Linder on Breivik

Here's Alex Linder's take on Anders Breivik from his VNN Forum (source). No ellipsis added between unquoted sentences or quotations from other VNN commenters:

There's a soft side and a hard side.

The soft side is VNN/F, all White websites, any kind of educational outreach, a potential White HS [home schooling] curriculum (that does not exist and no one shows interest in creating).

The hard side is killing the enemy.

* * *

The people agree with us but are scared of the consequences of being called haters.

Who calls us haters, extremists and the rest of the litany? The communists, socialists, leftists, illiberals, feminists, journalists. But who creates the terms and frames and entire ideo-structure? The jews do. They set the agenda. The determine the framework. They raise the issue and define the contestants. They define what is inside the pale and what is outside.

They cut it so everyone opposed to them is sick 'n' evil. There is no middle. You cannot be opposed to their agenda and retain your integrity in their eyes, and more importantly, in their mass media.

How do you fight this? On the hard stuff, you simply kill them off. If their technical prowess is such that they can break up cells by early detection, thanks to their owning the FBI and other spy agencies, then obviously those who would take them out must act alone or with one or two people they'd trust with their lives to keep their mouth shut, since their lives are indeed what's at stake if they screw up, and very likely even if they don't screw up.



This site in a nutshell: here. See also "The 14 words" and "New tablets of stone".

The Fair Race's Darkest Hour is a compilation of texts by seventeen authors that changed my world-view. A softcover edition of the book is available: here.



Once a man is willing to risk it all, a lot can be done from the hard side. That's what Breivik's act demonstrates. It's not part of a larger plan, obviously, for the reasons I just stated—the enemy is able to prevent enemy networks from being established, as far as we can tell, judging by what we've seen since WWII. So his act was propaganda of the deed. Ably executed, and with an ideological backdrop and context he made sure to circulate, so that all could see his actions as part of a wider strategy, waiting for others to pitch in and push along.

Rounder correctly said that the jews are all in while the goyim who serve them are mostly opportunists. That means, as he said, and I've observed the same myself, the goyim are more lightly guarded. Jews have been racial criminals, shifty-eyed parasites, for 2,000+ years. It's what they know. It's what they are. They don't *have* another way to be. All their eggs are in one basket, and as Twain advised, they are guarding that basket. They don't have any plan B fallback option. They *expect* to be attacked. After all, they know what they're doing! Jews are some of the most detail-oriented, microscopically-observant, mini-movement obsessed people on earth. They not only know what they are doing to us, and know they obtain racial advantage from their tricks, they positively enjoy degrading our culture and torturing our people. That's the psychological and political truth: jews obtain a near-sexual *joy* from *torturing our people*.

These jews must be exterminated at some point—all European-jew history screams with one voice that any other way of treating the threat they present *will not* work because it *cannot* work. Jews can no more change the nature of their race than termites can stop eating wood. Only the catholic christ cult dogma that jews are just men like any other defined only by their non-conversion to the One True Way keeps us from seeing what is obvious.

The point is for any hard-siders, the goyim serve the jews *not* because they *like* the jews (no matter what it's in their interest to claim in public), but because they *fear* the jews and *like* the benefits that sucking up to and serving the jews gets them. All that means to those who don't like the existing order is that if there were anywhere near equal and opposite pressure on these weaklings and sellouts—they would find some pretext to flee quickly to the other side.

Remember always the basic lie of the jews, their fundamental conceit: that *they* rather than *we* speak for *our* community. They can get away with this monstrous imposture because they have suborned too many of our elite; they have created and surrounded themselves with goypuppets; and they control the official voices that most white humans biologically incline to respect and listen

A translation of the work of Karlheinz Deschner on the criminal history of Christianity is available: here.

Thomas Goodrich's *Hellstorm* is the most important book of the 21st century.



Its subject-matter: the Holocaust perpetrated by the Allied forces on the Germans, civilians included (here).



Here: an SS pamphlet explaining National Socialism.

How we are light-years away from the secular, Neo-Christian ethics of the Alt-Right can be surmised in "Darwin's exterminationism".

to and follow. But if the loudspeakers are taken out of their hands, and a new voice comes over them—the people will follow that which in their hearts they *genuinely* prefer, and default to *white normal* with no small relief! And the jews well know this. If they were actually self-deceptive about what they're doing, they would believe their own bullshit and not worry about "hate" and "extremism." But they *do* worry about it, enough to lie about its existence, to turn *white normal* into *hate*, and to root out and scream down or set up anyone who dares to resist.

The jews are the ringleaders, but in pure numbers, most of their frontmen and lower-level servants are raceless, self-interested goyim. An example: Rush Limbaugh used to criticize homos. One time a bunch of homos arranged to show up at his late TV show, and when he started going off, they started screaming at him. After that, he basically never criticized homos again. He yielded to a pretty small bit of verbal/economic pressure.

"Those who make peaceful revolution impossible make violent revolution inevitable."—John F. Kennedy. Breivik's actions are a perfect example of what JFK was talking about.

You lying judeo-communists filling our clean, successful, calm Norway with violent stupid third-world rapists and murderers can call us "haters," "extremists," and "racists," and all the other filth terms in your liar's lexicon all day long—but you are the real haters, the real killers, and the real usurpers. And you are going to get exactly what you deserve. *Itz coming*.

[Source:]

You said you want critical responses, so here's mine.

> And that's a big part of my beef with Breivik and other similar stunts. There's nothing to run to. It's not scary if it's a one-off. Anybody can be gotten to. That's not news.

It most certainly is news. When was that last time anyone did in literally dozens of White enemies in two fell swoops—expertly planned, competently carried out? I've never seen it in my lifetime—and if you're going to mistakenly refer to Oklahoma City, please remember that was a government sting operation, produced by our enemy, *not* by our guys. McVeigh didn't actuate the plan, and McVeigh was never, at any point in his life, a racialist. All ZOG lies. Remember Solzhenitsyn's quote:

> To defend oneself, one must also be ready to die. There is little such readiness in a society raised in the cult of material well-being. Nothing is left, then, but concessions, attempts to gain time, and betrayal. —Alexander Solzhenitsyn

Breivik sacrificed his future to save his nation. He acted heroically.



Presently *Siege* is only available as a PDF.

"1945 was the year of the total inversion of Aryan values into Christian values." —Joseph Walsh

"With the death of Adolf Hitler in the close of the 2nd World War in 1945 Western civilization, as it had existed and is still perceived DIED once and for all. The only thing that was left now was a gene pool." —**James Mason**

"The fall of Stalingrad is the finish of Europe. There was a cataclysm. The core of it all was Stalingrad. There you can say it was finished and well finished, the white civilisation." —**L.F. Céline**

He had other choices, but chose the most difficult of them. He showed what is possible, not just technically but spiritually. He showed that despite everything we've learned from jewish sitcoms and public schools that sex, money and material goods are *not* what life is all about—at least not to some people. Because Breivik had it all—looks, money, youth, a shining future. Yet none of that mattered to him as much as the spiritual need to defend his own people. That is manly. That is heroic. That is Western. That is *white*.

"Nothing new here." In a sense you're right. Something very *old* here. Not everyone thinks "it's all good" and abandons his waking interstice to sex, drugs and rock 'n' roll.

> It doesn't have to be the entire populace—maybe 5%, maybe 10%—some threshold. Within that percentage, you are recognized as legitimate, despite the shit hurled against you by your opponent. You can't win hearts and minds by first blowing people away—even people who deserve it. You haven't at that point established any accepted opposition.

You're talking about Mao's guerrilla war tactics. It makes no sense to judge Breivik by that standard because he wasn't part of a cell, to all appearances, no matter what he said.

I would separate the discussion into two parts: 1) what was Breivik's intent, and what did he achieve, by that measure? 2) how would hypothetical "hardists" be wise to act if they want to rid the West of the judeo-communist elite murdering our nations?

> First you establish your core. It's unassailable. Then, perhaps, one could use political violence—to establish among the fence sitters that you do in fact mean business. But you can't do that until you can't be quashed, until your core is large enough to withstand the blowback. Surviving that, you expand the core with the influx of fence-sitters who are now believers. It's a feedback loop to your favor.

Sure… but this is obvious, and you're discussing it at a level that's too abstract to be useful.

"Establish your core." Yeah, ok. What does that mean? Not in theory, but in actuality. How are you going to do that in an age in which virtually all communications are collected and analyzed; and seemingly most actual physical movements are videotaped?

