARCHY RAPE OF THE SABINE WOMEN REAL MEN SEXUAL "LIBERATION" WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 5, 2016 AT 10:56 PM COMMENTS (2)

---

## *War of the sexes, 16*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

"The desire for equality becomes more and more insatiable as equality increases."

—Alexis de Tocqueville


Celts

Charlemagne

Charles Darwin

Charles Dickens

Charles Martel

Charles V

Chess

Child abuse

Childhood's End (novel)

China

Christian art

Christian question (CQ)

Christian views on Hell

Christianity

Christopher Columbus

Cicero

City of God (book)

Civil war

Civilisation (TV series)

Claudius

Clement of Alexandria

Colin Ross

Color of crime

Committee for Skeptical Inquiry

Commodus

Communism / Bolshevism

Conservatism

Conspiracy theories

Constans

In his “Guide to feminism” the blogger explains that the first wave of feminism was women’s suffrage; the second wave equal pay, and the third wave hatred of patriarchy. He reminds us that, once women were “liberated” in those three waves, they never accepted responsibilities like going to war to risk their skin: they merely demanded “rights” —a Newspeak term that in Oldspeak means exactly the opposite: privileges. The blogger defines feminism as “a hypocritical ideology for mentally-retarded children with penis envy that resent their biological inferiority and would never be satisfied no matter how much legal, political, social and economic superiority is granted to them over men.”

The feminist epitomes the Orwellian sentence that everyone is equal but some are more equal than others. Affirmative action was not enough for her: like the coloreds she now wants equality of income and equality of opportunities. To boot, through the divorce courts the feminist is oppressing men, in addition to pushing for an exception of the law regarding her claims of rape: men must be presumed guilty until proven innocent.

Presently, as we are continuing to pander or going along with her desires, the West is heading toward the cliff. Imagine for a minute forcing gender quotas on a basketball team or in one of those international chess tournaments formed by four boards each nation. These hypothetical teams of males with females, whether they compete for physical or intellectual ability, would lose big time in the real world.

Let’s think about de Tocqueville’s epigraph above. My working hypothesis is that Christian ethics is the devil of the white race. Given the Christian insistence of regarding all souls as “equal in the eyes of God,” cognitive dissonance has been the axis of the escalation of both anti-racism and Western feminism. Races and genders are unequal. But because of the laws of cognitive dissonance, the liberal mindset is condemned to escalate egalitarianism as reality never stops fact-checking the liberal.

The blogger says: “Women are biologically inferior to men and they know it even when they deny it.” I would add that only by falling into an ever-amplifying downward spiral of male bashing the feminist is able, through the mental gymnastics of cognitive dissonance, to self-bamboozle herself into believing that they are just equal to us.

---

PUBLISHED IN: ALEXIS DE TOCQUEVILLE EGALITARIANISM FEMINISM MANOSPHERE MEN PSYCHOLOGY SEXUAL "LIBERATION" WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 5, 2016 AT 3:44 PM COMMENTS (2)

---


Constantine

Constantine II

Constantinople

Constantius II

Corneliu Zelea Codreanu

Counter-Reformation

Crusades

Currency crash

Daniel (biblical figure)

Dante Alighieri

Darkening Age (book)

David (king of Israel)

David Duke

David Friedrich Strauss

David Irving

David Lane

Day of Wrath (book)

Daybreak Publishing

Death in Venice (movie)

Decius

Degeneracy

Degenerate art

Demeter

Democracy

Democritus

Demography

Denmark

Der Ring des Nibelungen (opera)

Destruction of Greco-Roman world

# *War of the sexes, 15*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

"Winter is Coming"

—1st episode of the 1st season
of *Game of Thrones*

My view about western history has, at its axis, the raciology and histories of the white race from Gobineau to Kemp. Race may be the primary factor, but there are other forces as explained in my approach to psychohistory and now with the blogger's traditionalism cycle. If, at least partially, the blogger's philosophy of history is right, the implications are enormous for us racists. In this and the next couple of entries I'll try to explain some details of the previous post.

*The magic of male scarcity*

In his video "The magic of male scarcity" the blogger says that after those wars in which most males die, the scarcity of men produces patriarchy, as women can do no hard work nor train for the next war. In this post-war scenario a man may have three or four women at his disposal; he could even get rid of three of them. A mere ten percent of men could control ninety percent of women. A woman's blows are scratch, while a single punch from one of us knockouts her, the blogger says.

