Obama kills Osama

> Yesterday I pulled a comment from another blog. Here we go again:

Jerry said...

No Al Qaeda member ever called me cracker. Islamic extremists don't bring Muslim terror to the Western world: the white-hating racists of the Leftist elite do by sponsoring mass Muslim immigration. Islamic terrorism in the West, like immigrant street gang violence, is just an extension of the violent policy of extermination through racist colonialism being waged by the Leftist elites against their own peoples. That war of racial genocide, of which Islamic terrorism in the West is but a by-product, will go on. The prime emotion I feel over Bin Laden's death is indifference, like a man waiting for the firing squad being told that the crook who once stole his bicycle had at last been arrested.

Greg Johnson said...

Well said. But it is not just silly kids who are cheering for Team America. People who know better are doing so as well. The desire to identify with a winning team is so strong in people, that racially aware whites will cheer on the system that is destroying us and our future. The killing of Osama Bin Laden, if it even happened, is not a victory of "our" system serving our interests. It is a victory of "their" system serving their interests, the same system that is destroying us.

PUBLISHED IN: BARACK OBAMA ON MAY 2, 2011 AT 1:55 PM COMMENTS (1)

Just a comment

At *Mangan's* today, a commenter wrote (slightly edited):



This site in a nutshell: here. See also "The 14 words" and "New tablets of stone".

The Fair Race's Darkest Hour is a compilation of texts by seventeen authors that changed my world-view. A softcover edition of the book is available: here.



卐

This is the answer to the Jewish Question, in my opinion. Eustace Mullins pointed out that the Byzantine Empire lasted 1,000 years, and the Jews never took over.

How? Simple: They kept them out of banking, education and government (we could do the same, but I'd add the media).

Mullins' non-violent formula for controlling the Jews, from *New History of the Jews:*

> In all of recorded history, there was only one civilization which the Jews could not destroy. Because of this, they have given it the silent treatment. Few American college graduates with a Ph.D. degree could tell you what the Byzantine Empire was.
>
> It was the Empire of East Rome, set up by Roman leaders after the Jews had destroyed Rome. This empire functioned in Constantinople for twelve hundred years, the longest duration of any empire in the history of the world.
>
> Throughout the history of Byzantium, as it was known, by imperial edict, no Jew was allowed to hold any post in the Empire, nor was he allowed to educate the young. The Byzantine Empire finally fell to the Turks after twelve centuries of prosperity, and the Jews have attempted to wipe out all traces of its history.
>
> Yet its edicts against the Jews were not cruel; in fact, the Jews lived unmolested and prosperously in the empire throughout its history, but here alone the vicious cycle of host and parasite did not take place. It was a Christian civilization, and the Jews were not able to exercise any influence. Nor did the Orthodox priests bewilder their congregations with any vicious lies about Christ being a Jew.
>
> No wonder the Jews want to eradicate the memory of such a culture. It was Ezra Pound who launched upon a study of Byzantine civilization, and who reminded the world of this happily non-Jewish land. From the Byzantines, Pound derived his no-violent formula for controlling the Jews. "The answer to the Jewish problem is simple," he said. "Keep them out of banking, out of education, out of government." And this is how simple it is.

A translation of the work of Karlheinz Deschner on the criminal history of Christianity is available: here.

Thomas Goodrich's *Hellstorm* is the most important book of the 21st century.



Its subject-matter: the Holocaust perpetrated by the Allied forces on the Germans, civilians included (here).



Here: an SS pamphlet explaining National Socialism.

How we are light-years away from the secular, Neo-Christian ethics of the Alt-Right can be surmised in "Darwin's exterminationism".

> There is no need to kill the Jews. In fact, every pogrom in history has played into their hands, and has in many instances been cleverly instigated by them.
>
> Get the Jews out of banking and they cannot control the economic life of the community.
>
> Get the Jews out of education and they can not pervert the minds of the young to their subversive doctrines.
>
> Get the Jews out of government and they cannot betray the nation.

So, if Jews are allowed to, for instance, practice dentistry, have a shoe store, practice radiology, other occupations where they'll be denied excess, unchecked influence, they can live a nice life, while being prevented from destroying us Europeans of Christian Heritage.

PUBLISHED IN: JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) MONOCAUSALISM ON MAY 1, 2011 AT 2:12 PM COMMENTS (2)

Covington on Hitler

Listen to Harold Covington's podcast on Hitler and the ten principles of National Socialism that he recorded this April 20th.

PUBLISHED IN: ADOLF HITLER AUDIOS HAROLD COVINGTON NATIONAL SOCIALISM ON APRIL 21, 2011 AT 10:51 PM COMMENTS OFF

Without guilt:

Happy birthday Uncle Adolf!

Today is the anniversary of Adolf Hitler. I have just read Pierce's article on *Counter-Currents*, a webzine that published also another article that I had previously quoted in the previous incarnation of this blog.

PUBLISHED IN: ADOLF HITLER ON APRIL 20, 2011 AT 7:33 PM COMMENTS (2)

The new enemies of science



Presently *Siege* is only available as a PDF.

"1945 was the year of the total inversion of Aryan values into Christian values." —Joseph Walsh

"With the death of Adolf Hitler in the close of the 2nd World War in 1945 Western civilization, as it had existed and is still perceived DIED once and for all. The only thing that was left now was a gene pool." —**James Mason**

"The fall of Stalingrad is the finish of Europe. There was a cataclysm. The core of it all was Stalingrad. There you can say it was finished and well finished, the white civilisation." —**L.F. Céline**

Below, “The New Enemies of Evolutionary Science,”
an article by Dr Phil Rushton (pic above):

On January 19, 1989, in the Sausalito Room of the San Francisco Hilton Hotel, my life changed forever. I stood before a lectern speaking to a symposium of scientists belonging to the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). The title of the brief paper I proceeded to present to the meeting was “Evolutionary Biology and Heritable Traits (With Reference to Oriental-White-Black Differences).”

I reviewed the international literature recently published in academic peer-reviewed journals. I summarized data about traits like brain size, temperament, speed of maturation, family structure, and reproductive variables. I tentatively concluded, roughly speaking, that East Asians, on average, were slower to mature, less fertile, less sexually active, with larger brains and higher IQ scores than Africans, who tended to the opposite in each of these areas. Whites, I found, fell between the other two groups.

I further contended that this orderly tri-level hierarchy of races in average tendency had its roots not only in economic, cultural, familial, and other environmental forces but also, to a far greater extent than mainstream social science would suggest, in ancient, gene-mediated evolutionary ones. Heredity, or nature—to use the term popularized by Francis Galton, Charles Darwin’s younger cousin—was every bit as important as environment or nurture, often more so.

To account for the racial pattern in brain size and the other “life-history variables,” I proposed a gene-based life-history theory familiar to evolutionary biologists as the *r*–*K* scale of reproductive

To unplug yourself from the Matrix you really need to undemonize Adolf Hitler, Heinrich Himmler, National Socialism and the Third Reich. Click **here** to hit ten articles on vital info about the Second World War that the controlled media concealed from you.

Gens alba conservanda est
(“The white race must be preserved”)

Worldly gain at the expense of the *Volk* is the main cause of the ongoing destruction of the white race.

See “Revaluation of values”: a paraphrase from Francis Parker’s Yockey’s *The Enemy of Europe*.

strategy. At one end of this scale are *r* strategies, which emphasize high reproductive rates, and, at the other *K*-strategies, which emphasize high levels of parental investment. This scale is generally used to compare the life histories of widely disparate species but I used it to describe the immensely smaller variations within the human species. I hypothesized that Mongoloid people are, on average, more *K*-selected than Caucasoids, who in turn are more *K*-selected than Negroids.

I also mapped this theory onto human evolution. Molecular genetic evidence shows that modern humans evolved in Africa sometime after 200,000 years ago, with an African/non-African split occurring about 110,000 years ago, and a Mongoloid / Caucasoid split about 41,000 years ago. The farther north the populations migrated, "out of Africa," the more they encountered the cognitively demanding problems of gathering and storing food, gaining shelter, making clothes, and raising children successfully during prolonged winters. As these populations evolved into present-day Europeans and East Asians, they did so by shifting toward larger brains, slower rates of maturation, and lower levels of sex hormone with concomitant reductions in sexual potency and aggression and increases in family stability and longevity.

I did not claim to have established the truth of these hypotheses. They may never by established in their entirety. But if they, or any part of them, or even any parallel hypotheses were eventually confirmed, we would have an explanation of why the measured traits are statistically distributed among racial groups in the distinct patterns evident in the data I had examined. The theories provided testable hypotheses and consequently complied with two fundamental goals of any science: the search to provide causal explanations of phenomena, and the search to unify separate fields of thought. These powerful incentives pulled me forward.

I emphasized two caveats in my presentation before the AAAS. First, because there is enormous variability within each population and because the population distributions overlap, it is always problematic to generalize from a group average to any particular individual. Secondly, because genetic efforts are necessarily mediated by neurohormonal and psychosocial mechanisms, many opportunities exist for intervention and the alleviation of suffering.

My hypothesis so stunned AAAS organizers that they quickly called a press conference to publicly dissociate themselves from my remarks. At the press conference, the president of the AAAS, Dr. Walter Massey, vice-president for research at the University of Chicago, told reporters that my credentials as a psychologist were

Fortunately, the collapse of the dollar that is coming will mark the beginning of the end of America's economic and cultural hegemony over Europe.

"The sign of the times is degeneracy. This term—degeneracy—sums up all that is happening to the West."

CONTACT:

cesartort (at) yahoo



"RACISM":

good and that scholars participating in the conference were free to draw any conclusions they choose. Massey affirmed that the AAAS would never consider muzzling any scholar because the free expression of views was the essence of academic discussion. He went on to say that I had made "quite a leap of faith from the data to the conclusions" and that he found the paper "personally disturbing" and its conclusions "highly suspect." The scene was eerily reminiscent of the closing sequence of the film *Rosemary's Baby* with the media setting up to take pictures of the newborn devil, cloven hoofs and slit eyes, ready to raise hell on earth. I was about to become an academic pariah.

By the time I returned from the conference to my home in London, Ontario, and my job as professor of psychology at the University of Western Ontario, the uproar was in full swing. "Canadian Professor Provokes Uproar With Racial Theories," proclaimed Canada's national newspaper, the venerable *Globe and Mail*. "Theory Racist: Prof Has Scholars Boiling," declared the influential *Toronto Star*. "UWO Professor Denies Study Was Racist," trumpeted the local *London Free Press*.

Newspapers took my views to hostile social activist groups and got their predictably hostile opinion. They said I should be fired for promoting hatred. The press then took this idea to the president of the university who upheld the principle of academic freedom. The ongoing conflict was serialized for weeks. Student activist groups soon entered the fray, demanding that I meet with them in a public forum.

TV coverage of my theories juxtaposed photos of me with footage of Nazi storm troops. Editing and voiceovers removed any mention of my qualification that the race differences I had identified were often quite small and could not be generalized to individuals and didn't mention that like any decent human being I abhor Nazi racial policies. Newspapers caricatured me as wearing a Ku Klux Klan hood or talking on the telephone to a delighted Adolf Hitler. The *Toronto Star* began a campaign to get me fired from my position, chastising my university and stating "This protection of a charlatan on grounds of academic freedom is preposterous." Later, the same paper linked me to the Holocaust saying, "[Thus] there emerged the perverted 'master race' psychology of the 20th century, and the horror of the Holocaust. Oddly, the discredited theories of eugenic racism still are heard, most recently from an academic at an Ontario university." I had no choice but to hire a prestigious law firm and issue notices under the Libel and Slander Act against the newspaper. This brought the media campaign against me to a halt.

On the origin of the word "racist"

The word "racism" from the Nietzschean viewpoint

Two texts by Wm. Pierce:

1. Best article on the Jewish question

2. The West's darkest hour

See how using non-white labor in the Ancient World or capitalism in the Modern World is the main factor for white decline:

Who We Are (abridged)

For a couple of articles on Richard Wagner and LOTR click on pics below:

Hate Crime Laws

In the U.S. there is a First Amendment to protect the right of every citizen to free speech and there is not much the government can do to silence unpopular ideas. In Canada and many Western European countries, however, there are laws against free speech, ostensibly enacted to inhibit "hate" and the spreading of "false news."

Two weeks after my AAAS presentation, the premier of Ontario denounced my theories. My work was "highly questionable and destructive" and "morally offensive to the way Ontario thinks," he said. It "destroys the kind of work we are trying to do, to bring together a society based on equality of opportunity." The premier told reporters he had telephoned the university president and found him in a dilemma about how to handle the case. The premier said that he understood and supported the concept of academic freedom, but in this particular case dismissal should occur "to send a signal" to society that such views are "highly offensive."