Forming a physical core seems a rather difficult thing to do, if there is any outward sign this core is bent on physical fighting (or really, anything more than virtual whining). We know the history of the White movement in the 20th century. It's nothing but a history of being set up and infiltrated and destroyed. Do you know how to change this? Do you have the technical solution, or the

To unplug yourself from the Matrix you really need to undemonize Adolf Hitler, Heinrich Himmler, National Socialism and the Third Reich. Click **here** to hit ten articles on vital info about the Second World War that the controlled media concealed from you.

Gens alba conservanda est
("The white race must be preserved")

Worldly gain at the expense of the *Volk* is the main cause of the ongoing destruction of the white race.

See "Revaluation of values": a paraphrase from Francis Parker's Yockey's *The Enemy of Europe*.

organizational solution?

> And Breivik's target... How was that helpful?

That's been answered. Apparently you don't agree with the answer, but if you have a better one, you haven't stated it.

Norway is a country of 5 million. It is run by socialists bent on doing in the Nordic nature of the country and turning into another third-world shithole. A good portion of the rising generation of leaders of its main party has been taken out in a single calendar day. "How is that helpful?" I should think the answer is pretty obvious.

> Imagine the electorate. Cow-like. Obtuse. That's who votes. That's who you're winning over.

Ok, so you're driving off the usual "we have to appeal to people, we have to win them over." But that is wrong. The way to look at it is what I said in my post above: assume the people are with us, based on the fact that, well, they are. The reason they don't *follow* us or *vote* for us is because they quite rationally *fear* the consequences of loss of status and livelihood. What will get rid of that fear? Eliminating the people who are causing it: the corrupt elite who will not allow their political monopoly on power to be voted out of office.

That's the whole argument, which you're simply avoiding, defaulting to the typical WN [white nationalist] conservative view: that we're just another electoral option, who must gamely persevere in a rigged game until we finally somehow win at 3-card monte. Breivik ain't playing the rigged game. He's not playing democratic politics, he's playing the same game the totalitarians-pretending-to-be-democrats are: he's playing *ultimate politics*. There are no rules. The battle goes to the winner, and no one asks how victory was achieved. And all that is life itself, which all operates on that principle, no matter what the religious fool, to be redundant, asserts out of his ignorant cowardice about god and morals.

How can "we" win if we're called haters, thrown in jail for making arguments, and denied access to the main media on the same basis as the people occupying the government? There is no way. We cannot win. Breivik shows there's a way that, potentially at least, we can win. Not one of those dead judeo-socialist nits will ever admit a Somali into Oslo; lead a campaign to normalize sexual perversion in Trondheim public schools; order the bailiff to seize the children from the parents who have taught it that Norway belongs to light-eyed, flaxen-haired Scandinavians. That is victory. However small, it is victory.

Humans are a profoundly imitative species. Breivik knows that. It's why he did what he did. One reason anyway.

Fortunately, the collapse of the dollar that is coming will mark the beginning of the end of America's economic and cultural hegemony over Europe.

"The sign of the times is degeneracy. This term—degeneracy—sums up all that is happening to the West."

CONTACT:

cesartort (at) yahoo



"RACISM":

On the origin of the word "racist"

The facade of the System oppressing Whites is democratic. Deliberately is created the illusion that things-as-they-are be the result of neutral machinery, rather than a nasty dark tyranny inside a big-grinnin' Richard Nixon bankrobbing mask. But when you try to get a little o' that tasty democratic process (laws, courts, established procedures, mass media access) for your own White self to create fundamental change in favor of your people, the gigantic *majority*... why, you find that the works are jammed, and your call isn't put through. No matter how many times you redial.

Breivik called the System's bluff. He played not the game the oppressed are supposed to play, but the game the actual rulers of the country are playing: "Just win, baby!" as NFL jew Davis once put it. The System does not like that. It damages its facade, it shows it's not invincible, it puts ideas in the heads of onlookers who just maybe are tired of getting mugged and harassed by the mud monsters the leftists keep bringing into the city centers. All bad for the jewish-left trying to nation-wreck Norway.

> Now you go out and blow away a bunch of sitting ducks on an island.
>
> What connection does Bjorg dipshit, out on his fjord, make of that? Nothing positive. "But they were the new cadre of destroyers!" Yes they were. "They deserved it!" Can't argue with you.
>
> But the vast majority of the white public does not and will never understand that. It's intangible. It's too intellectual. The crowd doesn't get that, and never will.

The crowd understands exactly what it needs to: the reason Breivik did what he did. The fact that he killed a *lot* of enemy.

This, as the economists say, exerts downward pressure on the number of jusos (young socialists) who might want to be part of next summer's fun-in-the-sun commie indoctrination camp, and it exerts upward pressure on any enheartened by the idea that, hey, maybe I could kill a few punk-ass hate-communists too!

If it were put to a vote whether Norway should open its borders to Africa and the rest of the Third World, would the majority vote to do that, or to retain Norway's boundaries and character? The majority would vote for the latter. So the argument breaks Breivik's way. The majority is made up of people who have to step lightly in *their* biggest cities; who have daughters and sisters and friends who have been robbed, raped and harassed by the

The word "racism" from the Nietzschean viewpoint

Two texts by Wm. Pierce:

1. Best article on the Jewish question

2. The West's darkest hour

See how using non-white labor in the Ancient World or capitalism in the Modern World is the main factor for white decline:

Who We Are (abridged)

For a couple of articles on Richard Wagner and LOTR click on pics below:

monkeys the socialists let in. They are intelligent enough to grasp what Breivik meant by his act, whether they agree with it or not. That's all that matters. Of course one act won't win the population over into active resistance, no more than the first pickaxe blow splits the boulder. But it does make the public opens its eyes, look around, sniff the wind, wonder just what might be going on here. Put the first little shiver of doubt in the ruling party, and make the herd nervous that maybe, just maybe, there's going to be a battle for authority.

> Now, sink a ship of dusky invaders crossing the Skagerrak, and simultaneously blow away the immigration ministry where these "liberal" kids are working—even Bjorg can figure that out, and almost certainly applaud.

Except the whole problem is how passive whites have become, especially in the Nordic countries. If Breivik killed a bunch of niggers or muslims, they would fight back with great vengeance, which would be blamed on him. Instead he went after the whiteskin leaders of the passives—and all *they* will ever do is hold a candlelight vigil. Which they already have. Yeah, they'll make noise about taking away butter knives and requiring a journalist license to use nouns and adjectives outside the Official Vocabulary List, but that means nothing. Norway already has no freedoms worth mentioning. Multiply zero as many times as you want, and the result is the same.

The most important right of all is the one that no man can rescind: the right to fight back against your enemy.

> The hard part is building the core. You can't begin to think of anything serious on the macro scale before you've accomplished this. You must win hearts and minds. There's no equation (that I'm aware of). Maybe there will be after we're through. It's chaotic.

You're confusing two different things. The need to have a base for a guerrilla war, per Mao's doctrine, and the need for WN to spread their message. We can't win hearts and minds in the mass way you're talking about without control of tv, and that is the same thing as saying we've won the revolution. It simply doesn't have anything to do with violence; they're two separate considerations. We have to assume people are with us, which is biologically true, as we represent *white normality*, and work to reduce the *fear* that prevents them from associating and working with us politically. Striking physical blows at the enemy reduces their fear of ZOG, since they see right before their eyes ZOG elitists being blown away.

You think some Norwegian journalists and bureaucrats aren't

The Jewish Problem (Jewish authors):

Larry Auster's unpublished chapter

Excerpts of *Esau's Tears*

The Jewish Problem (non-Jewish authors):

Definition of anti-Semitism

The Culture of Critique's Preface

History of Jewry:

going to think twice after this event? Or after it happens a few more times? Of course they will. It's human nature. If all the blows are struck by one side against the other, then who the heck wants to join the losing side? But if the blows begin to run *both* ways, why, then it becomes a much more interesting question. Joining with the pro-Norway forces, if they prove they're serious, as Breivik has, begins to become a serious option in the eyes of the people. Who of course fall into the usual bell curve of cowardice/bravery. Bravery not only attracts the brave, it emboldens the less brave. It shifts the bravery curve to the right—it increases the amount of bravery, just as men literally generate more testosterone when they triumph at something. That's how men are.

Our side is so bitchy and whiny *because* all we do is take take take blows and never deliver them, except in our cutesy little typings. Well, this guy, altho not technically one of us, *did* deliver a blow. ZOG/Norway has indeed been hurt by Breivik's action.