One or two generations after a devastating war the numerical balance between the males and the females is restored. But gynocentrism is not necessarily restored. The blogger repeats what he has said in other videos: gynocentrism is not an instinct but a cultural choice. He speculates that women in the 1950s were under control due to the deaths of the Second World War, though the soft patriarchy of the 50s lasted only a decade. Then came the baby-boomer generation and the second feminist wave. If a Third World War comes "all those feminists will be sucking our dicks just to know the taste of it."

*That's the magic of male scarcity*. Conversely, the blogger adds that a society that is fifty percent of each sex is incredibly


Diocletian
Dionysus
Dominique Venner
Don Quixote (book)
Donald Trump
Dorians
Dresden
Dwight D. Eisenhower
Dylann Roof
Eastern Orthodox Church
Economy
Edmund Burke
Edward Gibbon
Edward I of England
Egalitarianism
Egypt
Eleusis
Elizabeth I
Emperor Julian
Energy / peak oil
England
Enlightenment
Enoch Powell
Erasmus
Esau's Tears (book)
Eschatology
Essay on the Inequality of Human Races (book)
Ethnic cleansing
Eugenics

gynocentric, as men compete for the women and the latter become choosy to the highest bidder (our species is a mixture of tournament species and pair-bonding species). On the other hand, in a society with few males women have to compete with other women about who among them will be taken under the protecting wings of the brute: their market value has been cheapened by the scarcity of males.

"Feminism itself is a luxury," says the blogger. "It doesn't exist in poor countries, for a reason. In each so-called feminist wave it lasts until the next war causes the male population to become scarce. Male scarcity is the key, and it is inevitable" —inevitable in the sense that *Winter is coming throughout the West.*

---

PUBLISHED IN: ESCHATOLOGY MANOSPHERE MEN PATRIARCHY PHILOSOPHY OF HISTORY WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 5, 2016 AT 11:56 AM COMMENTS (14)

---

# *War of the sexes, 14*

*Update:* The following text is rough draft. The series has been substantially revised and abridged, and the section by the YouTube blogger *Turd Flinging Monkey* is available in a single PDF: here.

_____ 卐 _____

*The traditionalism cycle*

> "The worst form of tyranny the world has ever known is the tyranny of the weak over the strong. It is the only tyranny that lasts."

—Oscar Wilde

The reason that I initiated this series with excerpts from John Spark's book on the science of animal sexuality is that it is the basis to understand human sexuality. The blogger seems to agree: "In order to understand society one must understand reproduction and sexual dimorphism." In both animals and humans patriarchy is a system in which the males have the power, not the females. Power here means which gender controls reproduction and the resources of the species.

We have seen in Sparks' excerpts something that we may call ***Tournament mating***. In tournament species the male skull is


Euripides

Europe

European Union

Eusebius

Evil

Evropa Soberana (webzine)

Ezekiel

Fair Race's Darkest Hour (book)

Fascism

Feminism

Feminized western males

Film

Final solution

First World War

France

Francis Galton

Francis Parker Yockey

Francisco Franco

Franco Zeffirelli

Frankfurt School

Franklin D. Roosevelt

Franks

Franz Boas

Frederick the Great

Free speech / Free press

French Revolution

Friedrich Nietzsche

Friedrich Schiller

larger; males are bigger and stronger but have shorter life spans than females; males compete for or select the females (hence the word "tournament") and after mating often abandon the family. On the other hand, in ***Pair-bonding*** species the skulls are of the same size and shape as well as the bodies of the two genders; they have about the same life spans and the females selects the male; sometimes the female abandons the family. In both forms of mating, the blogger says, "we are addicted to pussy because that's how reproduction works. Without that pussy addiction humanity would have died a long time ago." In his videos this blogger mentions other bloggers of the manosphere, and he often quotes them by their pennames. He devoted five videos to one of his favorite subjects, the first under the title "The traditionalism cycle."

In this blog I have referred several times to *The Course of Empire*, the paintings that Thomas Cole painted in 1833-1836. *The Course of Empire* reflected popular sentiments of the times when many saw pastoralism as the ideal phase of human civilization, fearing that an American empire would lead to gluttony and inevitable decay. Cole's paintings remind me the stages that the blogger tries to explain in his civilizational cycle. Let me rephrase his exposition and add a little input of my own.