When the university failed to fire me, the premier asked the Ontario Provincial Police to investigate whether I had violated the federal Criminal Code of Canada, Chapter 46, Section 319, Paragraph 2, which specifies: "Everyone who, by communicating statements, other than private conversation, willfully promotes hatred against any identifiable group is guilty of an indictable offense and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years."

The police questioned my colleagues and members of the administration and professors at other universities, demanded tapes of media interviews, and sent a questionnaire to my attorney to which I was obliged to reply in detail. (There's no Fifth Amendment in Canada either.) After harassing me and dragging my name through the dirt for six months, the Attorney General of Ontario declined to prosectue me and dismissed my research as "loony, but not criminal."

This did not halt the legal action. Eighteen students, including seven Black students, lodged a formal complaint against me to the Ontario Human Rights Commission claiming that I had violated Sections, 1, 8, and 10 of the 1981 Ontario Human Rights Code guaranteeing equality of treatment to all citizens of the province. In particular, I was charged with "infecting the learning environment with academic racism." As remedy, the complainants requested that my employment at the university be terminated and that an order be made requiring the university to "examine its curriculum so as to eliminate academic racism."

I was outraged. A more flagrant attack on the right to freedom of expression was difficult to imagine in a supposedly free country.



The Jewish Problem (Jewish authors):

Larry Auster's unpublished chapter

Excerpts of *Esau's Tears*

The Jewish Problem (non-Jewish authors):

Definition of anti-Semitism

The Culture of Critique's Preface

History of Jewry:

The saga of the European

"Human rights" tribunals were becoming a menace—a direct threat to the very human rights and fundamental freedoms they were supposed to protect. The Ontario Human Rights Commission could no more change the truth about human races than could the Christian Inquistion about the solar system or the KGB about the genetics of wheat. I found it difficult to accept the increasingly obvious fact that in the post-Soviet world, an academic was freer to say what he believed about some things in Russia, than in Canada.

Four long years after the complaint was lodged, the Ontario Human Rights Commission abandoned its case against me claiming it could no longer find the complainants to testify.

Events at the University

In its relations with the outside world the university administration stood firmly for academic freedom. The president gave a press conference to state categorically that there would be no investigation of me, that I would not be suspended, and that I was free to pursue any line of research I chose.

Behind the scenes, however, I became the target of a witch hunt by some of the administrators. Dismayingly, my dean, a physical anthropologist, publicly declared that I had lost my scientific credibility and spearheaded an attack on me in the newspapers. She issued a series of preemptive statements making plain her negative opinion of me and my work.

"What evidence is there for this ranked ordering of the evolution of the human races?" she wrote. "None."

Claiming that her views represented only her academic opinion she emphasized that she was not speaking in any administrative capacity. Her letter was nonetheless widely interpreted in the media as a refutation by my "boss." Henceforth, in order to support me, a person would now have to go up against the dean in addition to prevailing opinion. Next, the chair of my department gave me an annual performance rating of "unsatisfactory" citing my "insensitivity." This was a remarkable turnaround because it occurred for the same year in which I had been made a Fellow of the prestigious John Simon Guggenheim Foundation. My previous

Jews

Jew vs. White: More than 3,000 years of conflict



"Racism" is just an expression of evolution. All species go through racial separation on their path to speciation. No exceptions.

In humans racist is just a person who loves his race, for example the nymphs on this sidebar. But in today's mad West the term "racist" de facto means *someone who loves the white race* to the point of wanting to preserve it.

twelve years of annual ratings had been “good” or “excellent.” Indeed, my earlier non-controversial work had made me on of the most cited scholars in my university.

Because unsatisfactory ratings can lead to dismissal, even for a tenured professor like me, I contested the rating through various levels of grievance, wasting an enormous amount of time and emotional energy. The proceedings that followed were Kafkaesque, terrifying when they weren’t simply funny. For example, the grievance procedures required that I first appeal the Chairman’s negative assessment to the Dean. The Dean had already spoken out against me, so I asked the Dean to recuse herself from hearing the case. She refused. So I had to appear before her.

At my hearing, the Dean’s folded arms and glowers of fury made her decision obvious, and six weeks later, she upheld the Department Chair’s decision. In a seven-page letter justifying her decision, she cast aspersions at my “sensitivity,” and my sense of “responsibility,” and questioned whether ther were, in fact, “any” papers that had ever been published that had supported my perspective other than those I had written myself.

I decided on a more drastic defense. I wrote to colleagues around the world and received over 50 strong letters of support, many endorsing the evidence I had presented. When the Dean found out about this she went absolutely ballistic, on one occasion screaming and spitting at me in fury.

I eventually won my appeal against the Dean and the Chair and two separate grievance committeess chastised them for their actions against me. My annual performance ratings are back to receiving grades of “good” and “excellent.”

Some radical and Black students mobilized and held rallies, even bringing in a member of the African National Congress to denounce me. In one demonstration, a mob of 40 people stormed through the psychology department, banging on walls and doors, bellowing slogans through bull horns, drawing swastikas on the walls, and writing on my door “Racist Pig Live Here.”

The administration responded by barring me from the classroom and ordering me to lecture by videotape on the pretext that they could not protect me from the lawlessness of students. Again I launched formal grievances. After a term of enforced teaching by videotape, I won the right to resume teaching in person, though then I was required to run a gauntlet of demonstrators shouting protests and threats. Only after several forced cancellations of my classes did the administration warn the demonstrators that further action would lead to suspension and legal action. That brought the protests to a halt.

Women from our viewpoint:

The eternal feminine

On racial IQ studies:

The new enemies of science

The roots of civilisation

Who am I?:

See an excerpted translation from the German Metapedia article about me.

HUMAN SKIN COLORS:

De Facto Censorship and the Corruption of Scholarship

As a graduate student at the London School of Economics and Political Science in 1973, I witnessed a physical assault on Hans Eysenck, who was studying the biological basis of intelligence and had recently published his book *Race, Intelligence, and Education* (1971). The slogan of that day was "Fascists Have No Right To Speak," and Eysenck became a target for attack. No legal charges were brought for the widely witnesses assault because another popular slogan of the 1960's, for those who approved the message but disapproved the tactic, was "There are no Enemies on the Left."

Stories of harassment and intimidation could be told by many others who have had the temerity to research topics that touch on the genetic or distributional basis of race differences.

Today, many campus radicals from the 1960's are the tenured radicals of the 1990's. They have become the chairs of departments, the deans, and the chancellors of the universities: senior political administrators in Congress and Houses of Parliament, and even the presidents and prime mimisters of countries. The 1960's mentality of peace, love, and above all, equality, now constitutes the intellectual dogma of the Western academic world. There are laws to prohibit platforms for those denounced as "fascists" and others deemed to be not politically correct.

In his book, *Kindly Inquisitors*, Jonathan Rauch showed that even in the U.S. with the First Amendment in place, many colleges and universities have set up "anti-harassment" rules prohibiting —and establishing punishments for— "speech or other expression" that is intended to "insult or stigmatize an individual or a small number of individuals in the basis of their sex, race, color, hankicap, religion, sexual orientation or national and ethnic origin." (This is quoted from Stanford's policy, and is more or less typical.) One case at the University of Michigan became well known because it led a federal court to strike down the rule in question. A student claimed, in a classroom discussion, that he thought homosexuality was a disease treatable with therapy. He was formally disciplined by the university for violating the school's policy and victimizing people on the basis of sexual orientation.

In Canada and Western Europe, governments can and do prohibit



ARCHIVES

Select Month

CATEGORIES

14 words

2001: A Space Odyssey (movie)

Abortion

Abraham (patriarch)

Abraham Lincoln

Achilles

Adam Smith

Adolf Hitler

Adversus Christianos (book)

Africa

Against the Fall of Night (novel)

Agamemnon

Alaric

Albert Lindemann

Albert Schweitzer

Albert Speer

Albrecht Dürer

speech on topics they consider obnoxious. In Denmark, a woman wrote a letter to a newspaper calling national domestic partner laws "ungodly" and homosexuality "the ugliest kind of adultery." She and the editor who published her letter were targeted for prosectution. In Great Britain, the Race Relations Act forbids speech that expresses racial hatred, "not only when it is likely to lead to violence, but generally, on the grounds that members of the minority races should be protected from racial insults." In some parts of the world you can be jailed, exiled, or even executed for expressing forbidden opinions.

Irrespective of religious background, or political affiliation, virtually all American intellectuals adhere to what has been called 'one-party science.' For example, only politically correct hypotheses centering on cultural disadvantage are postulated to explain the differential representation of minorities in science. Analyses of aptitude test scores and behavioral genetics are taboo. Cheap moralizing is so fierce that most people respect the taboo. This intellectual cowardice only encourages viscious attacks by activist groups on those who are engaged in legitimate scientific research showing that there is a genetic basis underlying individual and group differences.

The high-placed pervasiveness of the egalitarian orthodoxy is scary. Even more frightening than what happened to me is the experience of Christopher Brand, professor of psychology at Edinburgh University. On February 29, 1996, Brand's book on intelligence, *The g Factor*, was published in the United Kingdom by the British subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. On April 14, newspaper reports of interviews with him began to appear saying that he thought black people had a lower IQ than did whites and that these were probably partly genetic. On April 17, Wiley's company in New York denounced Brand's views as "repellent" and withdrew the book from bookstores. A blizzard of "refutations" of Brand appeared in the U.K. media under outraged headlines. Protests from members of Parliament, student boycotts of his lectures, and calls for his resignation by faculty at the University of Edinburgh all predictably ensued. Brand's refusal to be silenced and his defense of free speech led him to be fired (on August 8, 1997) for bringing his university into disrepute. There but for the grace God, go I.

In 1995, my monograph *Race, Evolution, and Behavior* was published by Transaction Publishers. Subsequently, the book was translated into Japanese (1996) and released as a softcover edition (1997) with an Afterword updating the science since the hardback went to press.

The book garnered a lead review in the *New York Times Book Review* (October 16, 1994) where Malcolm Browne, the *Times*

Alcibiades

Alcman

Aldous Huxley

Alex Linder

Alexander Alekhine

Alexander the Great

Alexandr Solzhenitsyn

Alexandria

Alexis de Tocqueville

Alfred Rosenberg

Alice Miller

Alt-Right / white nationalism

American civil war

Americanism

Amerinds

Ammianus Marcellinus

An Eye for an Eye (book)

Ancient Greece

Ancient Rome

Anders Breivik

Andrew Anglin

Andrew Hamilton

Andrew Joyce

Angela Merkel

Anti-German exterminationism

Anti-white exterminationism

Antichrist (book)

Antiochus IV Epiphanes science writer, discussed it along with Richard Herrnstein and Charles Murray's *The Bell Curve* and Seymour Itzkoff's *The Decline of Intelligence in America*. Browne concluded his analysis with the statement that "the government or society that persists in sweeping this topic under the rug will do so at its peril." Dozens of other journals, including the *National Review, Nature,* and *The Nation*, also reviewed it.

Its publication by an important academic press touched off a new round of hysteria. A lurid article screaming "Professors of HATE" (in five-inch letters!) appeared in *Rolling Stone* magazine (October 20, 1994). Taking up the entire next page was a photograph of my face, hideously darkened, twisted into a ghoulish image, and superimposed on a Gothic university tower. In another long propaganda piece entitled "The Mentality Bunker" which appeared in *Gentleman's Quarterly* (November 1994), I was misrepresented as an outmoded eugenicist and pseudoscientific racist. A photograph of me was published in brown tint reminiscent of vintage photos from the Hitler era.

Incredibly, Canada Customs seized and witheld copies of one shipment of the book for nine months while they tried to decide whether to condemn the book as "hate literature" and ban it from entering Canada. The fact that an academic book was even the subject of an investigation stunned my publisher: "I've never heard of such a thing," said Mary Curtis, Chairman of the Board of Transaction. "This is not supposed to happen in Canada. The last time the company had trouble shipping scholarly works was in the mid-1980's, when some books shipped to the Moscow Fair didn't make it."

Michel Cléroux, a spokesman for Canada Customs, said Customs were just following orders by investigating possible hate propaganda. A departmental policy prohibiting hate propaganda includes this definition: "Goods alleging that an identifiable group is racially inferior and/or weakens other segments of society to the detriment of society as a whole." After an "investigation" lasting nine months, Canada Customs relented.

Harassment continued at another meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. The AAAS routinely allows the militantly disruptive International Committee Against Racism (INCAR) and Progressive Labor Party (PLP) to have official "Exhibitor" status, along with a booth, at its annual meeting. At the February 1996 meeting in Baltimore, INCAR and PLP festooned their booth with posters of Karl Marx and signs taking credit for interfering with the University of Maryland conference on "Genes and Crime" in September 1995.