> Stuff like a homeschool curriculum feeds into this. Not sexy. But part of it. Winning hearts and minds. Winning women. Winning children.

Yes—it creates actual community, mental and physical. But it's entirely a different and smaller order than controlling tv, which is the only real way to effect mass mind change. That means, you're never going to build up a large enough community to get the support for violence through homeschooling, so it's not part of that discussion. WHS is just something that should be done for its own sake, as part of the soft agenda. The average white is with us in the sense I've said many times. That is a political fact. But that "with us" doesn't mean anything until we're in position to leverage it, which means we have a political force that speaks for it cogently (offers it defense, racial aid, and a plausible new system to counter/replace ZOG's). WHS is for growing a hard seedcore of people who aren't just white but *White*—white not just racially but White politically, socially, consciously, organically and life-contextually. The parallel would be to conservative christians building HS networks and setting up HS colleges and law schools—they've created a full, if small, parallel culture to the ZOG mainstream culture, even if they've yielded (or never had opposed) the ZOG ideology on the central points (equalitarianism, loosely).

> The first 5%: It's a meat grinder. It isn't pretty. For the vast

The saga of the European Jews

Jew vs. White: More than 3,000 years of conflict



"Racism" is just an expression of evolution. All species go through racial separation on their path to speciation. No exceptions.

In humans racist is just a person who loves his race, for example the nymphs on this sidebar. But in today's mad West the term "racist" de facto means *someone who loves the white race* to the point of wanting to preserve it.

> majority who lead in this period, who play a role that will have been pivotal later on, there will be no glory, no accolades. That's just the way it is.
>
> So I really don't want to read about Breivik being a hero or a genius. He isn't. Smart guy? Generally… apparently. He gets a big mark for having balls, that I grant.
>
> But so what? What base has he built? Don't give me any brain-dead "starting a fire" crap. He wouldn't have had occasion to recognize (to his immense credit) the imminent danger posed to Norway by non-white immigration if his society were amenable to righting itself by the act he committed.

You're conflating two different things. There is a hell of a lot more than 5% of Norway that doesn't want muds let in to ruin the country.

You're saying that Norway can't begin to physically resist until 10% are hard-core, ideologically solid, professionally and personally networked racial nationalists. My counter to that is you're forgetting the size of the country, and the demographics. There's 5m people, and about 500k mud invaders, and more coming daily. There isn't enough time to do what you describe. The muds will overwhelm before any ideologically solid base can be built.

Rather, resistance must base on never having control of mass media, but being on the side of the Norwegian majority, which does not want Oslo turned into Lagos. Based on that unchanging passive support, others following Breivik must through their deeds and organization convert passive feelings into positive action. Taking out a bunch of the vile and murderous and nation-wrecking enemy seems to me a pretty darn good way to do that.

After all, as the Italians say, "eating makes appetite."

PUBLISHED IN: ALEX LINDER ANDERS BREIVIK CIVIL WAR FINAL SOLUTION JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) JUSTICE NORWAY ON AUGUST 15, 2011 AT 7:21 PM COMMENTS (21)

Trainspotter comment

His latest comment at *Majority Rights*

:

Apologies for the length, but I got carried away.

Women from our viewpoint:

The eternal feminine

On racial IQ studies:

The new enemies of science

The roots of civilisation



Who am I?:

See an excerpted translation from the German Metapedia article about me.

HUMAN SKIN COLORS:

> Leon Haller: “The problem of white survival is rapidly passing from a philosophical to a military one.”

Well put. Indeed, it is already a military problem, in the sense that it is impossible to imagine our current system and form of government taking the steps necessary to cleanse our lands. As a practical matter, things have progressed well beyond that. I believe that Powell understood as much back then, that if things weren’t nipped in the bud very quickly, it would be too late. Too late, that is, for a peaceful electoral solution. Not too late for other approaches.

While not advocating anything, if we could skip into direct cleansing of our lands (the “military” solution), that would have obvious benefits. But without the necessary philosophical foundation, it’s simply not going to happen. There has to be a significant segment (it won’t require a majority, or even close) of the white population that becomes convinced that force is both moral and necessary in order to restore their ancestral homelands. Right now, we aren’t there yet. We don’t even have a proper sense of ourselves, of what we are, much less a firm conviction that it is proper to use force in order to secure our existence. Until that changes, our fortunes will not improve, at least not outwardly.

In fact, the anti-white philosophical revolution is still making progress in some areas, though atrophying in others. For example, the highest-ranking military officers are now incredibly politically correct, often shockingly so. (I don’t mention that to imply that the “military” solution must necessarily come from a formal, currently constituted military force). I doubt they are even faking it anymore. Our enemies have long feared a rogue military, and this fear has been evident for generations—see Dr. Strangelove as discussed by Yggdrasil. They have acted upon their fears and turned the military into pathetic, docile and subservient dogs. Goodbye old paint, and tradition and honor while you’re at it.

Point is that the philosophical foundational work cannot be skipped. There is no way around it. I’d love to be proven wrong on that, but I won’t be. Our enemies worked on spreading their ideas in the first half of the twentieth century, and by the latter half they had altered the worldview of a significant portion of the white population. They then were able to reap the benefits. Of course, we have to do our job with far fewer resources, and we will not be allowed to march through the institutions. But we have emerging technologies to work with, a cause that is just, and a little thing called truth on our side. In any event, we’re not gods capable of producing manna from heaven, so we must work with what is available.

As an example that I’ve written about quite a bit, I’ve personally



ARCHIVES

Select Month

CATEGORIES

14 words

2001: A Space Odyssey (movie)

Abortion

Abraham (patriarch)

Abraham Lincoln

Achilles

Adam Smith

Adolf Hitler

Adversus Christianos (book)

Africa

Against the Fall of Night (novel)

Agamemnon

Alaric

Albert Lindemann

Albert Schweitzer

Albert Speer

observed the spread of libertarian ideas in our society, and while not nearly as suppressed as white nationalism, they accomplished much of it online or using other means that are available to us. There was no massive march through the institutions, etc., so there is more than one way to skin a cat. Point is that ideas can in fact spread with fairly minimal money and institutional support. You need some, but not as much as most would think.

I think one problem with white nationalists is that they have never really experienced the successful spreading of an idea with only limited means. As a former libertarian, I have experienced this. I understand how it is done, and as esoteric as some of our conversations are, I'm telling you that we're doing it right here, right now. The usual suspects can snicker all they like, but this is one way that it works—and it does work.

As to White Zion, I'm interested in learning more. Once you guys get a site up, I'll check it out. It's good to have out of the box thinking, and on a related note I must admit that I've become more sympathetic to the Northwest idea as time has gone on (I realize your WZ concept is very different). But at the end of the day, unless whites regain a worldview in which they are willing to fight for their survival, I don't expect much to come of anything.

Yet I have optimism on this point: I believe regaining a sense of ourselves is in fact going to happen. In fact, I believe it to be happening as we speak, though like other ideas that do not meet system approval, much of the progress is unseen, and will only manifest itself later—and then very quickly (the Ron Paul phenom was the result of decades of foundational work, in which most libertarians were viewed as weirdos, something from Mars, or a Larouchie). White nationalism is in fact coming along, though this won't be obvious for some time. I've said it again and again: the ideas are progressing, and other than obvious system suppression, the biggest thing holding us back is the tolerance of kooks and liars—but even they can only slow, not stop the progress.

Of course, none of this changes the fact that a peaceful solution, within the bounds of the present system rules, is now impossible. But then again, it has been so for decades, it's just that most of us hadn't realized it yet (enemy ideas snuck up on us too, spreading over the course of decades, but with their full evil only apparent fairly recently, except to the far sighted few).

So, in a sense, the Rubicon has already been crossed. On one level at least, does it really matter whether the non-white percentage in one of our lands is ten percent, as opposed to twenty or thirty? Well before they reached ten percent, it became damn near impossible for our present system to eject them peacefully. Don't get me wrong, I'm not suggesting that we shouldn't try to stem the incoming tide as much as we can. We should, and it may be possible to do that to a limited extent with the present system and

Albrecht Dürer

Alcibiades

Alcman

Aldous Huxley

Alex Linder

Alexander Alekhine

Alexander the Great

Alexandr Solzhenitsyn

Alexandria

Alexis de Tocqueville

Alfred Rosenberg

Alice Miller

Alt-Right / white nationalism

American civil war

Americanism

Amerinds

Ammianus Marcellinus

An Eye for an Eye (book)

Ancient Greece

Ancient Rome

Anders Breivik

Andrew Anglin

Andrew Hamilton

Andrew Joyce

Angela Merkel

Anti-German exterminationism

Anti-white exterminationism

Antichrist (book)

Antiochus IV Epiphanes mainstream parties. But at the end of the day, it will still take force to remove the alien colonizers. Slowing the tide only gives us some extra breathing space (and not much of that), it does not solve the fundamental problem.