The Savage State

*Brutal patriarchy*. Very harsh for women. In the most primitive or barbarous stage of human prehistory, little reds riding hoods are just the property of the wolves. They can be raped or even killed at the discretion of the lycanthrope in question. There is low child survival and early sexual maturity. Both males and resources are scarce and reproduction is prioritized. Endless tribal wars to obtain young females and resources. The male-female relationship is a master-slave one. Polygamy reigns and the way that males get access to the rather cute bodies of their little reds is through tournament mating (see my excerpts of Sparks' first chapter).

The Arcadian or Pastoral State

*Humane patriarchy*. This is the point when civilization began thousands of years ago. Men stop killing each other in tribal wars and women have already some rights. Survival is prioritized and there is more male stability. Polygamy starts to be abandoned (cf. my excerpts of Starks' last chapter). Soft patriarchy also marks the beginning of monogamy and a pair-bonding society. The master-slave relationship is replaced for an adult-child one, where men are the adults and treat women as grown-up children. In this society civilization starts to thrive. The economy of the tribe grows and the population develops patterns to work around the


Fritigern

From Jesus to Hitler (book)

G.W.F. Hegel

Galerius

Galileo Galilee

Game of Thrones

Gaul

Genetics

Genghis Khan

Genrikh Yagoda

Genuine spirituality

Geography

George Lincoln Rockwell

George Orwell

George Washington

Germanic People

Germany

Giorgio de Chirico

Giselher Wirsing

God

Goethe

Gone with the Wind (movie)

Gore Vidal

Goths

Gratian

Greg Johnson

Guillaume Faye

Gulag Archipelago (book)

Gustave Doré

Hadrian

environment. There is still high fertility rate but late sexual maturity. Resource stability increases. Although the laws explicitly favor men over women, an embryonic form of feminism begins. Today's feminists claim that they were oppressed during the humane or soft patriarchy. "They really weren't," says the blogger. "It was a very balanced society if you think about it."

The Consummation of Empire

*Feminism*. High child survival. Low fertility rate and late sexual maturity. Resource stability increases but the welfare state starts to replace the male provider. Women are exempted from their former responsibilities—marriage, motherhood, submissiveness—but men are still obliged to provide resources even after their wives have applied for divorces. Women obtain authority that traditionally was a privilege for men but liberated women cannot be drafted—again, they enjoy authority without responsibilities while men are expected to have exactly the same responsibilities they had in the patriarchal society. The laws favor women and more laws are being issued at the expense of men. The welfare state cannot be reformed because of universal suffrage, and women consist of 51-52 percent of the population. "Once women can vote the slow death begins and cannot be stopped democratically."

Destruction

*Feminism run amok*. Harsh for men. The women have now completely betrayed us by claiming that they don't need us anymore. Since egalitarianism cannot be enforced by laws in a dimorphic species like humans, it devolves into open misandry: an anti-male society, or more specifically an anti-white males society. Right now we are in this terminal stage. All those horror stories of the divorce courts we hear in the men's rights movement describe this late stage. We can see it in Japan too, even though the Japanese don't suffer a Jewish problem. If Third Reich Germany was destined to become an Empire of the *Yang*, what we might be calling the Empire of the *yin* reigns today throughout the West. According to the blogger this is our paradox: "The more peaceful or successful a society becomes the closer it becomes to collapse." There are no matriarchal civilizations in recorded human history because it is men who carry civilization over our own shoulders.

Desolation

*Economic collapse*. Marriage is abandoned. The welfare state becomes overburdened and finally crashes. The demographic winter of whites ends in societal collapse. Once civilization collapses "the whole system


Hamlet (1948 film)

Hannibal

Hans F. K. Günther

Harold Covington

Harry S. Truman

Hate

Heinrich Himmler

Hellstorm (book)

Helmut Stellrecht

Henry VIII

Heracles

Hermann (Arminius)

Hermann Göring

Hermann Samuel Reimarus

Hernán Cortés

Herod the Great

Herodotus

Hesiod

Hieronymus Bosch

Hippocrates

History

History of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire (book)

Hitler Youth

Hitler's table talk (book)

Hojas Susurrantes (book)

Holocaust

Holodomor

Homer

Homosexuality

resets back to traditionalism." According to the blogger the best way to keep women at bay is through poverty. More specifically, in order to reestablish patriarchy three factors must come together: a hostile environment, male scarcity and resource scarcity. The blogger believes that there cannot be a return to patriarchy without the three factors because, to use his crude words, women would still use their pussies to obtain what they want. In a non-collapsed milieu they won't submit yet but trade sex for food and protection. But we represent survival for the weak sex. Once these factors come together women will beg us to protect them as in times of yore. If there are no men around women, the latter start dying like flies.