At the AAAS meeting, INCAR targeted my poster presenting a review of the literature on brain size and cognitive ability. When INCAR encountered me the day before the poster presentation,

Aphrodite

Apollo

Apollonius of Rhodes

Arcadia

Arcadius

Archeology

Archimedes

Architecture

Argentina

Arianism

Aristocracy

Aristophanes

Aristotle

Art

Artemis

Arthur C. Clarke

Arthur de Gobineau

Arthur Kemp

Arthur Schopenhauer

Artificial Intelligence (movie)

Aryan beauty

Aryan problem / Deranged altruism

Asia

Athanaric

Atheism and secularism

Athena

Athens

Attila

Audios

Augustus

they yelled so many death threats that the AAAS called the Baltimore police, who dispatched an armed officer to stand by the presentation. Despite the guard, INCAR continued to utter threats. One demonstrator took photographs of me saying they were for a "Wanted: Dead or Alive" poster. "You won't be living much longer," he said. Incredibly, instead of cancelling the Exhibitor Status of organizations that threaten violence, the program director of the AAAS's annual meeting said, in an interview published in *The Scientist* (March 4, 1996), that AAAS would tighten up the screening process to make it more difficult for presentations like mine to get on the program!

As Charles Murray has observed in the aftermath to *The Bell Curve*, social science is corrupt on the topic of race. Yet, the genetic hypothesis for the pervasiveness of the three-way racial pattern across so many traits, and which calls into question simple explanations based only on social factors like discrimination and poverty, needs to be discussed.

In his commencement address to the graduating class of 1997 at the University of California (San Diego), U.S. President Bill Clinton called for a new dialogue on race and for "deepening our understanding of human nature and human differences." But apparently there are some aspects of human nature and human differences he'd rather leave unexplored.

I've learned a great deal since that day in 1989 when I stood before that meeting of scientists and presented a summary of my research, thereby making myself the target of harassment by the politically correct and the object of intimidation by the government of Canada. Despite the viscious campaign against investigation of the possible genetic basis of group differences, my interest never wavered. Work on other topics seemed shallow by comparison. Spurred by attacks and aided by colleagues, I have sought out more definitive tests of the genetic hypothesis and continue to publish my research.

I've also learned how important freedom of inquiry is to science, which must always remain to pursue truth without regard for where that pursuit leads. I've learned to treasure such remnants of freedom of speech as I enjoy as a citizen of Canada, and remain more committed than ever to the search for truth. As Benjamin Franklin observed more than two centuries ago, "Without freedom of thought, there can be no such thing as wisdom, and no such thing as public liberty, without freedom of speech."

PUBLISHED IN: BENJAMIN FRANKLIN BILL CLINTON EGALITARIANISM FRANCIS GALTON GALILEO GALILEE GENETICS INTELLIGENCE QUOTIENT (IQ) PHILIPPE RUSHTON RACIAL STUDIES ON APRIL 17, 2011 AT 7:40 PM COMMENTS (12)

Australia

Austria

Autobiography

Axiology

Aztec people

¿Me Ayudarás? (book)

Barack Obama

Bartolomé de las Casas

Baruch Spinoza

Battle of Poitiers

Battle of Thermopylae

Bayreuth Festival

Beauty

Beethoven

Ben Klassen

Ben-Hur

Benito Mussolini

Benjamin Disraeli

Benjamin Franklin

Beowulf

Berlin

Bernal Díaz del Castillo

Bernardino de Sahagún

Bible

Bill Clinton

Biography

Blacks

Bob Whitaker

Book of Revelation

Brazil

Brenton Tarrant

Brigade (novel)

All is about valor and honesty

The article "The Jews and White Nationalism" republished on October 4, 2009 at *The Occidental Quarterly Online* when it was under the watch of Greg Johnson opens with the sentence:

> Surfing the blogosphere, I stumbled upon *The West's Darkest Hour*, a blog written by a *TOQ Online* reader and Lawrence Auster fan who has some concerns about the presence of anti-Semitism in the White Nationalist movement. Like Tanstaafl, it appears that Chechar learned of us through his involvement in the anti-Jihad movement. In his previous post about White Nationalism, Chechar described his odyssey from liberalism to spectator of the racialist underworld as being like awakening from "The Matrix." Each revelation is the tip of a much larger iceberg.

The next year after the article was published I deleted the two above-linked articles because they spoke of a stage when I still held politically correct views about the Jews and Judaism. In this article I will briefly recount how after a series of revelations I finally woke up.

In an unpublished work that consumed a decade of my life, *Hojas Susurrantes* (*Whispering Leaves*), I recount how I grew up in a traditional family and how I was relatively well treated in my childhood. Alas, both of my parents started to abuse me and my sisters when we reached adolescence.

Since in those times nobody talked about child abuse or was willing to listen, my sisters and I grew up carrying over ourselves massive doses of unprocessed pain. In fact, my *Hojas* is a sort of mourning to deal with the pain caused by our parents' betrayal and the society's deafness toward the calls for help coming from the minor that I was. The mourning I endured since my late teens and throughout my twenties allowed me to see through the society's denials. And it was precisely the long mourning and the consequent soul-building what allowed me, a year ago, to see the stark realities of the Jewish question.

Perhaps only those whose souls have been ploughed through pain could understand what do I mean. In the chapter "The Soul and the Barbed Wire" of *The Gulag Archipelago* Solzhenitsyn wrote insightful passages about how the human soul rotting in solitary confinement finds salvation through a metamorphosis that allowed him to turn the abyssal pain into wisdom. Like so many

Brutus

Buddhism

Caligula

Camp of the Saints (novel)

Canada

Cannibalism

Carl Gustav Jung

Carl Sagan

Carl Schmitt

Carlo Collodi

Carolyn Yeager

Carthaginians

Cassius Dio

Catholic Church

Catholic religious orders

Cato

Celsus

Celts

Charlemagne

Charles Darwin

Charles Dickens

Charles Martel

Charles V

Chess

Child abuse

Childhood's End (novel)

China

Christian art

Christian question (CQ)

Christian views on Hell

abused children and teenagers, the barbed wires of the Gulag islands drove many Russians mad. Solzhenitsyn managed to escape psychosis through soul-building as his defense mechanism. This is not easy. Not easy at all. But every time I read those *Gulag* pages I see myself through all those years in the self-imposed confinement of my study to find out how on Earth could such tragedy befell upon my beloved family. However, what Solzhenitsyn calls the ascent of the soul is such an enormous subject—wasn't it Voltaire who said that man could know the universe but that it would need eternity to learn something about his soul?—that I will leave it like that.

* * *

Fleeing from Zapatero's degenerate Spain, on September 11, 2009 I printed and ring-bind twenty-five articles of *The Occidental Quarterly*. One of the first articles that I started to read crossing over the Atlantic, "The Seven Pillars of White Nationalism," fascinated me, especially the author's stance about how "National Socialism might save us." I had never read anything like that in a serious journal. The author's views seemed extreme to me; I stopped reading the article, and tried to get some sleep in the plane.

The following days, weeks and months the whole business of White Nationalism struck me as extremely engrossing. Despite of what I then perceived as a flaw in the movement, anti-Semitism, I found myself discovering that the matrix in which I was previously sleeping was far deeper and alienating than what I previously thought. So alienated from reality I was that it may be said that in the last fifteen years I have been awakening from a series of different though inter-chained matrixes, with "each revelation as the tip of a much larger iceberg," until reaching the real awaking point.

In 1995, after a long process of digesting the literature of the skeptics of the paranormal, I gave up my old belief in psycho-kinesis: the subject of the first entry of my blog. (Since my late teens and twenties I had gone astray in New Age magical thinking precisely because it was my flawed defense mechanism during my dark night of the soul—a night in which I quixotically strove to heal the family wounds through paranormality.) Alongside with my awakening from para-psychological beliefs, in my thirties Octavio Paz's essays debunked in my mind much, though not all, of the ideologies of the Spanish-speaking Left. His many critiques in *Vuelta* represented a fresh waking up from the dogmas I had been taught in High School.

Christianity

Christopher Columbus

Cicero

City of God (book)

Civil war

Civilisation (TV series)

Claudius

Clement of Alexandria

Colin Ross

Color of crime

Committee for Skeptical Inquiry

Commodus

Communism / Bolshevism

Conservatism

Conspiracy theories

Constans

Constantine

Constantine II

Constantinople

Constantius II

Corneliu Zelea Codreanu

Counter-Reformation

Crusades

Currency crash

Daniel (biblical figure)

Dante Alighieri

Darkening Age (book)

David (king of Israel)

But those awakenings were transformations allowed within the matrix system in which I still mentally inhabited, as was my next awakening.

Closely related to child abuse are the mental health professions that during intergenerational conflicts always side the parents, and therefore, the perpetrators of the abuse at home. For example, on the parents' behalf some psychiatrists prescribe psychiatric drugs to rebellious, albeit sane, children, especially males. It was not until a 1998-1999 mental health course at the Open University of Manchester that I discovered the most important books of the main critics of psychiatry and psychoanalysis. I awoke to the fact that such professions function like a political pseudoscience to enforce the will of abusive parents, which moved me to write down those findings in my native language.

What precipitated that awakening was the footnoted information that I collected still within the fringes of the university system. Then in 2002 I discovered the work of Swiss psychologist Alice Miller, who unlike the previous critics of the mental health professions is a real taboo in the academia. Only thanks to her I discovered that the psychic toll of parental abuse on children is a forbidden issue in all societies (I write about this in the third book of my *Hojas*).

But that was not all. In 2006 another non-academic author surprised me. Lloyd deMause answered my email questions about child abuse in the Ancient World and advised me to read a couple of chapters of one his major works.

I was impressed. The discovery of deMause's psychohistory widened the vision I had previously learnt in Miller's works. After assimilating psychohistory I found myself with a meta-perspective that comprised child abuse studies from early civilizations to modern man. The "unified field" provided by my inward soul-searching process thanks to Miller, and the outward historical research provided by deMause, made me feel I had an unrivalled point of view to see the tragedy of my family in particular and of *Homo sapiens* in general.

I was deluded, if we take into account that psychology is not unrelated to sociology and that an authentic free press only started with the advent of the Internet.

By the end of September of 2008 I discovered the blogosphere; watched some online documentaries about the Islamization of Europe, and learnt how the prolific Muslims may overrun Western civilization by the end of the century. Originally skeptical about these apparently preposterous claims, in Madrid I purchased a translated copy of Bruce Bawer's *While Europe Slept*. By the end of 2008 I was still a liberal and could only read fairly liberal stuff.

David Duke

David Friedrich Strauss

David Irving

David Lane

Day of Wrath (book)

Daybreak Publishing

Death in Venice (movie)

Decius

Degeneracy

Degenerate art

Demeter

Democracy

Democritus

Demography

Denmark

Der Ring des Nibelungen (opera)

Destruction of Greco-Roman world

Diocletian

Dionysus

Dominique Venner

Don Quixote (book)

Donald Trump

Dorians

Dresden

Dwight D. Eisenhower

Dylann Roof

Eastern Orthodox Church

Economy

Edmund Burke

Since the family that destroyed my life are very traditional Catholics, conservatives had been anathema thoroughout my intellectual life. Only after Bawer convinced me that there was indeed a demographic problem in Europe I dared to purchase English-Spanish translated copies of both Oriana Fallaci's trilogy on Islamization and Robert Spencer's *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Islam*. Spencer is only a scholar on Islam. But it took me a Sabbatical year to digest the material from the more intellectually-inclined counter-jihad blogsites in English.

The extensive reading on these broader sociopolitical issues not only shattered my former liberal worldview and turned me into, God forbid, a conservative: it convinced me that those concerned about the Islamization of the West were right, and their Lefty detractors in gross denial. Now I surely was mature psycho-historically and politically, I thought.

Nope! I was a chick still struggling to break free from his eggshell to glimpse the real world. By the time I started to read *The Occidental Quarterly* at the international airport I knew that there was a group of people who in the previous decade had coined a new term, White Nationalism. It is true that by the end of 2009 I still disagreed with the nationalists about the Jewish question. This difference aside, after discovering the existence of such an important group of intellectuals that the system had screened off from my vision for half a century of my life, I felt I had finally broken the last of the Russian dolls-like eggshells and that I could finally hear the voice, "Welcome to the real world!"

Alas, I was still sleeping!

But the last Morphean dream could not last long. Thanks in part to the efforts of Tanstaafl, in February of 2010 I was "struck by a lightening bolt" that cracked the last shell. I realized that I had gotten the Jewish question all wrong and that the Jewish problem was not hallucinatory as I believed. It was all too real after all!