The tragedy of it all is that the longer it takes for the conflict to come to a head in the form of a civil war in Europe (or elsewhere), the greater the suffering and loss of life will be—on both sides. The faster our ideas spread, on the other hand, the less costly the ultimate conflict.

The conflict could take many forms, but I now believe it to be inevitable. At the risk of sounding overly dramatic, it is out of this crucible that a new world will be born, assuming that we win, and I firmly believe that we will.

For now it is the battle of the mind, not the sword. For everything a season.

PUBLISHED IN: CIVIL WAR ON AUGUST 14, 2011 AT 8:11 PM COMMENTS (4)

Weimar US

A few entries ago I embedded a video by Peter Schiff but today, which is my birthday, I indulged myself in watching lots of YouTube videos on the coming financial Armageddon:

The US dollar will be like wall paper

The day the dollar died

Schiff explains magnificently the dollar collapse

Even for those prepared this is the scenario after the collapse

Of the white nationalist intellectuals of today, none has influenced

Aphrodite

Apollo

Apollonius of Rhodes

Arcadia

Arcadius

Archeology

Archimedes

Architecture

Argentina

Arianism

Aristocracy

Aristophanes

Aristotle

Art

Artemis

Arthur C. Clarke

Arthur de Gobineau

Arthur Kemp

Arthur Schopenhauer

Artificial Intelligence (movie)

Aryan beauty

Aryan problem / Deranged altruism

Asia

Athanaric

Atheism and secularism

Athena

Athens

Attila

Audios

Augustus

me more than the editing tastes of Greg Johnson, who recently said in a Tom Sunic radio interview that the metapolitical work should have started fifty years ago.

Alas, if Schiff and several other economists are right, it looks like the US will enter the convergence of catastrophes predicted by Guillaume Faye —financial, ethnic, nationalist and a civil wars— sooner than expected, which means that there will be no time for metapolitical structures as planned by Johnson and others.

I have no friends in the Third World country where I am living for the moment. And at my relatively advanced age of 53 I even allowed myself the luxury of rejecting a recent marriage proposal because the lady… is not sufficiently white. Furthermore, since those whom I am biologically related to have betrayed me and my ideals I'll soon say good-bye to them forever.

But this is a day to celebrate my birthday in the gloomy solitude of my bedroom.

Cheers…!

P.S. A little piece of advice:

Become self sufficient

Get a gun

Grow food

Get survival gear

Get out the cities

Get gold and silver now

PUBLISHED IN: CIVIL WAR CURRENCY CRASH ECONOMY ESCHATOLOGY PETER SCHIFF VIDEOS ON AUGUST 12, 2011 AT 5:59 PM COMMENTS (7)

The UK race riots

Australia

Austria

Autobiography

Axiology

Aztec people

¿Me Ayudarás? (book)

Barack Obama

Bartolomé de las Casas

Baruch Spinoza

Battle of Poitiers

Battle of Thermopylae

Bayreuth Festival

Beauty

Beethoven

Ben Klassen

Ben-Hur

Benito Mussolini

Benjamin Disraeli

Benjamin Franklin

Beowulf

Berlin

Bernal Díaz del Castillo

Bernardino de Sahagún

Bible

Bill Clinton

Biography

Blacks

(A most embarrasing scene in the recent London riots…)

"In this country in 15 or 20 years' time the black man will have the whip hand over the white man."—Enoch Powell, *Rivers of Blood* speech.

Enoch Powell was right. But the System killed the messenger. The Labour government with its insane immigration policies is guilty of a colossal crime against its own people. In the most recent thread about the UK race riots at *The Occidental Observer:*

Mark said…

@ "The events we see in the UK and in Wisconsin will continue until White men defy the laws of the land and start conducting guerrilla warfare against our enemies. No amount of blogging or book review writing is going to change a thing."

Jon Wood said…

Mark: Thank God! Someone finally said it!

The reason we are all stuck in this blog to intellectualise about this crisis, is because that is what we have allowed ourselves to be reduced to. We are allowed to 'talk', but even then, blogs such as this are compelled by law to impose limits on what people can say. In particular there is a widespread prohibition in most Western countries against any statement which could be construed as inciting hatred against a particular race, ethnic group or 'people', unless it's about whites.

So, we are really stuffed unless people can break free of what is clearly a determination to prevent white people from forming a 'meeting of minds' with the purpose of getting our act together.

On the issue of the media coverage of the UK race riots (yes, that's what they are!), it has been quiet amazing to watch the lunatic left internationalists going into verbal overdrive on every media outlet. It's almost funny, if it were not so serious, to watch these morons prattle on with one social theory after the other. And, and, and! Not once has the racial element been acknowledged.

Bob Whitaker
Book of Revelation
Brazil
Brenton Tarrant
Brigade (novel)
Brutus
Buddhism
Caligula
Camp of the Saints (novel)
Canada
Cannibalism
Carl Gustav Jung
Carl Sagan
Carl Schmitt
Carlo Collodi
Carolyn Yeager
Carthaginians
Cassius Dio
Catholic Church
Catholic religious orders
Cato
Celsus
Celts
Charlemagne
Charles Darwin
Charles Dickens
Charles Martel
Charles V
Chess
Child abuse
Childhood's End

The racial element to these riots is right at the core of the issue, and everyone knows about it, but no one in the media will speak its name.

I said…

Mark and Jon: you just nailed it. Time to order *The Brigade* from Amazon and start to think seriously…

PUBLISHED IN: BLACKS CIVIL WAR COLOR OF CRIME ENOCH POWELL LONDON NEANDERTHALISM UNITED KINGDOM ON AUGUST 10, 2011 AT 12:40 PM COMMENTS (7)

The best of us or a master of taqiya?

Fjordman's dad:
the source of Fjordie's genes
and loyalties…

I like boasting in the internet about my psychological profiles of some bloggers. But in the case of Fjordman, who has been recently outed as Peder Jensen, it seems that I failed miserably.

According to several sites that have reproduced the physiognomy of Fjordman's father, who looks like an Ashkenazi Jew, it now seems that Fjordman deceived all of us by making us believe that he was of pure Scandinavian ancestry. If Fjordman's Jewishness is corroborated in the future, "Hyperborean Talmudist" would have been a more appropriate penname than the one that the Norwegian Peder Jensen chose since he began his blogging career in 2005. A blogger commented:

> You would expect a name like Fjordman to be used by a true Viking. I have noticed on French internet political forums that commenters who pose as Frenchmen while defending the Tel Aviv point of view usually go under historical names such as Clovis, Charlemagne, Viking, Gaulois, Vercingetorix… At least, Fjordman is a Norwegian citizen. It would have been funny to find out that he actually lived in Tel Aviv.

Jews have been the main competitors of Whites in the last couple of centuries, which explains why they have had a history of crypsis or passing as gentiles: for example, by changing their first and last names, while in fact they maintain their Jewish identity and loyalty toward their tribe, even the most secularized Jews.

(novel)
China
Christian art
Christian question (CQ)
Christian views on Hell
Christianity
Christopher Columbus
Cicero
City of God (book)
Civil war
Civilisation (TV series)
Claudius
Clement of Alexandria
Colin Ross
Color of crime
Committee for Skeptical Inquiry
Commodus
Communism / Bolshevism
Conservatism
Conspiracy theories
Constans
Constantine
Constantine II
Constantinople
Constantius II
Corneliu Zelea Codreanu
Counter-Reformation
Crusades
Currency crash
Daniel (biblical figure)

What would happen if a more mainstream source confirms that Peder Jensen is indeed Jewish on his father's side (I am not talking of being considered Jew by his local synagogue but of his ultimate loyalty)? I believe that Fjordman/Jensen would pass to history as a master of taqiya who bamboozled even his closest friends in the counter-jihad movement.

A few days ago Ned May, better known for his penname of "Baron Bodissey," wrote a eulogy to Fjordman of which I will quote a couple of sentences:

> Fjordman began posting essays at Gates of Vienna in the spring of 2006. I first met him in person about a year later during my trip to Copenhagen. We have encountered each other again over the years during some of my visits to Europe. In the process we became good friends, and remained in correspondence until he made his momentous decision the other day to visit the police...
>
> For the record: **Fjordman is the best of us** [bold in the original]. He is not just a brilliant scholar and a fine writer, but also the most decent, gentle, and humane person I have ever met. He is a man of utmost integrity, and it shines through in his dealings with others as well as in the millions of words he has written.