* * *

As I said, the blogger devoted five videos to explain the cycle that I am paraphrasing here, injecting bits of pro-white concerns absent in his YouTube channel.

In one of his videos he used the paradigm of Ancient Rome, when the father was the judge, jury and executioner of the family (*pater familias*). Roman history does not even register how many apprentices of feminists were executed by their husbands or fathers, as women are still executed today by husbands and fathers in the Muslim world. In our culture, decadence started after the Second Punic War, when a vital law was abolished. *Lex Oppia* restricted not only a woman's wealth (it forbade any woman to possess more than half an ounce of gold) but also her display of wealth. Unsuccessfully, Cato the Elder opposed the abrogation of that law and Roman feminists harvested other triumphs, even in the Senate, and the trend smoothly continued up to the Christian era. By the time of the Byzantine Empire even mudblood women could inherit property.

The Roman Empire disintegrated but the Middle Ages rectified Rome's mistake throughout Europe by getting back to patriarchy. After the Enlightenment the cycle that Cato opposed started again, with women "reclaiming their rights" and writing pamphlets. The eighteenth century influenced the nineteenth century, especially in England. In the United States the turning point occurred when women obtained the right to vote in 1920, although the women's movement had started in 1848. The welfare state initiated in 1935 with Social Security and was expanded in 1965 to include Medicare. "No fault divorce" was another escalation of feminism, in addition to the 1967 initiative for affirmative action for women. From the 1990s feminism transformed itself into runaway feminism. In 2010 the welfare state was expanded again to include Obamacare. The beneficiaries of this state are women, especially single mothers, not men. Marginalizing the engine of society will end in economic collapse, something that I believe will happen


Honorius (emperor)

Horace

Human sacrifice

Huns

Hunter (novel)

Hypatia of Alexandria

Ibycus

Iceland

Iliad (epic book)

Immanuel Kant

Immigration laws

Impeachment of Man (book)

India

Individualism

Indo-European heritage

Industrial Revolution

Infanticide

Inquisition

Intelligence quotient (IQ)

Ireland

Isaac Newton

Isabella I of Castile

Isaiah (prophet)

Islam

Islamization of Europe

Israel

Italy

James Mason

James Watson

under the watch of the next US president, whether Clinton or Trump.

For the blogger, the most important question is exactly when we handed power over these creatures of long hair and short ideas. “We dropped the ball when we ceded authority to women.” He illustrates the cycle in an elaborate diagram:




PUBLISHED IN: ANCIENT ROME CATO DEGENERACY FEMINISM MANOSPHERE MEN PAINTING PATRIARCHY PHILOSOPHY OF HISTORY THOMAS COLE UNITED STATES WEST'S DARKEST HOUR WOMEN ON NOVEMBER 4, 2016 AT 1:39 PM COMMENTS (7)

« Older Entries

Newer Entries »

Jane Austen
Japan
Jared Taylor
Jean-Jacques Rousseau
Jefferson Davis
Jeffrey Masson
Jeremy Bentham
Jerusalem
Jesus
Jewish hate groups
Jewish question (JQ)
Jewish–Roman wars
Jez Turner
Johannes Gutenberg
Johannes Kepler
John Calvin
John F. Kennedy
John Locke
John Milton
John Modrow
John of Patmos
John Stuart Mill
John the Evangelist
John Tyndall
José María Morelos
José Vasconcelos
Joseph Goebbels
Joseph Stalin
Josephus
Joshua
Jovian

Judaism

Julian (novel)

Julius Caesar

Julius Firmicus Maternus

Justice

Justinian I

Juvenal

Karl Marx

Karl Popper

Karlheinz Deschner

Kenneth Clark

Kevin MacDonald

Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums (books)

Ku Klux Klan

Lactantius

Latin America

Lawrence Auster

Leon Trotsky

Leonardo da Vinci

Leonidas

Libanius

Liberalism

Library of Alexandria

Literature

London

Lord of the Rings (novel / film)

Lothrop Stoddard

Louis XIV of France

Louis-Ferdinand Céline

Luke the Evangelist

Lycurgus

Maccabees

Madison Grant

Mainstream media

Manosphere

Manu Rodríguez (blogger)

March of the Titans (book)

Marcus Aurelius

Marcus Eli Ravage

Mark the Evangelist

Mark Twain

Mark Weber

Marriage

Martin Bormann

Martin Luther

Marxism

Materialism / capitalism

Maternus Cynegius

Matt Koehl

Matthew the Evangelist

Matthias Grünewald

Maxfield Parrish

Maya civilization

Mein Kampf (book)