Before that most crucial day of February 24, 2010 I used to interchange emails with two of the best Jewish minds in the blogosphere active in counter-jihad. Paradoxically, these pair helped me to wrap my head around the question of their tribe. Of course: both got mad after I flipped sides. But what convinced me of the essential truth of anti-Semitism is that neither of these two intellectuals could say anything rational about my February challenge:

> If by March I don't get a convincing rebuttal of Avery Bullard's statement [that Jews are never overrepresented in movements that represent our interests, only in those that weaken us] from those who have advised me in e-mails to shun those who criticize the Jews, I will have no option but to remove the "non anti-Semitic" clause before "White Nationalism" in my blog's

Edward Gibbon

Edward I of England

Egalitarianism

Egypt

Eleusis

Elizabeth I

Emperor Julian

Energy / peak oil

England

Enlightenment

Enoch Powell

Erasmus

Esau's Tears (book)

Eschatology

Essay on the Inequality of Human Races (book)

Ethnic cleansing

Eugenics

Euripides

Europe

European Union

Eusebius

Evil

Evropa Soberana (webzine)

Ezekiel

Fair Race's Darkest Hour (book)

Fascism

Feminism

Feminized western males

Film

masthead.

After this provocative challenge the pair did not engage in civil discussion. They simply ignored the new world I was starting not only to glimpse beyond the outer, now ripped membrane, but ignored too the clarity of my vision once I finally passed through the shell and stepped outside this last prison for the white mind.

One of them said in his webpage that he would never talk to me again unless I reverted my paradigm back to my previous views on the Jewish question. The other intellectual behaved even more irrationally. Infuriated, he told Tanstaafl, "I see you as my direct and mortal enemy" and threatened in my blog that he would "have nothing to do with Chechar as long as he has anything to do with you."

The Jekyll-Hyde transformation of a famed author for the readers of *The Brussels Journal* took me by surprise. But the reaction of the non-Jews—the Christian, agnostic and pagan commenters at the blogsite *Gates of Vienna* where I had originally met them all—taught me a lesson. Ned May, the *GoV* admin emailed me telling that he would stop publishing the rest of my psycho-historical book. Obviously, neither he nor other of these deracinated whites dared to discuss the issues. For we gentiles, criticism of Jews is considered beyond the pale. Nationalist readers will find comical that the Norwegian Fjordman, one of the most notable gentile bloggers in counter-jihad, has stated: "It appears that the only people who can denounce genuine anti-Semitism yet at the same time criticize liberal Jews are people who are part-Jewish themselves, such as Larry Auster or Takuan Seiyo." In other words, only the Jews can criticize Jews. [Note of August 2011: It now looks that Fjordman is Jewish on his father's side]

These gentiles are beyond our reach however we approach them. The sad truth is that due to their unwillingness to see the elephant in the room these whites, who fancy themselves as defenders of the West, are, inadvertently, undermining their civilization.

Granted: like them I was a philo-Semite most of my adult life. I blame Hollywood and the general culture for this nasty and incredibly hard to crack outer shell that walled-off my mind from the real world for so long. But the main difference between me and these Jews and non-Jews who cling to neo-conservatism is honesty or the lack thereof.

* * *

If there is a moral that can be deduced from my spiritual odyssey is that the dishonesty of the family, psychology friends and counter-jihad conservatives I left behind is a byproduct of deep, ingrained cowardice.

In my teens, when I was abused at home, I believed that

Final solution

First World War

France

Francis Galton

Francis Parker Yockey

Francisco Franco

Franco Zeffirelli

Frankfurt School

Franklin D. Roosevelt

Franks

Franz Boas

Frederick the Great

Free speech / Free press

French Revolution

Friedrich Nietzsche

Friedrich Schiller

Fritigern

From Jesus to Hitler (book)

G.W.F. Hegel

Galerius

Galileo Galilee

Game of Thrones

Gaul

Genetics

Genghis Khan

Genrikh Yagoda

Genuine spirituality

Geography

George Lincoln Rockwell

George Orwell

compassion was the main virtue of humankind. In my twenties and thirties, when I struggled with the religious demons of my parental introjects, I believed that reason in the sense of the Enlightenment philosophers was the main virtue. In my forties, when my haughty family refused to read the heartbreaking autobiography I had written, I believed that humility was the main virtue.

In my middle age I have come to realize that all is about valor and honesty.

PUBLISHED IN: AUTOBIOGRAPHY ON APRIL 5, 2011 AT 6:52 PM COMMENTS (13)

A debate with smart white nationalists

Kievsky's recent article "What's Wrong with White Americans?" provoked an exchange between smart white nationalists and those clueless about racial issues that moved me to quote excerpts of it (no ellipsis added between unquoted sentences):

Cameron said...

@ Matt Parrott

> But hopefully you can understand, from that framework, why I believe it's imperative that we preserve the White population and our unique attributes.

No, I don't understand why we're making race-mixing an issue. If it's such an issue, then what is your proposal as to a solution for this problem? Do we get rid of everyone who is mixed? Do we marginalize them as African-Americans were done in the past to the fringe of our culture?

Furthermore, without a solution, you prove of how little substance you and the rest of the white elitist movement (call it Racial Realism, if that makes you feel more like Jared Taylor and less like David Duke) are made of. I want to know how you and the rest of the white elitists plan to re-establish yourself as the dominant class. Or, because I doubt that will happen, the surge in reproductive rates in minority communities will eventually establish another ethnic group as the ruling class in a few hundred years. White elitists such as yourself have already been marginalized to the confines of the web and intellectually racist publications such as the *Occidental Quarterly* and *American Renaissance*. Neither you nor Kievsky would have the balls to say something to me if you saw me on the street with my African

George Washington
Germanic People
Germany
Giorgio de Chirico
Giselher Wirsing
God
Goethe
Gone with the Wind (movie)
Gore Vidal
Goths
Gratian
Greg Johnson
Guillaume Faye
Gulag Archipelago (book)
Gustave Doré
Hadrian
Hamlet (1948 film)
Hannibal
Hans F. K. Günther
Harold Covington
Harry S. Truman
Hate
Heinrich Himmler
Hellstorm (book)
Helmut Stellrecht
Henry VIII
Heracles
Hermann (Arminius)
Hermann Göring
Hermann Samuel Reimarus

American girlfriend and future wife. You are confined to the web to espouse your hate and that gives me immense satisfaction.

Realist said...

Cameron,

I hope you're happy with your decision to marry a Black woman. I'm sure your children will be immensely benefited by the Black genes. I bet you're so happy that you have extinguished the White heritage of your family.

Some White people want to remain White and not have propaganda shoved down their throat and down their children's throats about how it's cool to race-mix. It obviously worked on you. You think it's cool and that you are morally unimpeachable.

I've got news for you. You've made a huge mistake, and there is a reason people are staring at you and your pet minority. It's because you have chosen to mix with one of the most undeveloped people on Earth. They think, "What is wrong with that White man, to stoop to that level." I assure you, even the anti-White diversity lovers think this in secret.

We all know race is real and it matters.

Enjoy your mulatto children. Don't blame us when half of their genes revert to the Black American IQ of 85. Don't blame us when they grow without any real identity, confused as to whether they are Black or White, when in reality they are neither. Don't blame us when they most likely side with and consider themselves part of the Black culture, which will give you plenty of blessings of diversity.

Matt Parrott said...

@Cameron

> No, I don't understand why we're making race-mixing an issue. If it's such an issue, then what is your proposal as to a solution for this problem?

Simple. Let those of us who are White leave. Then don't follow us. There are regions of America that are almost completely White. And even an Orania-style enclave will do. After all, most White Americans are eager to shed their ethnic identities and plunge into the Cosmic rainbow nation, so a humble little reservation ought to be sufficient.

> Do we get rid of everyone who is mixed? Do we marginalize them as African-Americans were done in the past to the fringe of our culture?

Yes. You get rid of the ones who are mixed. You don't

Hernán Cortés

Herod the Great

Herodotus

Hesiod

Hieronymus Bosch

Hippocrates

History

History of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire (book)

Hitler Youth

Hitler's table talk (book)

Hojas Susurrantes (book)

Holocaust

Holodomor

Homer

Homosexuality

Honorius (emperor)

Horace

Human sacrifice

Huns

Hunter (novel)

Hypatia of Alexandria

Ibycus

Iceland

Iliad (epic book)

Immanuel Kant

Immigration laws

Impeachment of Man (book)

India

Individualism

"marginalize" them or exploit them as underlings, second-class citizens, or anything. That's one of the best features of you letting me depart – you no longer have to deal with me lording my racism and White Privilege over you. It's win-win.

> What are the stringency tests for racial purity and being "white"?

Not that stringent at all. If the person looks like he's probably of overwhelmingly European descent and he embraces his White American identity to the exclusion of non-White American identities, then he's in. No DNA tests. No craniometry. Just intuitive straightforward stuff. And if some people with fractional Black ancestry end up there, then that's okay, since this is about ethnic identity, not biological purity.

> If you do not have a platform to stand on behind what you deem a "problem", then you are just espousing white elitism.

I've been very very clear about rejecting "white elitism". In case you hadn't noticed, "white elitism" is the default state we're in right now. Rich White guys dominate and exploit Mexican immigrants, the Black underclass, and overseas sweatshop laborers to enrich themselves. The platform I'm building (it's not sturdy enough to actually stand on just yet) is one in which we Whites who wish to carry on as Whites will gladly hand over every bit of America's infrastructure to you, every bit of it's most fertile land to you, and every bit of its wealth and global influence to you, in exchange for being allowed to go off and simply exist.

It doesn't seem like I'm offering you a bad deal.

> I want to know how you and the rest of the white elitists plan to re-establish yourself as the dominant class.

I am fighting harder than anybody else to *dislodge us* from being part of America's dominant class.

> Or, because I doubt that will happen, the surge in reproductive rates in minority communities will eventually establish another ethnic group as the ruling class in a few hundred years. White elitists such as yourself have already been marginalized to the confines of the web and intellectually racist publications such as the *Occidental Quarterly* and *American Renaissance*.

No question. Folks like me who are trying to secure the basic rights we need to continue as a people are getting our asses kicked, are being marginalized, and have been reduced to nothing but a handful of websites and self-published books.

> Neither you nor Kievsky would have the balls to say

Indo-European heritage

Industrial Revolution

Infanticide

Inquisition

Intelligence quotient (IQ)

Ireland

Isaac Newton

Isabella I of Castile

Isaiah (prophet)

Islam

Islamization of Europe

Israel

Italy

James Mason

James Watson

Jane Austen

Japan

Jared Taylor

Jean-Jacques Rousseau

Jefferson Davis

Jeffrey Masson

Jeremy Bentham

Jerusalem

Jesus

Jewish hate groups

Jewish question (JQ)

Jewish–Roman wars

Jez Turner

Johannes Gutenberg

Johannes Kepler

> something to me if you saw me on the street with my African American girlfriend and future wife.

I wouldn't have anything to say to you and your girlfriend unless you asked. You have every right to be in an interracial relationship and be in an interracial community. More power to you. No judgment, here. Now please extend the same courtesy to those of us who choose to remain among our own kind. You act like I'm the one who's trying to control you when my core message is the exact opposite of wanting anything to do with you. You're the one threatening me.

I take my message to the street very regularly. Less than a month ago, I stood on the statehouse steps and delivered my message, unfiltered, to a mob of Mexicans, Blacks, and "anti-fascists". With the exception of the anti-fascists, who continued barking at me, the others politely debated me, conceded some points, made some good points, and acted like adults.

> You are confined to the web to espouse your hate and that gives me immense satisfaction.

The only one espousing hate on the Internet is you.

Gorilla said...

Realist, Go fuck yourself. That is all.

Alte said...

> Don't blame us when half of their genes revert to the Black American IQ of 85.

Huh? That doesn't even make any sense. Children's IQ's are at least half inherited from their parents, not from their parents' races average IQ.

Realist said...

Cameron said: "the surge in reproductive rates in minority communities will eventually establish another ethnic group as the ruling class in a few hundred years."

Actually miscegenation or even the growth of brown or black populations does not establish new ethnic ruling classes. In fact, it only makes that population more susceptible to the whims and control of the typical ethnic ruling classes.

This is precisely why elites in USA are happy to race-replace (i.e. genocide) White Americans to minority status in favor of brown Mexicans and Third Worlders. There are many places where miscegenation or the growth of brown populations has happened for hundreds of years. Guess what? It gets worse. They turn Third World.

John Calvin

John F. Kennedy

John Locke

John Milton

John Modrow

John of Patmos

John Stuart Mill

John the Evangelist

John Tyndall

José María Morelos

José Vasconcelos

Joseph Goebbels

Joseph Stalin

Josephus

Joshua

Jovian

Judaism

Julian (novel)

Julius Caesar

Julius Firmicus Maternus

Justice

Justinian I

Juvenal

Karl Marx

Karl Popper

Karlheinz Deschner

Kenneth Clark

Kevin MacDonald

Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums (books)

Ku Klux Klan

Lactantius

Do you think Brazil is some multicultural utopia? Sure, some Brazilian will lie to your face and say they don't think about race because it's so mixed. It's BS. Brazil is incredibly racially stratified. The favelas (ghettos/shantytowns) are all filled with Blacks and some browns. The White (German/Italian) and Japanese and Jewish elite live in houses with barbed wire and tall fences or walls. Is this utopia? The lighter-skinned mulattos, if they happen to achieve material success, invariably "marry up" by marrying a lighter or White person. *All* countries and cultures do this.