A man of utmost integrity? Really? Fjordman announced that, because he wanted to stonewall all discussion on the Jewish Question with people like me at the Baron's *Gates of Vienna*, he asked the Baron to close comments on every future essay authored by Fjordie. In the thread of that bizarre announcement the "Baron" commented:

> Some of them have even floated the theory that Fjordman himself is a Jew... The Jew-obsessed White Nationalists believe Fjordman is at best a crypto-Jew... Now do you see how absurd your [**Cumpa**—a commenter who disliked the closing of the comments] preoccupation is, given the above circumstances?

Of course: nationalists are no more "obsessed" with Jews than counter-jihadists are "obsessed" with Muslims. The grim fact is that both, Muslims and Jews, are undermining Western civilization from within. However, the Baron's words can only mean that, despite his close friendship with Fjordman, Fjordie concealed a vital piece of biographical info from him: his Jewishness!

Vital, because as those who have read *The Culture of Critique* appreciate, the Jewish intellectual movements that have hurt Western interests have had the nasty little habit of using a gentile face as the perfect PR for an unsuspecting public. Hadn't the

Dante Alighieri

Darkening Age (book)

David (king of Israel)

David Duke

David Friedrich Strauss

David Irving

David Lane

Day of Wrath (book)

Daybreak Publishing

Death in Venice (movie)

Decius

Degeneracy

Degenerate art

Demeter

Democracy

Democritus

Demography

Denmark

Der Ring des Nibelungen (opera)

Destruction of Greco-Roman world

Diocletian

Dionysus

Dominique Venner

Don Quixote (book)

Donald Trump

Dorians

Dresden

Dwight D. Eisenhower

"Baron" Ned May or even myself been so trusting with the Jews we would have paid attention to warnings such as this one last year:

> I conclude that Fjordman may not himself be a Norwegian as he claims but rather just another crypto-Jew hiding under a pseudonym that belies his true identity.

Again, we need independent confirmation besides his dad's pic. But for the moment it is worth citing what other nationalists are starting to write after Fjordman was outed as Peder Jensen. In *Raider of Arks*, a recently opened blog, Svigor wrote (TBFKA = "the blogger formerly known as"):

> This is why I don't trust people like Jensen, the blogger formerly known as Fjordman... Because they turn out to be f[ucking] liars (if memory serves, TBFKA Fjordman either denied Ashkenazi ancestry, or avoided the question), or cowards, or both... I don't usually go in for accusing guys like TBFKA Fjordman of being Ashkenazis, for various reasons, but in this case the *J'Accuse* folks seem to have been right. They'll definitely be making hay out of this one for years to come.

Contrast Svigor's *J'Accuse* with the Baron's eulogy of Fjordman as "the most decent, gentle, and humane person I have ever met." In the *Raider of Arks* thread I commented:

> In the past I had banged my head trying to figure out why on earth wasn't Fjordman willing to advance even *a single argument* defending his philo-Semitism when challenged. It made no sense!

In various blogs Fjordie never, ever entered the arena on the Jewish Question (JQ). He simply dismissed the subject. Or, like Larry Auster, he merely casted aspersions and attempted to silence those who dared to bring up any aspect of the JQ. In *Raider of Arks* Svigor replied:

> That's what I was getting at about how to suss them out.

Why is it so important to suss non-gentiles out? Fjordman for one has authored dozens of influential in-depth essays where he ignores the JQ *en bloc*—an inexplicable phenomenon when we were under the assumption that he was a true Viking. At the same time Fjordman has claimed that "the 'Jewish threat' in the 1930s was entirely fictional, whereas the 'Islamic threat' now is very real" ("Swedish Welfare State Collapses as Immigrants Wage War," *Brussels Journal*, 28 March 2006, reprinted in Fjordie's book *Defeating Eurabia*).

Of course, exactly the reverse is true: Jews have caused infinitely more havoc to the West than Muslims in contemporary times, as any knowledgeable person of the JQ knows (see e.g. my collection

Dylann Roof

Eastern Orthodox Church

Economy

Edmund Burke

Edward Gibbon

Edward I of England

Egalitarianism

Egypt

Eleusis

Elizabeth I

Emperor Julian

Energy / peak oil

England

Enlightenment

Enoch Powell

Erasmus

Esau's Tears (book)

Eschatology

Essay on the Inequality of Human Races (book)

Ethnic cleansing

Eugenics

Euripides

Europe

European Union

Eusebius

Evil

Evropa Soberana (webzine)

Ezekiel

Fair Race's Darkest Hour (book)

of blog entries here and here).

This can only mean that the counter-jihadists at *Gates of Vienna* (GoV) have distorted their minds to see the colors of life as a photographic negative. For instance, a Swedish GoVer friend of Fjordman—another half-Jew?—has labeled nationalists who, in good faith, want to discuss the JQ at GoV as "defecating dogs" (cf. here) when exactly the reverse is true. In the aftermath of the outing of Fjordman, Scott said:

> Attacking multiculturalism without attacking Jewish power is like attacking shit on the floor while ignoring the incontinent dog.

Scott has thus revealed into a positive the GoVer's photographic negative. Attacking Jewish power is necessary because we cannot ignore the role of several Jewish associations in the opening of the gates for massive, non-Aryan immigration into Western countries, especially the United States—precisely what I said at GoV that infuriated Fjordman to the point of requesting the closing of all comments in his future essays.

Half-Jew Takuan Seiyo had done exactly the same thing with his essays at the *Brussels Journal*: closing his threads when challanged about the JQ.

What should gentiles do with such Jewish arrogance in the counter-jihad movement? Lindsay Wheeler nailed it at *Age of Treason*:

> Baron Bodissey with his blind obsession with things Israel is just a deceived old fool. Steve Sailor is a man without courage. Truth requires Manliness. And the Roman Catholic Church has the "Fear of the Jews." Without the suppression of the Jews, there is going to be no redress. Jews are hopelessly and intrinsically infected with Messianism. It can't be helped… I want all to note all these Jews, Lawrence Auster, David Horowitz, Fjordman, et al, are all fomenting "anti-Jihad," talking about being anti-Muslim. Aren't Muslims a Semitic people? If there is consistency, wouldn't Europeans be against all Semites? Why allow one group to reign and run all over free and restrict another? Does that make sense? If one is to be anti-Muslim, one must also be anti-Juden.
>
> The Jews have to be suppressed if we are going to save our culture. Being anti-Jihad (whatever that means), but defending and upholding the freedom of the Jews, is hypocritical. We are being played. We are supposed to act like attack dogs by our Jewish handlers. Fjordman has been outed as one. We have hundreds more.

But the sad truth is that gentile counter-jihadists like the "Baron"

Fascism

Feminism

Feminized western males

Film

Final solution

First World War

France

Francis Galton

Francis Parker Yockey

Francisco Franco

Franco Zeffirelli

Frankfurt School

Franklin D. Roosevelt

Franks

Franz Boas

Frederick the Great

Free speech / Free press

French Revolution

Friedrich Nietzsche

Friedrich Schiller

Fritigern

From Jesus to Hitler (book)

G.W.F. Hegel

Galerius

Galileo Galilee

Game of Thrones

Gaul

Genetics

Genghis Khan

Genrikh Yagoda

Ned May, Robert Spencer and Geert Wilders will continue to adore their beloved Fjordie.

PUBLISHED IN: MISCELLANY ON AUGUST 9, 2011 AT 1:05 AM COMMENTS (4)

"The only way to be heard" according to Breivik

The full quotation from Breivik's manifesto reads:

> "Unfortunately, spectacular actions like these are the only way to be heard."

Breivik's action can be understood in a nutshell, as he himself quotes JFK: "Those who make peaceful revolution impossible will make violent revolution inevitable", as shown in this video by David Wood:

Breivik's manifesto, page 792:

> An increasing number of Europeans are opening their eyes to the reality that the democratic struggle through dialogue has been lost.

Page 826:

> It is counterproductive, even lethal to waste another five decades on meaningless dialogue while we are continuously losing our demographical advantage.