Men

Metaphysics of race / sex

Mexico

Michael O'Meara

Michelangelo

Middle Ages

Middle East

Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla

Militarism

Miscegenation

Miscellany

Moctezuma II

Monarchy

Mongols

Monocausalism

Montaigne

Montesquieu

Morgenthau Plan

Moscow

Moses (Hebrew lawgiver)

Music

My pinacoteca

Name of the Rose (novel)

Napoleon

National Socialism

Neanderthalism

Nero

New Spain

New Testament

New York

Newspeak

Niccolò Machiavelli

Nicolaus Copernicus

Non-white immigration

Nordicism

Norman Rockwell

North America

Norway

Nuremberg

Obituaries

Occam's razor

Occidental Observer (webzine)

Odysseus / Ulysses

Old Testament

Oliver Cromwell

On the Genealogy of Morality (book)

On The Historicity of Jesus (book)

Opera

Oracle of Delphi

Oratory

Origen

Oswald Mosley

Otto von Bismarck

Ottoman Empire

Ovid

Painting

Parapsychology

Paris

Parsifal (opera)

Parthenon

Passing of the Great Race (book)

Patriarchy

Pedagogy

Pederasty

Percy Bysshe Shelley

Pericles

Persephone

Persia

Peter Schiff

Petronius

Philippe Rushton

Philo

Philosophy

Philosophy of history

Pindar

Plato

Pliny the Elder

Plutarch

Poetry

Poland

Polybius

Pompey

Pope Francis (Francisco I)

Pope Gregory I

Pope Theophilus of Alexandria

Porphyry of Tyre

Portugal

Pre-Columbian America

Prehistory

Pride & Prejudice (2005 movie)

Pro-white exterminationism

Protestantism

Pseudoscience

Psychiatry

Psychoanalysis

Psychohistory

Psychology

Puritans

Quotable quotes

Racial studies

Rape of the Sabine Women

Raphael

Real men

Recceswinth

Reconquista

Red terror

Reformation

Reinhard Heydrich

Religion

Rembrandt

Renaissance

René Descartes

Republic (Plato's book)

Revilo Oliver

Rhodesia

Richard Carrier

Richard Wagner

Richard Walther Darré

Rising Tide of Color (book)

Robert Jay Mathews

Roger Devlin

Romanticism

Rome vs. Judea (book)

Romulus

Ronald Reagan

Russia

Russian Revolution

Sappho

Satyricon (novel)

Savitri Devi

Schizophrenia

Schutzstaffel (SS)

Science

Second World War

Seneca

Sense and Sensibility (movie)

Sexual "liberation"

Siege (book)

Sigmund Freud

Silvano Arieti

Skepticism

Sleeping Beauty (1959 film)

Socrates

Solomon

Solon

South Africa

Soviet Union

Spain

Sparta (Lacedaemon)

Sponsor

St Ambrose

St Athanasius

St Augustine

St Cyril of Alexandria

St Francis

St Ignatius of Antioch

St Irenaeus

St Jerome

St John Chrysostom

St Paul

St Peter

St Thomas Aquinas

Stefan Zweig

Stilicho

Strabo

Struggle with the Daimon (book)

Sturmabteilung (SA)

Suetonius

Summer, 1945 (book)

Sweden

Switzerland

Sword

Tacitus

Temple of Artemis

Temple of Jerusalem

Temple of Serapis

Tenochtitlan

Tertullian

Thebes

Theoderic the Great

Theodore Lidz

Theodoret

Theodosius I

Theodosius II

Theology

Third Reich

Thomas Cole

Thomas Goodrich

Thomas Hobbes

Thomas Jefferson

Thomas Szasz

Thucydides

Thus spoke Zarathustra (book)

Tiberius

Titus

Tom Sunic

Trajan

Transvaluation of all values

Trauma model of mental disorders

Turin Shroud

Turner Diaries (novel)

Twilight of the idols (book)

Two Hundred Years Together (book)

Ukraine

Ulfilas

Uncategorized

Uncle Tom's Cabin (novel)

United Kingdom

United States

Universalism

Valens

Valentinian I

Valentinian II

Valentinian III

Valerian

Vercingetorix

Vespasian

Videos

Vienna

Vikings

Vincent van Gogh

Vladimir Lenin

Vladimir Putin

Vlassis Rassias

Voltaire

W.B. Yeats

Ward Kendall

WDH radio show

Welfare of animals

West's darkest hour

White-slave trade

Who We Are (book)