Did you know India used to be ruled by Indo-Europeans (White people)? That's why they instituted to the caste system. Over time, the system failed. Now India is mostly brown. Is India a wonderful place, or is it a teeming mess of Third World brown people with starving children and flies and disease everywhere?

Haiti used to be the Jewel of the Caribbean, when the evil White Frenchman ruled it. It exported 40% of global sugar. Then the African slaves killed all the French, and even killed the mulattos. Guess what? Haiti is now all-black, and it is the embarrassment of the Western hemisphere. A little Africa.

Ever notice how the South American countries with the highest White populations are the most stable and have the best economies? Gee, what a coincidence. Ever notice how the industrial capital of Brazil is Sao Paolo, with a higher concentration of German, Italian, and Japanese immigrants? How strange.

While anti-Whites spew their filth about ending race, what they really mean is the end of the White race. Nobody says Africa is too Black. Nobody says Mexico is too brown, let's flood it with non-Mexicans and create a blended humanity.

Yet *every* White nation and *only* White nations are "not diverse enough" so we have to flood them with non-Whites and encourage "integration" (i.e. miscegenation). Even if you couldn't object to this on the genocide that it is, at least look at it from a utilitarian perspective.

You're trying to kill the goose that lays golden eggs! If this evil plan works, I wish the best for your sloppy mongrel descendants who sadly live in a brown society of stagnation and decline. But hey, it was all worth it, right?

Alte: It's called "reversion to the mean." The genes of the parent are not in isolation. So two 120 IQ Black people that have a kid are more likely to have a smarter-than-black-average kid, but the kid's IQ nonetheless has a tendency to "revert to the mean", which for blacks is 85 IQ (USA, 20% White blacks) or 70 (real blacks, Africans).

Latin America

Lawrence Auster

Leon Trotsky

Leonardo da Vinci

Leonidas

Libanius

Liberalism

Library of Alexandria

Literature

London

Lord of the Rings (novel / film)

Lothrop Stoddard

Louis XIV of France

Louis-Ferdinand Céline

Luke the Evangelist

Lycurgus

Maccabees

Madison Grant

Mainstream media

Manosphere

Manu Rodríguez (blogger)

March of the Titans (book)

Marcus Aurelius

Marcus Eli Ravage

Mark the Evangelist

Mark Twain

Mark Weber

Marriage

Martin Bormann

Gorilla: truth is hard, I know. It's very hard to either (a) realize you're not White and that racial differences are real or (b) if you are White, realize that everything Mommy Professor told you in college about race isn't really true.

Thinking exercise: replace everyone in Haiti with Japanese people. In 50 years, who can honestly say that this experimental group will not exceed actual Haiti (all black) in 50 years? You'd have to be nuts to not realize that different groups (races) have different abilities to build and sustain civilization. And the pattern is quite clear. Whites and Northeast Asians are very good at this. Browns are not so good at this. Blacks cannot even create anything remotely approaching civilization. Ever visited Africa? Blacks cannot even *sustain* civilization when it is handed to them, e.g. Haiti, Detroit, Gary, Watts, DC, Chicago, or... *any* significantly black neighborhood, area, swath of land, nation or continent.

Everybody says there is this *race* problem. Everybody says this *race* problem will be solved when the third world pours into *every* white country and *only* into white countries.

The Netherlands and Belgium are just as crowded as Japan or Taiwan, but nobody says Japan or Taiwan will solve this *race* problem by bringing in millions of third worlders and "assimilating" with them.

Everybody says the final solution to this *race* problem is for *every* white country and *only* white countries to "assimilate," i.e., intermarry, with all those non-whites.

What if I said there was this *race* problem and this *race* problem would be solved only if hundreds of millions of non-blacks were brought into *every* black country and *only* into black countries?

How long would it take anyone to realize I'm not talking about a *race* problem. I am talking about the final solution to the *black* problem?

And how long would it take any sane black man to notice this and what kind of psycho black man wouldn't object to this?

But if I tell that obvious truth about the ongoing program of genocide against my race, the white race, Liberals and respectable conservatives agree that I am a nazi who wants to kill six million Jews.

They say they are anti-racist. What they are is anti-white.

Anti-racist is a code word for anti-white.

Nullpointer said...

Martin Luther

Marxism

Materialism / capitalism

Maternus Cynegius

Matt Koehl

Matthew the Evangelist

Matthias Grünewald

Maxfield Parrish

Maya civilization

Mein Kampf (book)

Men

Metaphysics of race / sex

Mexico

Michael O'Meara

Michelangelo

Middle Ages

Middle East

Miguel Hidalgo y Costilla

Militarism

Miscegenation

Miscellany

Moctezuma II

Monarchy

Mongols

Monocausalism

Montaigne

Montesquieu

Morgenthau Plan

Moscow

If Genghis hadn't passed away all of Europe might have fallen to the Golden Horde. Islam had its hey-day too – one of the most important words in mathematics "algebra" has its origin in Islam.

Realist said...

Nullpointer,

That's a very fancy way to avoid the simple truth: Whites build superior societies, and then non-Whites try desperately to follow them to said societies. You can talk all day about correlation or phenotype or anything else that impresses the easily impressed, but you can't refute my *simple* truth. White people (and North East Asians) make great civilizations. Brown people make stagnant or declining civilizations. Black people ruin and are incapable of creating or sustaining civilization. Some Whites just want to be left alone in their White societies, even if there are super-genius, power-lifting, Ivy league Blacks/browns/mongrels to serve as the "exception." I'm sorry, I know you this amazing mamzer is a valuable individual, as Parrott said, he's "Super." But he's not White. And *not* average or representative, rather, he is an *outlier*.

Re: Whiteness

Does anyone here dispute there is such thing as a Black person? An Asian? Probably not, if they have a lick of sense. But due to decades of anti-White "critical studies" and academic race-denial, there are credulous people *still* piping off about how there is no such thing as *white* people.

OK, fine. No such thing as White people. So you don't mind if we have a world of exclusively White people, right? Nothing wrong with that, it's just a social construct. Please. Race is real and there is a racial type that can be further broken down into subgroup: White... Nordic... Alpine... Dinaric... Mediterranean... Call it whatever you'd like, Caucasian, European, Europid. But don't feed us these lies and distortions about doubting the existence of White people.

P.S. Genghis Khan conquered a lot. They also say he had red hair. But either way, he did not build complex societies. He just conquered a lot of land. Amazing in its own right but that's not a good example of building a successful civilization. He was an insanely-success warlord.

Ruby said...

The delusional narrative in the minds of most White Nationalists and White Supremacists is one of "The Immutable Superiority of Whiteness". That is, that the current geopolitical, social, cultural and economic hegemony held by Anglo-Europeans is due strictly to partitioned genetic factors.

Moses (Hebrew lawgiver)

Music

My pinacoteca

Name of the Rose (novel)

Napoleon

National Socialism

Neanderthalism

Nero

New Spain

New Testament

New York

Newspeak

Niccolò Machiavelli

Nicolaus Copernicus

Non-white immigration

Nordicism

Norman Rockwell

North America

Norway

Nuremberg

Obituaries

Occam's razor

Occidental Observer (webzine)

Odysseus / Ulysses

Old Testament

Oliver Cromwell

On the Genealogy of Morality (book)

On The Historicity of Jesus (book)

Opera

It is not due to the narrow "Fertile Crescent" of highly arable land extending diagonally from Turkey up to Germany which allowed for stabilized agriculture and animal husbandry for thousands of years.

It is not due to geography and irregular resource distribution driving historical inter-ethnic conflict and cross-continental exchange which then spurned the advancement of martial and utility technologies up to the modern age.

It is not due to brutal domestic class stratification and then worldwide colonialism giving rise to relatively cheap manpower, goods and energy sources.

It is not due to the U.S. corporate, banking and military industrial complexes exploiting the all but destroyed infrastructure and geopolitical spheres of allies post World War I and World War II for gain.

It is not due to any of these rational and easily corroborated facts; No — It is due to their belief in the mystical ability of that socio-cultural concept known as *whiteness*.

To those skeptical of the claims and aims of White Nationalists and White Supremacists, know that the nature of their faith in their Elected Nature as the vanguard of their "Peoples" prevents them from seeing any logistical or logical fallacy that bolsters their ego and self-esteem.

For this reason, much like any zealotous cultist you may encounter, it is best to be wary and aware of them — But generally to avoid being drawn into *their* perceptual frame of false victimhood through argument.

Realist said...

Anytime someone defends White interests, people bring up *superiority*. Question: If Whites want racial partition, how can there be any of this oppression or supremacism, at all? There cannot. It is impossible. All we're saying is that we don't want non-Whites to hang around us and leach off of us, and what do we get for it? People call us supremacist.

Supremacy and Superiority. No race is supreme or superior. You can only compare the average ability or best examples of a race on specific abilities. West African Bantus are superior at sprinting and jumping (watch the 100 meter dash or the NBA). They also have superior bone density. But that same density makes them poor swimmers. Here's where the "supremacy" BS comes up. Whites seem to be superior in the things that *count*. Civilization. Intelligence. Creativity. Joseph Sobran:

Oracle of Delphi

Oratory

Origen

Oswald Mosley

Otto von Bismarck

Ottoman Empire

Ovid

Painting

Parapsychology

Paris

Parsifal (opera)

Parthenon

Passing of the Great Race (book)

Patriarchy

Pedagogy

Pederasty

Percy Bysshe Shelley

Pericles

Persephone

Persia

Peter Schiff

Petronius

Philippe Rushton

Philo

Philosophy

Philosophy of history

Pindar

Plato

Pliny the Elder

Plutarch

Poetry

> "Western man towers over the rest of the world in ways so large as to be almost inexpressible. It's Western exploration, science, and conquest that have revealed the world to itself. Other races feel like subjects of Western power long after colonialism, imperialism, and slavery have disappeared. *The charge of racism puzzles whites who feel not hostility, but only baffled good will, because they don't grasp* ***what it really means: humiliation***. The white man presents an image of superiority even when he isn't conscious of it. ***And, superiority excites envy. Destroying white civilization is the inmost desire of the league of designated victims we call minorities***." [Chechar's bold and italics]

Minorities realize they are the children of the White world, desperately clinging to the guardianship and good will of others, never able to live as free and independent adults. So Whites get called supremacists and oppressors. After decades and trillions of dollars of non-White handouts, redistribution schemes, and social programs, Whites are still these evil supremacist racists.

> "Socio-cultural concept known as whiteness".

Let's point out the obvious: *nobody* denies the existence of *any* other race. It is only Whites who are demonized and denigrated as a social construct. I will not accept your evil, genocidal characterization of my race. It is wrong to deny the existence of my race just so you can wipe it off the planet with miscegenation, anti-White discrimination, and the imminent violence that happens whenever Whites find themselves in the minority (e.g. US inner cities, South Africa, former Rhodesia).

You have *no right* to deny the existence of the White race. If there's no White race, I suppose you won't mind if the entire world consists of *only* White people? Of course you would. That's wrong. Every race has the right to exist, including the White race.

The biggest supremacists and haters and bigots are not White Nationalists or defenders of White rights. The worst of the lot are anti-White people who do nothing but spew venom against Whites, blame them for everything, and call for their slow genocide through intermarriage, income distribution, and overwhelming non-White immigration into all White nations and only White nations.

Tell us, why do you hate Whites so much? Why are you so anti-White? What did Whites ever do to you that make your heart so cold and black? How can you justify White genocide?

By the way, Ruby Rothstein, your Mommy Professor forgot to tell you something about the Fertile Crescent. Africa has the largest and most fertile farmland and natural resources in the world, bar-

Poland

Polybius

Pompey

Pope Francis (Francisco I)

Pope Gregory I

Pope Theophilus of Alexandria

Porphyry of Tyre

Portugal

Pre-Columbian America

Prehistory

Pride & Prejudice (2005 movie)

Pro-white exterminationism

Protestantism

Pseudoscience

Psychiatry

Psychoanalysis

Psychohistory

Psychology

Puritans

Quotable quotes

Racial studies

Rape of the Sabine Women

Raphael

Real men

Recceswinth

Reconquista

Red terror

Reformation

none. Why isn't Africa booming with intelligent and productive people?

Oh, I forgot. You believe in environmentalist explanations, like that which Jared Diamond proposes in *Guns, Germs, and Steel*. That's cute. The problem is that theory is completely and 100% false, and Jared Diamond is a known race-denier. He denies it right at the beginning of his book!

How can environmentalism and natural resource endowment theory explain the rise of Japan (tiny island, few natural resources). Why isn't Mexico a bigger economic player, since it is teeming with natural resources and arable land (hint: it's full of Mexicans). How in the world is Iceland doing so well in that cold, desolate place?

Some people will just never admit what is front of their noses: race matters. Culture and civilization is the expression of race.