Still another quote:

> Around year 2000 I realised that the democratic struggle against the Islamisation of Europe, European multiculturalism was lost. It had gone too far. It is simply not possible to compete democratically with

Genuine spirituality

Geography

George Lincoln Rockwell

George Orwell

George Washington

Germanic People

Germany

Giorgio de Chirico

Giselher Wirsing

God

Goethe

Gone with the Wind (movie)

Gore Vidal

Goths

Gratian

Greg Johnson

Guillaume Faye

Gulag Archipelago (book)

Gustave Doré

Hadrian

Hamlet (1948 film)

Hannibal

Hans F. K. Günther

Harold Covington

Harry S. Truman

Hate

Heinrich Himmler

Hellstorm (book)

Helmut Stellrecht

Henry VIII

> regimes who import millions of voters.

I may not pull the trigger on unarmed teenagers to make a point, but these are not the words of a mentally unbalanced man.

PUBLISHED IN: ANDERS BREIVIK CIVIL WAR VIDEOS ON AUGUST 7, 2011 AT 2:57 PM COMMENTS (2)

Window of opportunity…

Just like the runaway hyperinflation in Weimar Germany, if the dollar crashes the white nationalists in the US will have their chance—sooner than I expected.

PUBLISHED IN: CURRENCY CRASH ECONOMY PETER SCHIFF UNITED STATES VIDEOS ON AUGUST 6, 2011 AT 2:40 PM COMMENTS (1)

How should white revolutionaries behave after the Breivik affaire?

Just listen to the first 10 ½ minutes, and then the last 8 ½ minutes of today's podcast by Harold Covington (here).

Yes: we had read thousands of words on the Breivik affaire. But in his recent podcasts Covington is the first nationalist I actually *listen* on the subject. He offers sound advice to potential revolutionaries, and it is always good for our morale to listen to his Radio Free Northwest.

PUBLISHED IN: ANDERS BREIVIK AUDIOS CIVIL WAR HAROLD COVINGTON ON AUGUST 4, 2011 AT 9:10 PM COMMENTS (3)

Heracles

Hermann (Arminius)

Hermann Göring

Hermann Samuel Reimarus

Hernán Cortés

Herod the Great

Herodotus

Hesiod

Hieronymus Bosch

Hippocrates

History

History of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire (book)

Hitler Youth

Hitler's table talk (book)

Hojas Susurrantes (book)

Holocaust

Holodomor

Homer

Homosexuality

Honorius (emperor)

Horace

Human sacrifice

Huns

Hunter (novel)

Hypatia of Alexandria

Ibycus

Iceland

Iliad (epic book)

Immanuel Kant

Beyond the Norway incident: Matt Parrott

It is always wise to listen the Christian and temperate voice of Matt Parrott (photo). Below I collected some of Parrott's responses in the most recent thread about the Norway incident at *The Occidental Observer*, "Letter from Sweden: Fallout from Breivik." It throws some light into the ultimate issues of White and Western preservation:

From a crude tactical perspective, I believe the biggest victory for Jew-wise explicit racialists is that the cultural nationalists and neocon imperialists have lost the credibility of their moral argument for defining a distinction between cultural and ethnic nationalism.

What's the point of playing the "cultural nationalist" angle if you're still going to be stained by association with violent radicalism? The anti-immigration sentiment and growing anxiety over ethnic displacement isn't going to simply go away because of this, but this deeply problematic quasi-Zionist "anti-racist" cultural nationalism may no longer prove suitable as a vehicle for its expression.

My suspicion (one shared by the left) is that an infinitesimal fraction of cultural nationalists actually believe their prattle about the millions of aliens peacefully assimilating into traditional Western society. It's a vehicle, one driven by pragmatic racialists seeking bourgeoisie legitimacy and Jews looking to usefully channel the West's sublimated racial anxiety.

It would be a tragic defeat for our interests if racial tensions boiled over in the West, only to be misdirected by Christian Zionists, "cultural nationalists", and Likudnik infiltrators into exhausting itself in more land wars in Asia. Breivik's manifesto betrays a complete failure to recognize this very real threat, even indulging in the dangerous scope creep of framing the Middle East itself as occupied territory due for a new Crusade.

Immigration laws

Impeachment of Man (book)

India

Individualism

Indo-European heritage

Industrial Revolution

Infanticide

Inquisition

Intelligence quotient (IQ)

Ireland

Isaac Newton

Isabella I of Castile

Isaiah (prophet)

Islam

Islamization of Europe

Israel

Italy

James Mason

James Watson

Jane Austen

Japan

Jared Taylor

Jean-Jacques Rousseau

Jefferson Davis

Jeffrey Masson

Jeremy Bentham

Jerusalem

Jesus

Jewish hate groups

Jewish question (JQ)

Sticking to explicit racial and ethnic nationalism is necessary, as our competitors are waiting to leap into the gap between what we say and what we mean. As Kevin MacDonald suggests, to recoil is to forfeit the moral argument. When you concede that White folks have no legitimate interests, you're forfeiting an argument we'll eventually win as people become more radicalized by social and economic trends.

Islamic immigration is merely one dimension of the problem. Even if it were reversed tomorrow and the 90% who've failed to effectively integrate are deported, the declining birth rates, decadence, and non-Islamic immigrants would still be there. A man's truly intent on committing suicide will find a way to do so, even if you've managed to hide the scimitar from him. Breivik's focus on leftist political leaders instead of the immigrants indicated a degree of awareness of that, but targeting the leftists promoting unchecked immigration still amounts to hacking at the branches.

He kind of reminds me of a problem I see in America, of racialists falling into a pattern of thinking that the problem is really all about illegal immigration. Some vilify the illegal immigrants as wife-beating gang-bangers hellbent on Reconquista, appealing to law and order… as if desperate foreigners are to be faulted for breaking laws our leaders beg them to break.

It's a fine angle, and a scab which definitely ought to be picked. Just don't mistake the scabs and fungal infections for the underlying auto-immune defect.

> Media censorship drives men to a desperate mind set. And desperate men do desperate things. – Tadzio

It's not just media censorship. It's direct attacks on our means of supporting our families. It's death threats on ourselves and our family members. It's bricks thrown through the windows of the restaurants we're meeting at, pepper spray and fist punches at our supporters, and law enforcement officers conspiring with antifa terrorists to cancel our events.

It's more than a mere tactic to ask them this question. It's a damn good question that I, for one, would like to have answered. The answer, of course, is that they wish to cow us into cowering and conceding defeat.

The Norwegian leftists succeeded with their ham-fisted tactics in drumming ABB [Anders B. Breivik] out of conventional political activism. I believe the technical term for the predictable result of what they did and what they've redoubled their efforts to do in response to this is "blowback".

Jewish–Roman wars

Jez Turner

Johannes Gutenberg

Johannes Kepler

John Calvin

John F. Kennedy

John Locke

John Milton

John Modrow

John of Patmos

John Stuart Mill

John the Evangelist

John Tyndall

José María Morelos

José Vasconcelos

Joseph Goebbels

Joseph Stalin

Josephus

Joshua

Jovian

Judaism

Julian (novel)

Julius Caesar

Julius Firmicus Maternus

Justice

Justinian I

Juvenal

Karl Marx

Karl Popper

Karlheinz Deschner

Kenneth Clark

Julian Curtis Lee said...

> "Sticking to explicit racial and ethnic nationalism is necessary, as our competitors are waiting to leap into the gap between what we say and what we mean." –Parrott

Tremendous statement. (The whole post, though I brought out that vital line.) Bravo!

Let's get explicit, folks! Come what may.

Kevin MacDonald said...

We at *TOO [The Occidental Observer]* are going to continue to be explicit about race and Jewish issues. Someone has to do it. But politicians have to think about getting elected in a particular context and they may be right. If we could end non-White immigration without being explicit, I'd be all for it. I think the jury is still out on that one.

Matt Parrott said...

> Why is an argument from racial and ethnic nationalism necessary to put an end to unchecked immigration. There are many, many other good arguments for why mass immigration is insane and suicidal. – Anon2

In theory, an argument from racial and ethnic nationalism is not necessary to put an end to unchecked immigration. In theory, it's not even necessary to preserve the racial and ethnic nation.

Our advocacy team actively collaborates with groups across the political spectrum (all of which are explicitly against preserving our ethnic identity) to help reduce immigration.

But this isn't really about the Muslim invaders. It's not really about the Mexican invaders. It's not really about the Black youth mobs. What it's really about is a lack of a will of a people to live. As long as America and Europe are spiritually and ideologically hellbent on suicide, they'll find new ways to keep hurting themselves. Even if ABB's anti-Islamic crusade scenario were actualized throughout Europe, they would still be slaughtering their own offspring by the millions. They would still be barren. They would still be decadent and nihilistic. They would still be allowing Afro-Caribbean and myriad other populations to elbow in on their homeland.