Wikipedia

Wilhelm Sieglin

Will Durant

William Blake

William James

William Pierce

William Shakespeare

Winston Churchill

Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart

Women

Wuthering Heights (novel)

Xenophon

Yearling (novel)

Zeus

Zosimus

Zweites Buch



The greatest of the “conservative” thinkers, Joseph de Maistre, pointed out long ago that the French Revolution led the revolutionaries rather than was led by them. For he believed that certain Providential forces rule our lives. These forces he saw in Christian terms, but others, like Heidegger, for instance, saw them in terms of Being, over which humans have no control.

In either case, the force of Providence or Being or Destiny has a power that has often made itself felt in our history. For this reason, I have little doubt that Europeans will eventually throw off the Judeo-liberal system programming their destruction. I’m less confident about we Americans, given the greater weakness of our collective identity and destiny. But nevertheless even we might be saved from ourselves by this force—as long as we do what is still in our power to do.

—Michael O'Meara

BLOGROLL

The Occidental Observer (scholarly site about the Jewish Question).

Nazi Propaganda Guide Page (not exactly a National Socialist friendly site but very informative of primary NS sources).

The legacy of Wm. Pierce

David Irving's Website

Jake F.'s NS Archive

IN SPANISH

La hora más oscura

From Guillaume Faye's "Mars & Hephaestus":

The twenty-first century will be a century of iron and storms. It will not resemble those harmonious futures predicted up to the 1970s. It will not be the global village prophesied by Marshall MacLuhan in 1966, or Bill Gates' planetary network, or Francis Fukuyama's end of history: a liberal global civilization directed by a universal state.

The Third Age of European Civilization commences, in a tragic acceleration of the historical process, with the Treaty of Versailles and end of the civil war of 1914-18: the catastrophic twentieth century. Four generations were enough to undo the labor of more than forty. Europe fell victim to its own tragic Prometheanism, its own opening to the world and universalism, oblivious of all ethnic solidarity.

The Fourth Age of European civilization begins today. It will be the Age of rebirth or perdition. The twenty-first century will be for this civilization, the fateful century, the century of life or death.

Let us cultivate the pessimistic optimism of Nietzsche. "There is no more order to conserve; it is necessary to create a new one." Will the beginning of the twenty-first century be difficult? Are all the indicators in the red? So much the better. They predicted the end of history after the collapse of the USSR? We wish to speed its return: thunderous, bellicose, and archaic. Islam resumes its wars of conquest. China and India wish to become superpowers. And so forth. The twenty-first century will be placed under the double sign of Mars, the god of war, and of Hephaestus, the god who forges swords, the master of technology and the chthonic fires. This century will be that of the metamorphic rebirth of Europe, like the Phoenix, or of its disappearance as a historical civilization and its transformation into a cosmopolitan and sterile Luna Park.

The beginning of twenty-first century will be the despairing midnight of the world of which Hölderlin spoke. But it is always darkest before the dawn. Let us prepare our children for war. Let us educate our youth, be it only a minority, as a new aristocracy.

Today we need more than morality. We need hypermorality, the Nietzschean ethics of difficult times. When one defends one's people, i.e., one's own children, one defends the essential. Then one follows the rule of Agamemnon and Leonidas but also of Charles Martel: what prevails is the law of the sword, whose bronze or steel reflects the glare of the sun.

**T A G S:**

4 words




*For Spanish-speakers:* an autobiography of the editor of this site in two volumes is available: here and here.




He who has not read *Day of Wrath* has not looked at the admin of this site in the eyes. A hard copy is available: here. For an introduction see: here.




_____ 卐 _____

"Hate is not some useless organ like the appendix. It's there for a reason.

Why does Christianity do all it can to talk us out of necessary and functional drives?

Well, the answer is that it's a bit of software meant to disable our enemy recognition module. Christianity preaches blind love, and that love is murdering the West". – Alex Linder

"Why were you so ungrateful to our gods as to desert them for the Jews?" —Emperor Julian to the Christians

Regarding the sticky post see esp. post #37.

For the context of the Christian problem see: here.

Donate

Search

When you forbid your enemy to hate, you've disarmed him.

RSS 2.0Comments RSS 2.0

Close and accept Privacy & Cookies: This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this website, you agree to their use.
To find out more, including how to control cookies, see here:

Follow