Race is not a social construct. *Society* is a *racial* construct. If you replace Mexico with Swedish people, it will improve. If you replace Sweden with Mexicans, it will devolve.

If Africa and Europe switch populations, in 100 years Europe will be using candles and hacking each other's arms off, and Africa will be a major center of commerce with stable and successful civilization.

Goldenfetus said...

Well said, Realist. You're obviously correct, and the detractors here are obviously motivated by anti-white sentiment.

The thing is, when someone points out some accomplishment of Asians or Jews you aren't going to see butt-hurt Whites crying, whining about oppression, or playing the victim and demanding compensation. This is what Blacks do, for example, because they don't have *any* historical achievements to take pride in. Hell, they haven't even contributed a cogent religion or philosophical system. And no, voodoo doesn't count. They are parasitic everywhere they go, and there's nothing oppressive about Whites wanting to live without them. *"If the Negro is entitled to lift himself up by enforced association with the white man, why should not the white man be entitled to prevent himself from being pulled down by enforced association with the Negro?"* – William T. Polk.

nullpointer said...

Err... wow. Philosophically, I'm all for finding a place where you can be as white as you want to be. Practically speaking, there's not an infinite amount of land.

Reinhard Heydrich

Religion

Rembrandt

Renaissance

René Descartes

Republic (Plato's book)

Revilo Oliver

Rhodesia

Richard Carrier

Richard Wagner

Richard Walther Darré

Rising Tide of Color (book)

Robert Jay Mathews

Roger Devlin

Romanticism

Rome vs. Judea (book)

Romulus

Ronald Reagan

Russia

Russian Revolution

Sappho

Satyricon (novel)

Savitri Devi

Schizophrenia

Schutzstaffel (SS)

Science

Second World War

Seneca

Sense and Sensibility (movie)

Sexual "liberation"

I don't have time correct your misinformation in detail, but you should read Jared Diamonds book in closer detail. His thesis was that north south transfer of agriculture and pastoralism was much more difficult than east west transfer. He backed this up with up a wide spectrum of evidence. Please don't try to contradict Jared Diamond with such poor justification. I'm open to the idea that he is wrong and a race-denier, but simply stating does not make his idea wrong (ad hominem fallacy).

Black people have been very unlucky and it's unfortunate, because as a result a lot of their accomplishments get lost in the fray. If you stop saying negroes suck for a second you might start finding examples of what American blacks have done, as well as evidence of large African empires that impressively resisted colonial rule. Africa is a clusterfuck of colonialism that is useless for the purpose of extrapolating inferences on negroes.

In South America, the mapuche adapted European technology quickly and were able to hold their independence until they helped with Chilean independence and then got screwed over as a result.

Japan is a poor example due to vibrant trade with China. When they shutdown and stagnated our dear Rear Admiral Perry showed up with advanced ships and intimated the Japanese into the future. China got raped by Japan in WWII.

If you really want a place for whites, where you'll be left alone, you're going to have to find an inhospitable piece of land that nobody wants. Otherwise, you're gonna have a heck of time defending it without your non-white separatist former countrymen. You could start something like the free state project that the libertarians tried – Alaska has tons of natural resources and a clean food supply. They've already got a good pro-white base and if you all moved there and seceded I'd be all for it. If white are so good at empire building you could eventually take over Canada and show the world how it's done.

Matt Parrott said...

@nullpointer,

> Err... wow. Philosophically, I'm all for finding a place where you can be as white as you want to be. Practically speaking, there's not an infinite amount of land.

This is transparent BS. There are vast swaths of relatively habitable land in the United States alone that are essentially uninhabited. The bottom line now is that we have no political power, so you can play your cheeky shtick of "Sorry, little buddy, the Earth just doesn't have enough room for any White people."

Of course, if I were to invert the equation and declare that there wasn't enough room in the world for mixed-race people, I would

Siege (book)

Sigmund Freud

Silvano Arieti

Skepticism

Sleeping Beauty (1959 film)

Socrates

Solomon

Solon

South Africa

Soviet Union

Spain

Sparta (Lacedaemon)

Sponsor

St Ambrose

St Athanasius

St Augustine

St Cyril of Alexandria

St Francis

St Ignatius of Antioch

St Irenaeus

St Jerome

St John Chrysostom

St Paul

St Peter

St Thomas Aquinas

Stefan Zweig

Stilicho

Strabo

Struggle with the Daimon (book)

Sturmabteilung (SA)

Suetonius

be vilified.

> I don't have time correct your misinformation in detail, but you should read Jared Diamonds book in closer detail. His thesis was that north south transfer of agriculture and pastoralism was much more difficult than east west transfer.

Diamond begins his book with an explicit declaration of bias against White people and an irrational refusal to consider that humans have innate group differences in intelligence. His book is overwhelmingly true, but it deliberately leaves out a huge piece of the puzzle: Those serendipitous geographical advantages in agricultural, animal domestication, and military technology shared throughout the fertile temperate belt also conferred a genetic advantage over time.

The 10,000 Year Explosion maps out how a lot of these relatively recent factors, many of which Diamond brings to bear, appear to have genetically altered us, as well. How could things with such a dramatic effect on our habitat *not* genetically alter us?

> If you stop saying negroes suck for a second you might start finding examples of what American blacks have done, as well as evidence of large African empires that impressively resisted colonial rule.

Black people are awesome, and much of America's cultural contributions have been due to the cultural and artistic synergies that come with Whites leveraging their resources and media technologies to tap into the Black American imagination. They ain't that bright and they ain't that safe. But I've never said they suck. I guess if there's a race out there that really sucks, it's the Andamanese negrito. Those folks have nothing going for them.

> For this reason, much like any zealotous cultist you may encounter, it is best to be wary and aware of them — But generally to avoid being drawn into *their* perceptual frame of false victimhood through argument.

Translation: Their arguments are persuasive. But as the adult in the room, I order you to dismiss them as crazies and keep walking. Don't let them suck you into their hypnotic "We have a right to exist" voodoo word games. Nothing to see, here.

Nullpointer April 1, 2011 at 2:03 pm

@Matt Parrott

You will maintain intensive agriculture on this land, how? You're going to have to trade with some very unsavory folks as well as people who aren't going to trust you. You think a large more

Summer, 1945 (book)

Sweden

Switzerland

Sword

Tacitus

Temple of Artemis

Temple of Jerusalem

Temple of Serapis

Tenochtitlan

Tertullian

Thebes

Theoderic the Great

Theodore Lidz

Theodoret

Theodosius I

Theodosius II

Theology

Third Reich

Thomas Cole

Thomas Goodrich

Thomas Hobbes

Thomas Jefferson

Thomas Szasz

Thucydides

Thus spoke Zarathustra (book)

Tiberius

Titus

Tom Sunic

Trajan

Transvaluation of all values effective society won't ever disenfranchise you? Doesn't necessarily need to be a multi-cultural one. Chinese, Indians, and others have a lot of mouths to feed. It's gonna get rough.

I'm not disagreeing with you on the possibility that populations have innate differences. I'm saying you have no metric, with which to turn that into a useful statement. Populations have parameters and you can estimate those parameters from samples of a population, but you can't extend that into a useful mathematical framework for expressing your idea of whiteness. I can't cite this, but if I recall correctly intra-racial variation in blacks is greater than the inter-racial variation between whites and blacks. Mitochondrial DNA comes from what seven women.

In addition, I don't see a conclusive link between the environment and increasing complexity that justifies the accelerated evolutionary claims you make. Mexicans had wheels they just used them on toys (just a single example). I'm sure there's somebody out there with a theory about how spending all your time fighting and doing agriculture made white people smarter, but pre-pastoral cultures could identify thousands of herbs and effects by memory alone.

Whites have a history of taking other people's ideas and using them in creative new ways (advanced sailing & pastoralism from Arabs as an example). This maybe a result of forced interaction within the small space in Europe and trying to eek out every advantage possible to kill each other, but there's been plenty of other societies in Eurasia that had equal or greater success (in particular the Chinese), but due to their isolation lost the impetus. Has this made the Chinese dumb? Does losing Jerusalem to renewed Islamic vigor in the later crusades make the West dumb or weak? As the mapuche in the south of Chile showed, taking technology, mastering it, and improving it is not an inherently "white" quality.

Looking at a successful society is the ultimate source of success bias. You only see the society we're in that made it, you never see all the other societies that were identical (often made up of white people) who were not so lucky and just died out (at some point in the past). Don't get me wrong, I'm sure there are some differences, but I think there's a lot of assumptions that I don't think we'll be able to settle.

Matt Parrott said...

I never claimed to be somehow "pure" of influence of non-White non-gentile cultures. I'm all about learning about and learning from other people. I just believe there's a line to be drawn the crossing of which results in threatening the integrity of your people. You can learn karate and enjoy Cohen Brothers films without agreeing to the wholesale replacement of your people.

Trauma model of mental disorders

Turin Shroud

Turner Diaries (novel)

Twilight of the idols (book)

Two Hundred Years Together (book)

Ukraine

Ulfilas

Uncategorized

Uncle Tom's Cabin (novel)

United Kingdom

United States

Universalism

Valens

Valentinian I

Valentinian II

Valentinian III

Valerian

Vercingetorix

Vespasian

Videos

Vienna

Vikings

Vincent van Gogh

Vladimir Lenin

Vladimir Putin

Vlassis Rassias

Voltaire

W.B. Yeats

Ward Kendall

WDH radio show

> You will maintain intensive agriculture on this land, how?

If Icelanders can have paradise on Earth atop a craggy island in the frigid North, Dutchmen can create their own nation from scratch through draining the ocean, and Mormons can establish a celestial homeland in the arid West, then we can figure it out. Don't worry yourself about whether we sink or swim once you allow us off your boat.

> I can't cite this, but if I recall correctly intra-racial variation in blacks is greater than the inter-racial variation between whites and blacks.

Stop for a moment and try to actually parse what you're saying. It means nothing. It's as asinine as noting that physical strength varies more within gender than between gender, as if that negates the very real differences in the strengths of the two genders.

> Mitochondrial DNA comes from what seven women.

That was a really long time ago. And it's not like this means there were seven chicks during the bottleneck, only the seven of the female lineages exist unbroken into the present. While this would only mean there had been a bottleneck about 120,000 years ago, it means even less in light of the discovery that Eurasians have a small but significant amount of Neanderthal admixture, pushing the actual evolutionary divergence between the populations higher than 500,000 years.

A casual stroll will confirm that there was no shortage of genetic diversity within the genome for humans to diverge into radically different forms with radically different characteristics in a relatively short span of time.

> In addition, I don't see a conclusive link between the environment and increasing complexity that justifies the accelerated evolutionary claims you make.

In a sparsely populated and environmentally challenging habitat, you don't have to worry as much about being killed by somebody who's smarter than you. The higher population densities and more free time that people in the temperate belt had afforded them more opportunities to engage in male territorial aggression, causing a race condition in which human intelligence evolved in competition with human intelligence. It's a familiar evolutionary template.

Welfare of animals

West's darkest hour

White-slave trade

Who We Are (book)

Wikipedia

Wilhelm Sieglin

Will Durant

William Blake

William James

William Pierce

William Shakespeare

Winston Churchill

Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart

Women

Wuthering Heights (novel)

Xenophon

Yearling (novel)

Zeus

Zosimus

Zweites Buch



The human brain is analogous to a deer's antlers or a rhino's horn. It's there for killing other male humans. The fact that increased intelligence also enabled them to even more efficiently populate the environment was merely an added bonus, one that caused more overpopulation and more male territorial aggression. Female humans are almost as intelligent, but only because most of the genes for increased intelligence were gender-neutral.

Female thinking is evolutionarily vestigial. Women have abstract thoughts for the same reason men have nipples.

> Don't get me wrong, I'm sure there are some differences, but I think there's a lot of assumptions that I don't think we'll be able to settle.

At the beginning of this back and forth, I asserted that my official reason for separatism was a simple wholesome nationalist love of my ethnic extended family that didn't need justified and existed independently of all this scientific stuff. I just indulged in a digression. I agree that blog's comment section is not the most fruitful medium for seriously resolving these more subtle and specific tangents.

PUBLISHED IN: ALT-RIGHT / WHITE NATIONALISM BRAZIL ICELAND ON APRIL 2, 2011 AT 10:07 PM
COMMENTS (3)

Scolding Dymphna

Rollory is the penname of a Franco-American man who comments in both counter-jihad and white nationalist sites.

Dymphna is the penname of one of the two administrators of the counter-jihad site *Gates of Vienna* (*GoV*).

Her husband, **Baron Bodissey** [Ned May], is the other admin.

Like Rollory, **Queen** is a regular visitor.

In my last post I also stole comments from another *GoV* thread in order to expose Takuan Seiyo's haughtiness when a woman confronted him with the Jewish Question (JQ). The intelligence of that woman is the exception that confirms the rule. In general, women don't carry under their shoulders mankind's destiny.