Removing Islamic immigration from Europe is akin to hiding the gun from a man who's suicidal. It may buy some time, but if a guy's really set on killing himself, he'll figure out some other way to do it.

Kevin MacDonald

Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums (books)

Ku Klux Klan

Lactantius

Latin America

Lawrence Auster

Leon Trotsky

Leonardo da Vinci

Leonidas

Libanius

Liberalism

Library of Alexandria

Literature

London

Lord of the Rings (novel / film)

Lothrop Stoddard

Louis XIV of France

Louis-Ferdinand Céline

Luke the Evangelist

Lycurgus

Maccabees

Madison Grant

Mainstream media

Manosphere

Manu Rodríguez (blogger)

March of the Titans (book)

Marcus Aurelius

Marcus Eli Ravage

Mark the Evangelist

I do believe that only an argument from racial and ethnic nationalism will be sufficient to steel Europeans to take the bold action necessary. After all, stopping illegal immigration and asylum fraud is insufficient. Reducing legal immigration to reasonable levels isn't sufficient. Stopping legal immigration cold isn't sufficient. Offering cash prizes for emigration isn't sufficient. Deporting most of them isn't sufficient. Only deporting each and every last non-White community from Europe is sufficient.

The sorts of mild arguments that operate within the mainstream paradigms and respectable bourgeoisie talking points are well and good for slowing the acceleration of the rate of our demise. But the radical steps necessary to actually *reverse* our course require the widespread acceptance of these radical ideas.

But let's grant that one can find some clever angle to convince voters to deport all the non-Whites without requiring White Americans and Europeans to embrace their right to survive. That only solves the immigration dimension of our multifaceted problem. The mainstream paradigms and respectable bourgeoisie talking points would spell doom for the West if it were a fortified island continent.

PUBLISHED IN: ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM ANDERS BREIVIK OCCIDENTAL OBSERVER (WEBZINE) ON AUGUST 1, 2011 AT 12:02 AM COMMENTS (5)

Scolding Norwegian women

Occidental Dissent
(including a woman)
responds to liberal Norwegian women
about the Breivik incident:

1st response:

Marian,

(1) In Norway, the AUF is the equivalent of the ANC Youth League in South Africa and Campus Progress in the United States, where the future communists and socialists are trained to work as political hacks for the Democratic Party.

(2) The AUF is about anti-racism, multiculturalism, political

Mark Twain
Mark Weber
Marriage
Martin Bormann
Martin Luther
Marxism
Materialism / capitalism
Maternus Cynegius
Matt Koehl
Matthew the Evangelist
Matthias Grünewald
Maxfield Parrish
Maya civilization
Mein Kampf (book)
Men
Metaphysics of race / sex
Mexico
Michael O'Meara
Michelangelo
Middle Ages
Middle East
Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla
Militarism
Miscegenation
Miscellany
Moctezuma II
Monarchy
Mongols
Monocausalism

correctness, socialism, and mass immigration. It seems clear that is why Breivik chose the AUF as his target.

(3) In Norway, the political class is just one branch of the same entity that is committed to this program of making the Norwegian people a minority in their own country. Obviously, there are parallels in Sweden, Germany, France, and America.

(4) Conservatives have always been skeptical of democracy. Plato, for example, was one of its most powerful critics. Have you heard of him? Plato hated "the open society."

(5) Even in America, we know that 100 percent of the rapes in Oslo are committed by Muslim immigrants, who are brought into Norway by the Labor Party. Thus, it is entirely fair to say that the Labor Party is implementing that agenda.

(6) Anders Breivik is far ahead of the curve. He is not a fool, a lunatic, or a madman. This is someone who is highly intelligent. The Unabomber, for example, was similarly brilliant.

(7) Finally, Norway has a very discernible political class (i.e., the Labor Party) with an agenda that anyone in the world can see with a few Google clicks. Obviously, there are people in Norway who believe—with good reason—that the agenda of the Labor Party will ultimately destroy their country and Europe as well.

Now I'm sure the young communists on Utoya can smoke pot, sing kumbaya, and pretend that everything is going to be fine when ethnic Norwegians are 25 percent of the population—White liberals don't have the slightest understanding of European history—, but smarter men than they are can see the writing on the wall and where this is all headed.

Where is all this headed?

I suggest you travel to the Balkans to ask the Serbs what it was like to live under the Ottoman Empire. Go ask them if they would like to live under the Ottoman Empire again. You might be amazed at your response.

No, people are not really all the same.

2nd response:

Marian: You are living in an Elite country. That's your problem. If your blood "royalty" can attend Public Schools, without fear of harm, then this means your Average Citizen *is* Elite.

You, and other lovely, exquisite, elite Nations (Nation is derived from the Latin word "natal" meaning "birth". A "Nation" is flesh

Montaigne

Montesquieu

Morgenthau Plan

Moscow

Moses (Hebrew lawgiver)

Music

My pinacoteca

Name of the Rose (novel)

Napoleon

National Socialism

Neanderthalism

Nero

New Spain

New Testament

New York

Newspeak

Niccolò Machiavelli

Nicolaus Copernicus

Non-white immigration

Nordicism

Norman Rockwell

North America

Norway

Nuremberg

Obituaries

Occam's razor

Occidental Observer (webzine)

Odysseus / Ulysses

Old Testament

and blood. Race, Marian, race) are importing thousands, and millions of savage racial aliens—who can *never* be you. They are *not white*. They cannot *be* you. You say rapes are uncommon—wait. Just wait. They are going to increase, in frequency, and barbarity, with *every* sand nigger you import.

You want us to learn about you? You *need* to learn about the tragic history of the USA. The USA was funded as a Republic—not a democracy. Democracies are *the worst* forms of Government, and they always trend down to the lowest common denominator: the stupidest, most selfish, worthless lout, in a society. Anyway, the USA, founded *by* Whites *for* Whites, allowed the Other *in*. It started with the Great Wave—40 years of greasy, roiling, vicious, aggressive, hostile, hate-filled European Jews. The problems—agitation, protests, social rending—began to emerge approx ten years after they settled in. Jews founded the N double ACP—and have used feral Darkies, ever since, as the blunt weapon against the *hated* White Christian.

This is happening in your Nation. You feral Darkies will *kill* you. Not Anders Behring Breivik—the Muzzies. They will *kill* you off. Shake off your delusions of "tolerance". They cannot *be* you—so they will *kill* you.

Elin H: You will be back in the caves, soon enough, when your land is taken over by Paki Muzzies. Stop being such a stupid twat, mmm'kay?

3rd response:

Elin H: Those of us who have actually lived among Blacks and Mexicans know what is coming to your country. In small numbers, the Darks will generally behave themselves. As their numbers increase, so will their aggression. You'll see. By the way, just what is the racial breakdown for rape, robbery, and assault in Norway? If Moslems don't commit all the rapes, what percent do they commit?

4th response (after Elin said this):

Elin—my initial reaction was aligned with yours. I bewailed the outcome that everyone will lament the loss of these poor little kiddies, etc.

Since I've been educated a bit, on the nature of that camp—I'll tell you why those kids, and young adults were guilty. They were engaged in being groomed for Rule, and would have actively prosecuted the destruction of Norway. They would have actively aided and abetted the genocide of the White Race.

Oliver Cromwell

On the Genealogy of Morality (book)

On The Historicity of Jesus (book)

Opera

Oracle of Delphi

Oratory

Origen

Oswald Mosley

Otto von Bismarck

Ottoman Empire

Ovid

Painting

Parapsychology

Paris

Parsifal (opera)

Parthenon

Passing of the Great Race (book)

Patriarchy

Pedagogy

Pederasty

Percy Bysshe Shelley

Pericles

Persephone

Persia

Peter Schiff

Petronius

Philippe Rushton

Philo

Philosophy

Philosophy of history

Don't blame Anders Breivik for the death of that 14 year old girl. Lay that crime at the feet of her parents, and the organizers and funders of that camp. I know a 14 year-old doesn't have the slightest *clue* about what she's being brainwashed to do—but actions have consequences, and her ignorant participation in genocide, and her subsequent execution for *being there* is literally the Sins of the Fathers, being played out in blood.

I am a woman, but unless I make that fact known—I have generally been thought of as a man—I have always come across as a *man* online because I am usually analytical, and not touchy-feely emotional, in my thought processes. You are all touchy-feely female and therefore a danger to the White Race, and Humanity in general. I always deride the way females infantilize everything and attempt to characterize savage Darkies into the children they never had.