The counter-jihad movement not only suffers from blindness at the midst of its vision. Some of its members are almost blind on collateral subjects too.

The greatest of the "conservative" thinkers, Joseph de Maistre, pointed out long ago that the French Revolution led the revolutionaries rather than was led by them. For he believed that certain Providential forces rule our lives. These forces he saw in Christian terms, but others, like Heidegger, for instance, saw them in terms of Being, over which humans have no control.

In either case, the force of Providence or Being or Destiny has a power that has often made itself felt in our history. For this reason, I have little doubt that Europeans will eventually throw off the Judeo-liberal system programming their destruction. I'm less confident about we Americans, given the greater weakness of our collective identity and destiny. But nevertheless even we might be saved from ourselves by this force—as long as we do what is still in our power to do.

—Michael O'Meara

BLOGROLL

The Occidental Observer

It is true that I like both Robert Spencer and Geert Wilders, and their blindness on the JQ and other subjects do not bother me so much. But intellectuals should be different. They are supposed to delve deeper into the causes of Western malaise than the politicians and the popularizers of the dangers of Islamization. That's why white Gentiles like Fjordman *[Note of 2012: actually he's half-Jew]*, and also Baron Bodissey, the main administrator of *Gates of Vienna*, need to be exposed. (Blindness on the JQ among Jews like Takuan Seiyo and Larry Auster is just ethnocentric self-deception, not treason of one's own ethnic group.)

Why am I criticizing the *GoV-ers* if we still were good friends at the beginning of the last year? *Because I cannot stand dishonesty out of cowardice*. If we, white nationalists, are so dead wrong about the JQ, the intellectuals of the counter-jihad sites could refute our views without much effort (cf. again my previous post on Takuan Seiyo). Instead, they conveniently avoid all substantial discussion with us out of intellectual cowardice. But why am I criticizing them if I myself wrote that we who defend the West should never attack each other? *Because counter-jihadists are not defending the West* as they claim they do. And the earlier those who visit their sites wake up, the better.

The following includes parts of three comments by Rollory, some sentences censored by Bodissey, in the longest thread at *GoV* to date, "Sex, Gender, and Civilization." Although I don't agree with Rollory's sympathies for the likes of Roissy (Roissy's "Game" debilitates the West), I believe that Rollory hit the nail about feminism and so-called "women's rights".

No ellipsis added between unquoted paragraphs:

Addressing Dymphna, **Rollory** said...

Wow, the things you find when you stay away from a thread for a week!

> "...that *women* have done for the cause for *years* before people like 'Rollory' even knew what Islam was all about."

Hey you contemptible little coward: if you have something to say to me, say it to me directly. Don't run around being catty behind people's backs—particularly if you want to counter the disdain that young men are increasingly experiencing for your type.

> "I'll continue to fight Islam on my own, but I part company with anyone who wants to take away my right to work, vote, or be an equal citizen in my country.

(scholarly site about the Jewish Question).

Nazi Propaganda Guide Page (not exactly a National Socialist friendly site but very informative of primary NS sources).

The legacy of Wm. Pierce

David Irving's Website

Jake F.'s NS Archive

IN SPANISH

La hora más oscura

From Guillaume Faye's "Mars & Hephaestus":

The twenty-first century will be a century of iron and storms. It will not resemble those harmonious futures predicted up to the 1970s. It will not be the global village prophesied by Marshall MacLuhan in 1966, or Bill Gates' planetary network, or Francis Fukuyama's end of history: a liberal global civilization directed by a universal state.

The Third Age of European

> *[Chechar's note: Those are not "rights." It is feminism: a weapon of mass destruction used against the West.]* I've read this blog for many years, but if this is the way it's going to go, I'll continue to go my own way.
>
> Please do *not* go down this route. You will only alienate intelligent women like me who have given our hearts and souls to the counterjihad for years."

Ok. Here's the deal. You want things to work your way, *make it happen*.

What you *are not* allowed to do is to benefit and champion feminism and then complain that men aren't responding to the resulting incentives the way you think they should—that is, they aren't being sufficiently slavish to you. It doesn't matter how this makes you *feel*. It does not *work*.

My claim is that fighting Islam, just like any other great project, will depend entirely and solely on the Western/European/white men deciding to actually *do* it, and that they *will not* decide to do so as long as the current female-empowered society remains in place. You can complain about this. You can throw tantrums. You can mount whisper campaigns behind people's backs. You can take your ball and go home. *None of that makes a goddamned bit of difference*. The only thing that counts is success. If you can stop Islam your way, *do it*. If you are sure you are correct, you should not be afraid of me.

What I am advocating is: one family, one vote, with the patriarch as the executive. This is the traditional, historically sound system. It is the system that has been overthrown over the course of the last century. It is the system that was overthrown in the fading days of the Roman Empire, and in the weak years of the Caliphate before the Mongols, and in every society that is trending toward dissolution and collapse. These things are not random coincidences, nor are they evil conspiracies. They are facts of life and human nature. That they make you *feel* bad does not make them go away.

[Addressing Queen]: This is perfect example of female thinking at work. It is not about taking away your specific right to vote. It is about women in general. Women, in general, cannot generalize. You just proved that. Women in general like sexy over reliable, like security over liberty, like cute over competent. Women in general also think that one counterexample disproves statistics.

There are exceptions. The problem is that they are *exceptions*. Your arguments—all based on "me, me, me"—[are] complete validations of Vox Day's rule about women's most passionate arguments always based on how it makes them *feel*—are *perfect* examples of why women *should not* vote.

Civilization commences, in a tragic acceleration of the historical process, with the Treaty of Versailles and end of the civil war of 1914-18: the catastrophic twentieth century. Four generations were enough to undo the labor of more than forty. Europe fell victim to its own tragic Prometheanism, its own opening to the world and universalism, oblivious of all ethnic solidarity.

The Fourth Age of European civilization begins today. It will be the Age of rebirth or perdition. The twenty-first century will be for this civilization, the fateful century, the century of life or death.

Let us cultivate the pessimistic optimism of Nietzsche. "There is no more order to conserve; it is necessary to create a new one." Will the beginning of the twenty-first century be difficult? Are all the indicators in the red? So much the better. They predicted the end of history after the collapse of the USSR? We wish to speed its return: thunderous, bellicose, and archaic. Islam resumes its wars of conquest. China and India wish to become superpowers. And so forth. The twenty-first century will be placed under the double sign of Mars, the god of war, and of Hephaestus, the god who forges swords, the master of technology and the chthonic fires. This century will be that of the metamorphic rebirth of Europe, like the Phoenix, or of its disappearance as a historical civilization and its transformation into a cosmopolitan and sterile Luna Park.

The beginning of twenty-first century will be the despairing midnight of the

> "The age of consent in Mexico and El Salvador is 12. Any mom with a daughter that age who's walked her child in front of a phalanx of men of that ethnic group knows the score. Ditto the mothers of the 11 and 12 year olds being targeted by Muslim rape gangs in Britain. *This is a women's rights issue like no other*. There is no way the multi-culti feminists can blunt this argument."

Don't you get it yet? Feminism is a *subset* of leftism. When it comes to a conflict between feminism and Islam, feminism gives way, every time.

If you were less inclined to screaming fits at the name "Roissy" this phenomenon might actually make sense to you. In any case, this battle is a losing one, it has been lost every time it has been attempted. But hey, don't take my word for it, go prove me wrong: turn Islamization around based on feminist arguments.

♣

Ok, having read the rest of this [thread], I see no reason to change what I have said.

Equal rights and equal suffrage is something that got invented a hundred years ago. The corresponding trends regarding growth in government and increasing dysfunction are clear. That they are directly causally related is not proven, but it would be foolish to claim there is no connection when we have thousands of years of history of doing it the other way, *without* the specific societal dysfunction.

I realize how hard this is for modern women to accept. In fact I don't expect them to. I expect that they will try to preserve the system, will fail—due to the young men being absolutely unmotivated to contribute—and that building the one I advocate will be my children's and grandchildren's task. They will have the benefit of seeing the utter failure of the feminist system before them.

And if I am wrong, it costs nobody anything, because the feminists will have won already and we will all be living in a shiny futuro-technomage society of peace and rainbows.

Baron Bodissey said...

Rollory,

I've redacted the insults and profanity in your comment. If you do something like this again, I will delete the comment outright. I don't have time to play censor.

world of which Hölderlin spoke. But it is always darkest before the dawn. Let us prepare our children for war. Let us educate our youth, be it only a minority, as a new aristocracy.

Today we need more than morality. We need hypermorality, the Nietzschean ethics of difficult times. When one defends one's people, i.e., one's own children, one defends the essential. Then one follows the rule of Agamemnon and Leonidas but also of Charles Martel: what prevails is the law of the sword, whose bronze or steel reflects the glare of the sun.

T A G S:

4 words

Rollory said…

They were not insults. They were an accurate description of her behavior. It *is* contemptible. It *is* cowardly. And that is a big part of why everything she claims to be striving for will fail.

Besides, I wasn't the one to start with the personal insults here; your wife was the one who chose to start publishing them. Rather hypocritical of you to complain about someone responding to them.

My 2012 comment:

With rare exceptions, I don't believe that women can help us in restoring our civilization, at least intellectually.

PUBLISHED IN: FEMINISM FEMINIZED WESTERN MALES PATRIARCHY REAL MEN WOMEN ON MARCH 29, 2011 AT 9:23 PM COMMENTS (3)

Scolding Takuan Seiyo

Freyja's cats is the penname of a German-American woman. Takuan Seiyo is the penname of a half-Jew, half-Polish man. With an American passport and married to a Japanese, half-Jew "Seiyo" now lives in Japan.

The following is a sister article to the entry "Tanstaafl *et al* on Takuan Seiyo": a collection of posts that I gathered a year ago.

Jew-blind counter-jihad

The counter-jihad movement is notoriously philo-Semitic. Ned May's blogsite *Gates of Vienna* in general and Seiyo in particular have had the nasty little habit of shunning white nationalists when the latter confront the counter-jihadists with their dissociation about the Jewish Question. No frank discussion about the influence of Jews in our civilization is possible within the main sites that alert the western world against the concerted effort by Islamic jihadists.

If counter-jihadists fancy themselves as defenders of western civilization why do they often resort to shunning genuine nationalists? The straightforward answer is that, when the best

For Spanish-speakers: an autobiography of the editor of this site in two volumes is available: here and here.



He who has not read *Day of Wrath* has not looked at the admin of this site in the eyes. A hard copy is available: here. For an introduction see: here.



_____ 卐 _____

minds in the counter-jihad movement dare to enter the arena, the nationalists thoroughly deconstruct their philo-Semitic views.

That's what they fear.

For example, in addition to the article cited in the lead paragraph of this entry see how Takuan Seiyo has been debunked here, Fjordman here and Larry Auster here.

Seiyo's extremely arrogant words when Freyja tried to discuss with him moved me to write this as the first article in the new incarnation of *The West's Darkest Hour*. Addressing other *Gates of Vienna* regulars, both Jews and philo-Semitic gentiles, Seiyo wrote about Freyja:

> I don't think that arguing with a "Nazi" (term of convenience here) or a Progressive is useful. It's time to accept that either kind is from a different planet, and ought to live among and talk to its own kind only. For the rest of us, it's time to move forward and argue over what's arguable. Hitler is not arguable. Holocausts (including that of the Slavs) are not arguable. Jews are arguable, but again, not with a Nazi.

Seiyo thus shunned all debate with a woman who had rejected the "Nazi" label applied on her (that thread was precisely about an article by Seiyo). Freyja's long comments were an endeavor to specifically deconstruct Seiyo's piece and to expose the blindness in the midst of vision of the bloggers at *Gates of Vienna*, who have been persistently unwilling to see the elephant in their room.

The following is a well-deserved scolding that Freyja administrated to Seiyo almost two months ago in the commentariat section of *Gates of Vienna*. No ellipsis added between unquoted paragraphs:

Freyja's cats said...

Takuan Seiyo cuts some insightful strokes with his calligraphy pen. However, at the same time, he cleverly uses the techniques of Sun Tzu in his essay, to bury some uncomfortable facts and truths.

The sense of fairness in me compels me to come to the defense of Pat Buchanan and Sam Francis, by allowing the ghost of Kevin MacDonald, who is Takuan Seiyo's nemesis, the opportunity to comment up the buried material regarding the neoconservatives—which is the wolf pack to which Takuan Seiyo belongs, he being neither Chinese nor Japanese in reality.

I would point out that the "red-diaper Jewish society improvers" to which Mr. Seiyo refers are the very clan to which the neoconservatives, themselves, belonged, before they started to

"Hate is not some useless organ like the appendix. It's there for a reason.

Why does Christianity do all it can to talk us out of necessary and functional drives?

Well, the answer is that it's a bit of software meant to disable our enemy recognition module. Christianity preaches blind love, and that love is murdering the West". – Alex Linder

"Why were you so ungrateful to our gods as to desert them for the Jews?" —Emperor Julian to the Christians

Regarding the sticky post see esp. post #37. For the context of the Christian problem see: here.

RECENT COMMENTS

- C .T. on Intellectual cowardice
- John on Intellectual cowardice notice the handwriting on the Western wall relative to the threat of Islam to Israel and the Jews, and walked over to the other end of the see-saw.

Seiyo encourages Westerners desirous of survival to throw Europe's native sons Hitler and Charles Lindbergh and the "white nationalists" over the cliff, along with that half of the Eurosphere that fought valiantly, if brutally, against the Marxist-Leninism that was poisoning all of Western civilization, and Europe and Britain, in particular.

Seiyo writes, *"Without understanding that Hitler was the worst thing that ever happened to the White race."* I would contend that this distinction should instead be conferred upon Karl Marx. But, to the Jewish peanut gallery this is an uncomfortable truth.

I would point out in response that it is the Jewish concept of *Tikkun Olam*, which is Hebrew for "repairing the world," that brought us the "social justice" jackhammer that turned Europe and the West into a lesson in how to commit civilizational suicide. The ancient peoples of Europe were getting along nicely in defending their territory from Asia and Africa, except for perhaps the incursions by Attila the Hun and Genghis Khan. Yes, the warrior societies of Europe tended to leave the grass of Europe rather bloody from time-to-time, but, when looked at as a whole, they were fairly successful at holding down the continental fort.

In the warrior ethos of the peoples of Europe, there was no shame to be found in wiping out the odd tribe here and there, in a rather brutal fashion. That was how you survived. It was only when the Jews of Europe—who were a mercantile and money-changing people rather than an assemblage of proud, strong and physically-fit warriors of the home team—and their Anglo and American diasporas, foisted their squeamishness and guilt-trip upon the half of the West that [it] lost the war, and demanded that the losers be dragged in front of "human rights" tribunals; that the Marxist-Leninist, World Jewish Congress, *ADL*, *SPLC* and *Tikkun Olam* thugs were able to accelerate their race-replacement methods, the net benefit of which went to building Israel.

Seiyo states, *"Western civilization can be balanced and healthy again when it has recovered all five of its cornerstones. They are the pantheist-pagan, Greek, Roman, Judaic and Christian."* To this, I would suggest that he and the reader revise this statement to read, "Greco-Roman, Celtic, Germanic, Baltic and Slavic." For this is the rootstock from which Western civilization arose.

I would also encourage Seiyo to utilize the more appropriate term "heathen," when addressing in English the Germanic people of Western civilization. It is time for Seiyo and his neoconservative brethren to drop the axes that they repeatedly sharpen for purposes of bludgeoning the Germans and other European

C .T. on Intellectual cowardice
John on Intellectual cowardice
Devan on Civil war 2 in America –…
Aryan son on Civil war 2 in America –…
rudarts on A new religion for whites, …
Krist Krusher on Civil war 2 in America –…
C .T. on A new religion for whites, …
C .T. on Civil war 2 in America –…
Devan on Civil war 2 in America –…
Axl Atman on Lincoln refutes monocausalism
C .T. on Darkening Age, 24
esotericisms on Civil war 2 in America –…
C .T. on Civil war 2 in America –…

Donate

Search

When you forbid your enemy to hate, you've disarmed him.

nationalists. Hitler was the Charles Martel against the "invading" fifth-columnist Marxists.

It would be best for Western civilization for Jews to accept that Hitler was just one in a multi-millennia long succession of lion-hearted chiefs and kings of Europe. We freedom-loving children of indigenous Europe will no longer continue to throw our own blood over the cliff, in order to bow to the PC demands of the Middle Eastern tribe of usury and *Tikkun Olam*.

Latté island said...

Freyja's cats, a mind is a terrible thing to waste.

Takuan Seiyo said...

@ Latte island: Well said. I often refer to ardent liberals as "Body Snatchers." It means to convey the idea that coils in their brains are arranged differently, without necessarily a pejorative connotation. A discussion with a liberal is therefore pointless; you speak Basque, he speaks Urdu. It's the same thing with Nazis and their various crypto, neo, and nonsocialist strains (hereinafter "Nazis'). There is no point in responding or arguing. My main concern is that we deal in this forum and others, e.g. *AmRen*, *VFR*, [both philo-Semitic sites – Chechar] with issues that hardly any strain on the putative Right even mentions, let alone discusses—but the Nazis do. It's useful therefore to develop some criteria for where the demarcation line is. By the way, if anyone considers Freyja cats's disquisition too much, in those circles Jared Taylor of *AmRen* is referred to as Shmuel Jerry Taylor. There has to be a separate corner somewhere for these folks too, all-White, *Judenfrei* as they wish. But mixing with them, even through discourse, is a bad case of such miscommunication that it's akin to miscegenation.

Freyja's cats said...

Mr. Seiyo:

I am not talking about anything "Judenfrei." I *am* requesting that Jews melt down their axe against Germans and Germany and their supporters, and accept the need for all "white people" who want to work for the salvation of the West and our peoples, to participate in the project. Nobody out.

For Hitler to take out a bunch of Marxist-Leninist third columnists is branded by your choir as "pure evil." But somehow firebombing the hell out of Dresden, and nuking Hiroshima and Nagasaki isn't?

Clearly, a review of the history of modern-day Israel shows that the Sons of Israel are not opposed to contemplating and engaging in "evil," themselves, when that seems to be a good option for their survival, or otherwise in their national interest. Neither the Jews, collectively, nor any currently extant tribe or nation of Europe wears a halo. So give it a rest and knock off the anti-Germanism.

The reality is that there are a lot of folks across the world who are waking up to the fact that the Chosen People don't have the halo around their heads that they once claimed they had, any more than the Germans did.

That old Communist Party-infiltrated organization known as the Federation of American Scientists has a lot of Jews on its Board of Sponsors, who used to decry the American development of strategic weapons in the 1970's. It's interesting, though, that after bid Laden and al-Qaeda hit New York City on 9/11, Israel and some American Jews started feeling really threatened by Islam and the surrounding Arabs, and a lot of the Jewish neoconservatives began to find it an imperative for the U.S. to attack Iraq and Iran. That seemed like a grand idea to many Christian Americans, and George Bush went along with taking out Saddam Hussein.

Saddam Hussein, though, brutal as he was, was a secular kinda guy, and didn't let the Islamists annihilate the Iraqi Christians, as is being done now that Hussein is gone. Today, a goodly part of the planet is pissed off at Israel, and the current Arab uprising is threatening Israel's former southern ally, and Coptic Christians are being put to the axe. People are starting to examine what has really gone on to get us to this point.

We Germans and German-Americans and other European patriots who lost World War II—including those of us in America whose German-American family members were sent abroad to kill our own German family in order to save the Jews—are today supposed to grovel in the dirt perpetually because our German brethren tried to take down the Marxist-Leninist Fifth Column in Europe, of which a goodly proportion of Jews were a part, which was working toward enslaving all of Europe under communism, and eventually the entire West.

Friends, the West is waking up. We are not only waking up to the threat of Islam amongst us, but we are also waking up to how this Fifth Column came to be embedded among us. Now that valuable research tools are available to use from the comfort of our own homes, we in the Anglosphere are now able to look underneath the *AJC* and *WJC* and *ADL* and *SPLC* and neoconservative propaganda, and look at the great expanse of European history in a different way. One doesn't have to be a Hitler-worshipper, or shave his head, or tattoo swastikas all over his body and wear replicas of Nazi party clothing, to start realizing that something is very, very wrong in the Western civilization created by the Eurosphere.

It's clear that the same infection that plagued Europe after Karl Marx wrote his *Communist Manifesto* didn't die with the fall of the Berlin Wall. Marxism-Leninism has clearly infected the rest of the West, too.

With the Internet, the humble housewife can now study history, and determine the source of the infection.

I can see them, and their other aging 1960's/70's/80's communist radical buddies, with my own eyes, indoctrinating the high school and college kids into Third-Worldist Marxism-Leninism, and agitating the local Muslim and Latino communities to "rise up" against the "racist white capitalists." I'm in Chicago. And I can see the activists of Chicago's Jewish Council on Urban Affairs standing right next to CAIR's Ahmed Rehab, demanding approval of the construction of more mosque complexes in Illinois.

Europeans in the West who are waking up to all of this, are now asking ourselves why we should continue to blame our cousins, the Germans in Europe, for trying to eradicate the Fifth Column amongst them that was trying to enslave them under communism, when now the neoconservatives like David Horowitz, who used to be allies with the Black Panthers, are now trying to motivate the right wing of Europe and the European diaspora to eradicate the Fifth Column of Muslims that the Jews demanded that we let into our lands in the first place!

I am of ancestral Teutonic stock. I have a lot of friends and acquaintances who are Jewish. Many are good-hearted people who contribute good things to the community and don't try to subvert the West and replace the children of Europe with Asians, Africans and Latin Americans. I harbor no desire to wipe those people off the face of the planet. But I'm wiser now than I used to be, and I have ascertained and ferreted out the history and source of political correctness and multi-culturalism/multi-racialism. I'm not going to fall for the Jewish demands that I wear a blindfold to the facts and that I succumb to their constant cries of "anti-Semitism" and "racism" and "Hitler and the Germans were evil and must perish!"

I am not going to throw my Europid cousins off the cliff, who are determined that Germans, Germany and "White people" should live and retain control over their European homelands, just because they don't hold the "politically-correct" thoughts about World Wars I and II. I very respectfully request that Jews put down their axe against the German people, and work together with *all* of my Germanic and European cousins, to reverse the damage that has been caused to the West by the "political correctness" and "multi-culti" society that they have foisted upon it.

The motto for the salvation of the West must be: "All of our

European brethren in; nobody out. Not even the White Nationalists."

Mr. Takuan Seiyo relies upon Sun Tzu to develop his essay that is the subject of this thread. He leaves unmentioned in the essay any contribution that the *Jewish teachings* with which Jewish children are indoctrinated by the elders of their own Hebraic ethny, religion and culture.

Takuan Seiyo blames the present condition of Western civilization on an awful lot of stuff... except for teachings like the above-mentioned *kol ha-olam negdeinu* that could very well be motivating Jews of all stripes, including those of the deep Left, to keep engaging in behaviors to destroy European civilization and the Germanic peoples (and perhaps other nations, too); behaviors that, in cyclical fashion, tend to only cause Jews, eventually, more grief and generate more "anti-Semitism" down the line. The root problem of the West might not be indigenous Germans being indigenous Germans on German homeland soil. The root problem may include the songs and sayings that Jews in the West sing to their children when they are babies.

Seiyo wrote: "*Perhaps the Dakotas too, if they come to their senses and curb that Scandinavian-Lutheran gene.*" Another fine example of Jewish contempt for Germanic, European and Christian peoples. They just keep coming.

Friends, I would advise those persons with Jewish proclivities toward re-engineering European peoples, to ditch the habit.

When are you guys going to suck it up and accept the fact that it is your people's capacity and demonstrated penchant for radicalizing your offspring, subverting your host society and enslaving and killing them off (by proxy, if strategically preferable) that, in cyclical fashion, comes back to kick you in the butt a few years down the line?

You guys have a choice:

1. You can continue to spit on the peoples of Europe. In that case, it would be wise of us of indigenous European stock to give you a one-way ticket to Israel, and slam the door behind you. You can cope with the Arab uprisings all around you on your own.

Or,

2. You can drop the club of blame and guilt that you repeatedly use to continue to pulverize Western society, and accept that it is normal for the indigenous people of Europe to have a desire to defend their homelands and protect their people against subversion, violent revolution, slavery and death.

We children of Europe are not going to pitch our own blood overboard, so that the Jews that purposely generated all of this immigration and race-replacement can take their places in the lifeboat.

[Plan A] Everybody in. Nobody out. That's the deal.

[Plan B] Or you guys can go shack up in Israel, and fend for yourselves amidst the sea of Muslim Arabs.

My comment:

Since arrogant Jews like Seiyo will never change, I'm afraid we will have to resort to Plan B…

PUBLISHED IN: CHARLES MARTEL GERMANIC PEOPLE GERMANY JEWISH HATE GROUPS JEWISH QUESTION (JQ) ON MARCH 26, 2011 AT 7:07 AM COMMENTS (8)

New incarnation

This is entry #0 of the new incarnation of *The West's Darkest Hour*. The old incarnation of this site is still visible:

http://caesartort.blogspot.com

PUBLISHED IN: 14 WORDS ARYAN BEAUTY DAVID LANE GENUINE SPIRITUALITY MAXFIELD PARRISH ON MARCH 26, 2011 AT 7:00 AM COMMENTS OFF

Newer Entries »

Close and accept Privacy & Cookies: This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this website, you agree to their use.
To find out more, including how to control cookies, see here:
Follow