Your latest post is all about that. A textbook study of a woefully, suicidally *wrong* white western female, turning her racial and civilizational alien savages into her Pet Darkies, in her own enfeebled, dis-organized mind.

You are a *disaster*, Elin—and a danger to the world. You are obviously some type of Social Worker ergo Destroyer. You believe *every* line of BS that is poured into your empty, yet arrogant head because you *want* to, and because your noxious, grotesque "beliefs" advance your pathetic career. You are all poisoned Vanity and your Niggers will come for Elin. They will *come* for you.

You will deserve *everything* that happens to you. Why don't you learn something *real*—and take a few moments out of your hideous self-congratulatory vanity, to discover what's happened to aiders and abettors of the ANC take-over of South Africa? That's your fate, you sick vile twisted Race Traitor *bitch*.

When your savage feral Niggers come for you—and deliver unto you your Eve Caron Moment of Poetic Justice—think of us, Elin.

Source: here

PUBLISHED IN: ANDERS BREIVIK LIBERALISM NORWAY WOMEN ON JULY 31, 2011 AT 2:24 PM COMMENTS (11)

« Older Entries

Newer Entries »

Pindar

Plato

Pliny the Elder

Plutarch

Poetry

Poland

Polybius

Pompey

Pope Francis (Francisco I)

Pope Gregory I

Pope Theophilus of Alexandria

Porphyry of Tyre

Portugal

Pre-Columbian America

Prehistory

Pride & Prejudice (2005 movie)

Pro-white exterminationism

Protestantism

Pseudoscience

Psychiatry

Psychoanalysis

Psychohistory

Psychology

Puritans

Quotable quotes

Racial studies

Rape of the Sabine Women

Raphael

Real men

Recceswinth

Reconquista

Red terror

Reformation

Reinhard Heydrich

Religion

Rembrandt

Renaissance

René Descartes

Republic (Plato's book)

Revilo Oliver

Rhodesia

Richard Carrier

Richard Wagner

Richard Walther Darré

Rising Tide of Color (book)

Robert Jay Mathews

Roger Devlin

Romanticism

Rome vs. Judea (book)

Romulus

Ronald Reagan

Russia

Russian Revolution

Sappho

Satyricon (novel)

Savitri Devi

Schizophrenia

Schutzstaffel (SS)

Science

Second World War

Seneca

Sense and Sensibility (movie)

Sexual "liberation"

Siege (book)

Sigmund Freud

Silvano Arieti

Skepticism

Sleeping Beauty (1959 film)

Socrates

Solomon

Solon

South Africa

Soviet Union

Spain

Sparta (Lacedaemon)

Sponsor

St Ambrose

St Athanasius

St Augustine

St Cyril of Alexandria

St Francis

St Ignatius of Antioch

St Irenaeus

St Jerome

St John Chrysostom

St Paul

St Peter

St Thomas Aquinas

Stefan Zweig

Stilicho

Strabo

Struggle with the Daimon (book)

Sturmabteilung (SA)

Suetonius

Summer, 1945 (book)

Sweden

Switzerland

Sword

Tacitus

Temple of Artemis

Temple of Jerusalem

Temple of Serapis

Tenochtitlan

Tertullian

Thebes

Theoderic the Great

Theodore Lidz

Theodoret

Theodosius I

Theodosius II

Theology

Third Reich

Thomas Cole

Thomas Goodrich

Thomas Hobbes

Thomas Jefferson

Thomas Szasz

Thucydides

Thus spoke Zarathustra (book)

Tiberius

Titus

Tom Sunic

Trajan

Transvaluation of all values

Trauma model of mental disorders

Turin Shroud

Turner Diaries (novel)

Twilight of the idols (book)

Two Hundred Years Together (book)

Ukraine

Ulfilas

Uncategorized

Uncle Tom's Cabin (novel)

United Kingdom

United States

Universalism

Valens

Valentinian I

Valentinian II

Valentinian III

Valerian

Vercingetorix

Vespasian

Videos

Vienna

Vikings

Vincent van Gogh

Vladimir Lenin

Vladimir Putin

Vlassis Rassias

Voltaire

W.B. Yeats

Ward Kendall

WDH radio show

Welfare of animals

West's darkest hour

White-slave trade

Who We Are (book)

Wikipedia

Wilhelm Sieglin

Will Durant

William Blake

William James

William Pierce

William Shakespeare

Winston Churchill

Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart

Women

Wuthering Heights (novel)

Xenophon

Yearling (novel)

Zeus

Zosimus

Zweites Buch



The greatest of the “conservative” thinkers, Joseph de Maistre, pointed out long ago that the French Revolution led the revolutionaries rather than was led by them. For he believed that certain Providential forces rule our lives. These forces he saw in Christian terms, but others, like Heidegger, for instance, saw them in terms of Being, over which humans have no control.

In either case, the force of Providence or Being or Destiny has a power that has often made itself felt in our history. For this reason, I have little doubt that Europeans will eventually throw off the Judeo-liberal system programming their destruction. I’m less confident about we Americans, given the greater weakness of our collective identity and destiny. But nevertheless even we might be saved from ourselves by this force—as long as we do what is still in our power to do.

—Michael O'Meara

BLOGROLL

The Occidental Observer (scholarly site about the Jewish Question).

Nazi Propaganda Guide Page (not exactly a National Socialist friendly site but very informative of primary NS sources).

The legacy of Wm. Pierce

David Irving's Website

Jake F.'s NS Archive

IN SPANISH

La hora más oscura

From Guillaume Faye's "Mars & Hephaestus":

The twenty-first century will be a century of iron and storms. It will not resemble those harmonious futures predicted up to the 1970s. It will not be the global village prophesied by Marshall MacLuhan in 1966, or Bill Gates' planetary network, or Francis Fukuyama's end of history: a liberal global civilization directed by a universal state.

The Third Age of European Civilization commences, in a tragic acceleration of the historical process, with the Treaty of Versailles and end of the civil war of 1914-18: the catastrophic twentieth century. Four generations were enough to undo the labor of more than forty. Europe fell victim to its own tragic Prometheanism, its own opening to the world and universalism, oblivious of all ethnic solidarity.

The Fourth Age of European civilization begins today. It will be the Age of rebirth or perdition. The twenty-first century will be for this civilization, the fateful century, the century of life or death.

Let us cultivate the pessimistic optimism of Nietzsche. "There is no more order to conserve; it is necessary to create a new one." Will the beginning of the twenty-first century be difficult? Are all the indicators in the red? So much the better. They predicted the end of history after the collapse of the USSR? We wish to speed its return: thunderous, bellicose, and archaic. Islam resumes its wars of conquest. China and India wish to become superpowers. And so forth. The twenty-first century will be placed under the double sign of Mars, the god of war, and of Hephaestus, the god who forges swords, the master of technology and the chthonic fires. This century will be that of the metamorphic rebirth of Europe, like the Phoenix, or of its disappearance as a historical civilization and its transformation into a cosmopolitan and sterile Luna Park.

The beginning of twenty-first century will be the despairing midnight of the world of which Hölderlin spoke. But it is always darkest before the dawn. Let us prepare our children for war. Let us educate our youth, be it only a minority, as a new aristocracy.

Today we need more than morality. We need hypermorality, the Nietzschean ethics of difficult times. When one defends one's people, i.e., one's own children, one defends the essential. Then one follows the rule of Agamemnon and Leonidas but also of Charles Martel: what prevails is the law of the sword, whose bronze or steel reflects the glare of the sun.

T A G S:

4 words



For Spanish-speakers: an autobiography of the editor of this site in two volumes is available: here and here.



He who has not read *Day of Wrath* has not looked at the admin of this site in the eyes. A hard copy is available: here. For an introduction see: here.



_____ 卐 _____

"Hate is not some useless organ like the appendix. It's there for a reason.

Why does Christianity do all it can to talk us out of necessary and functional drives?

Well, the answer is that it's a bit of software meant to disable our enemy recognition module. Christianity preaches blind love, and that love is murdering the West". – Alex Linder

"Why were you so ungrateful to our gods as to desert them for the Jews?" —Emperor Julian to the Christians

Regarding the sticky post see esp. post #37.

For the context of the Christian problem see: here.

Donate

Search

When you forbid your enemy to hate, you've disarmed him.

RSS 2.0Comments RSS 2.0

Close and accept Privacy & Cookies: This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this website, you agree to their use.
To find out more, including how to control cookies, see here:

Follow